

LSE Research Online

Kirsten Sehnbruch, Rafael Carranza and José Joaquín Prieto

The political economy of unemployment insurance based on individual savings account: lessons from Chile

Article (Accepted version) (Refereed)

Original citation:

Sehnbruch, Kirsten and Carranza, Rafael and Prieto, José Joaquín (2018) The political economy of unemployment insurance based on individual savings account: lessons from Chile. Development and Change. pp. 1-28. ISSN 0012-155X (In Press)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12457

© 2018 John Wiley & Sons, Inc on behalf of the International Institute of Social Studies

This version available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/90663

Available in LSE Research Online: November 2018

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

This document is the author's final accepted version of the journal article. There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

The Political Economy of Unemployment Insurance Based on Individual Savings Account: Lessons from Chile

Kirsten Sehnbruch, Rafael Carranza and José Joaquín Prieto

ABSTRACT

In recent years, unemployment protection systems based on individual savings have been instituted in several developing countries. Chile was one of the first to establish such a system, which at the time was widely cited as a model for other countries. This articlediscusses the particular political context in which the Chilean system was created before examining how itworks in terms of coverage and levels of benefitsreceived by unemployed workers. The authorsundertake a detailed analysis of the administrative data produced by the system and conclude that the insurance covers only a small proportion of the unemployed, as most workers generally had precarious jobs that did not allow them to contribute to the system consistently. The Chilean case illustrates how difficult it is to establish functioning unemployment insurance in developing countries with precarious labour markets. Based on the interaction between employment characteristics and the conditions imposed by the benefit system, the article assesses the efficacy of the Unemployment Insurance Savings Accounts (UISA) systemand analyses whether it can indeed serve as a model for other developing countries.

[first, unnumbered footnote]

The authors would like to thank Michel Bedard, Janine Berg, Fabio Bertranou, Dante Contreras, Sarah Gammage, and Helmut Schwarzer, as well as two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on the various drafts of this paper. We further thank the ILO (Geneva), the Seventh Framework Programme Grant from the European Union (Theme SSH.2011.1, Grant Agreement No. 290752) for Enhancing Knowledge for Renewed Policies against Poverty (Nopoor), and the Centre for Social Conflict and Cohesion (Fondap Project Number 15130009) for generously funding our research.

Comment [KS1]: Would you like me to put the thanks into a footnote?

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, several middle-income developing countries have implemented unemployment insurance systems based on a financing mechanism which relies principally on individual savings accounts (ISAs). In some cases, these savings accounts are complemented by a minimal shared funding mechanism (a 'solidarity pillar') that aims to even out the risk of unemployment among the insured. These unemployment compensation systems have been much lauded and promoted by multilateral international institutions, ¹because they are considered to be easy to establish and administer, have low fiscal funding requirements, and limit the risk of moral hazard associated with more traditional insurance systems. The literature on unemployment insurance systems in the developing world generally expresses concern that the risk of moral hazard is higher in countries where the institutional capacity to monitor the job search behaviour of the unemployed is more limited (Holzmann and Vodopivec, 2012). Systems based on ISAs, such as the Chilean one, are expected to lower the risk of moral hazard, thus constituting a more feasible solution to the dilemma of unemployment in developing countries.

As the first system to be implemented that combined ISAs with a 'Solidarity Fund' designed to provide minimum levels of coverage to workers who had not been able to accumulate enough savings in their individual accounts, the Chilean unemployment insurance savings account (UISA) system provides an excellent case study for Latin America, and also for other developing countries. Chile has historically had an exceptional status in the Latin American region as a 'pioneer' of privatized social security systems to which so-called 'solidarity pillars' have been added over time, which provide basic social protection floors for those not covered by their own savings.² In the same way that Chile's pension system was once regarded as a model for other developing countries, its unemployment insurance has now also been copied elsewhere. For example, Colombia legislated in 2013 to institute a system based on ISAs, while

-

¹ In 2001, the International Labour Organization (ILO) described the Chilean unemployment insurance system as 'new legislation that could lead to a new generation of reforms in unemployment insurance matters' (ILO, 2001: 50). See also Vodopivec (2013) for a succinct summary of this literature.

² See Contreras and Sehnbruch (2013) for a detailed discussion of how Chilean social security systems developed between 1990 and 2010.

Mexico has legislated that it will implement such a system in the near future. Mauritius implemented a similar system in 2009 (known as the 'Workfare Programme'), while Sri Lanka is still debating the precise form of the unemployment insurance system it will adopt (Vodopivec, 2013). As we now have enough administrative data to analyse how well the system is working, it is important to examine whether the Chilean system can indeed serve as a model for other developing countries.

This article therefore dedicates an extensive part of the discussion to an analysis of the political circumstances that led to the implementation of the Chilean UISA system. As will be explained below, the system's design responds to a very particular constellation of concerns about labour market flexibilization and the potential for abuse of social protection systems, as well as the limited institutional capacity characteristic of Chile during the 1990s.

The articleuses administrative data to examine the extent to which unemployed workers benefit from the Chilean UISA system. It is the first publication on the insurance system to use data from a period when the system can be considered to have 'matured' rather than still being in a process of gradual implementation through the incorporation of new contracts. Our data show that the functioning of the system is highly dependent on the employment conditions prevalent in the labour market in which it operates. In developing countries with a high proportion of temporary contracts and high levels of job rotation among workers with formal jobs, an ISA-based system is unlikely to provide much protection against unemployment, especially because the unemployed tend to come from the more precarious segments of the labour market. This means that other Latin American countries with similarly poor employment conditions are unlikely to benefit greatly from copying the Chilean system.

The rest of the article proceeds as follows: we begin by explaining the particular historical and theoretical context of ISA-based unemployment insurance systems in Latin America generally, and in Chile more specifically. We then describe how the Chilean UISA system works, before turning to the administrative data to analyse its coverage. The concluding section discusses the extent to which the Chilean UISA system can serve as a model for other countries, before closing with more general

³ This point is discussed in more detail later in the article; it is based on Table 2.

observations on the relationship between employment conditions and social protection systems in developing countries. The article's conclusions are highly relevant for research on welfare states in developing countries, which tends to ignore the important link between social protection systems and the labour markets on which they are based (Huber, 1996).

Before beginning, we must, however, draw attention to two issues. The first is that like all unemployment insurance systems in the world, the Chilean UISA only covers salaried workers and not informal workers, who do not contribute to the system, and therefore cannot claim benefits. This article therefore does not discuss informality and its relationship with unemployment — a highly complex subject in its own right, which cannot be covered here. Second, we deliberately uses the term 'unemployment insurance savings account system' even though it is a cumbersome expression to illustrate that the Chilean system is not really an 'unemployment insurance' in the traditional sense. As we will see from the analysis that follows, the system can better be described as a mandatory savings system based on individual accounts with an unemployment insurance component.

THE CONTEXT OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE SYSTEMS IN LATIN AMERICA

Historical Context

Of all the social protection mechanisms that have been instituted in developed and developing countries over the course of history, unemployment insurance is the most complicated (and often ideologically contentious) as there are no easily identifiable characteristics that make a person eligible for a potential benefit, such as an age limit (as with pensions), household structure or income levels (as with benefit payments), or a health condition (disability insurance). By contrast, in the case of the unemployed, the state has to monitor whether a worker is legitimately unemployed, looking for a new job, and available to take advantage of a potential job opportunity. Monitoring the

⁴ Evidence from panel surveys in Chile show that informal workers rarely become unemployed, but instead adjust to economic fluctuations through lower income levels.

behaviour of the unemployed is particularly difficult in developing countries, where many workers are employed informally or frequently switch between different (and sometimes multiple) precarious jobs. This also explains why most developing countries, even those in the higher middle-income bracket, do not establish fully fledged unemployment insurance systems, and instead prefer to focus on other social programmes such as health insurance, pension systems, or conditional cash transfer programmes. Most importantly, the perception that European unemployment insurance benefits were overly generous and had created undue moral hazard significantly shaped the theoretical and political debates on the subject in Latin America during the 1990s and 2000s, as will be discussed below.

Having said this, the problem of unemployment has always been an important subject for policymakers in the Latin American region where, historically, frequent economic crises have led to bouts of high unemployment. Governments therefore began to consider implementing mechanisms that would protect workers against unemployment almost as soon as they began instituting basic labour market legislation in the 1920s. The logic of protecting workers against unemployment is enshrined in dismissal clauses, which generally require employers to give at least one month's notice, and in severance pay mechanisms that require employers to pay one monthly wage (generally) per year of service if the worker is made redundant.⁶

However, aside from debates on whether or to what extent severance pay legislation distorts the functions of labour markets in developing countries, it is clear that it does not work well as an unemployment 'insurance' mechanism.⁷ First, it does not cover workers who have worked informally or for short periods of time under fixed-term contracts. Second, it is difficult to enforce severance pay legislation, and we know little

_

⁵ The difficulties faced by developing countries in the establishment of functioning unemployment insurance systems mirror those experienced by developed countries during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, when insurance systems suffered from problems of low coverage, financing issues (sometimes bankruptcies), and fragmentation (some groups of workers were excluded ex-ante from the new systems) (Berg and Salerno, 2008: 88).

⁶ A similar logic applies in Asian countries, although the amounts stipulated by severance pay legislation vary (Asami, 2013: 28).

⁷ For a debate on the disadvantages of severance pay, see for example Heckman and Pagés(2000); Holzmann and Vodopivec (2012).

about the extent to which it is actually paid in Latin America. ⁸ Critics contend that employers use flexible, informal or precarious hiring mechanisms to avoid this legislation, which probably leads to unnecessarily high levels of job rotation. In addition, we know that severance pay is rarely paid in full as employers gamble that workers are unable to face the lengthy and expensive legal process required to enforce their rights. Finally, the right to severance payments evidently does not apply if a worker resigns voluntarily, or is fired for any form of misconduct.

Given the limited use of severance pay as a protection mechanism in the case of unemployment, some countries in Latin America oblige employers to contribute to an ISA to make a provision for potential future rights to severance pay. In this case, the worker has the right to withdraw funds from the account under any circumstance of job loss. This is the case, for example, of the Fundo deGarantia do Tempo e Serviço (FGTS) in Braziland the Cuenta Individual de Indemnización(CII) in Ecuador, or the severance pay contributions paid by employers for domestic service workers in Chile. These mandatory savings accounts have been operating for many decades, and in fact form the basis of the idea that unemployment insurance can be funded through ISAs. However, these savings account systems were instituted as a form of severance pay. They do not contain a 'Solidarity Fund' that pools risk among the unemployed. What was innovative about the Chilean system when it was instituted in 2002 was that it combined savings accounts with a solidarity pillar.

Other countries in Latin America have also established limited traditional unemployment insurance systems in the past. They include Argentina, Brazil, Uruguay and Venezuela. However, their coverage often excludes entire groups of workers from the insurance (such as construction workers, domestic or publicsector employees in Argentina), and their benefits are limited, both in terms of replacement rates and number of payments (Mazza, 2000; Velazquez, 2010). It is the perceived limitation and unworkability of these traditional unemployment insurance systems in developing

_

⁸ More information is available on Asian countries, where payment levels are also low;see Asami (2013: 31).

⁹ Employee Indemnity Guarantee Fund and Individual Compensation Account, respectively.

¹⁰ For a more detailed discussion of these subtle differences, see Holzmann and Vodopivec(2012); Sehnbruch (2006).

A Chilean unemployment benefit scheme also existed prior to 2002, but its benefits were so limited that few unemployed workers bothered to claim them (Sehnbruch, 2006).

countries with weak institutions and largely informal labour markets that have led policymakers in Latin America and elsewhere to look for alternative insurance models.

Recent Political Context and Theoretical Debates in Latin America

The origin of the modern theoretical debate on unemployment insurance in Latin America lies both in the historical experiences described above and in the recommendations made by the Washington Consensus to flexibilize labour markets in the region, in particular by reducing or abolishing severance pay mechanisms to boost the creation of more and better jobs, especially for low-income workers, women and young people (Heckman and Pagés, 2000). However, such reforms are politically difficult to implement, as illustrated by the fact that severance pay mechanisms have not been reformed or eliminated from Latin American labour legislation. ¹²Their persistence has therefore led to the recommendation that severance pay be replaced by functioning unemployment insurance mechanisms based on ISAs, which could then function as a kind of 'provision' against severance pay, and be deducted from any final severance payment made (Ferrer and Riddell, 2012). Unemployment insurance is also a part of the 'second generation' of reforms recommended by the Washington Consensus institutions that advocate improving active labour market policies in developing countries, by establishing vocational training programmes and institutions that can better match workers and jobs (Inter-American Development Bank, 2004; World Bank, 2013). Unemployment insurance is part of this recommended package.

In this context, the existing literature often begins by explaining that unemployment insurance constitutes a legitimate space for public policy action because, as historical experience in both Europe and Latin America has shown, such an insurance cannot be provided through voluntary mechanisms or by private providers, as imperfect information systems and adverse selection criteria make the systems unworkable (Chetty and Finkelstein, 2012: 2;cited in Vodopivec, 2013: 3). However, this raises the question of how unemployment insurance can be optimally designed in developing countries, where unemployment is not a 'discrete' eventand where job search effort

Comment [PB2]: Since this is in quotation marks, I thought it must be a direct quotation from Chetty and Finkelstein. However, I have now looked at the C&H 2012 paper, and cannot find these words.

Vodopivec also does not quote C&H 2012, although he does cite them, on p. 3 and p. 6 – I think p. 3 looks closer to what you are saying here.

Please advise on the status of the "quotation".

Comment [KS3]: I'm pretty sure that I put the quotation marks in, but it wasn't a citation as I didn't use double quotation marks. I had used single quotes because it's such an absurd statement to make.....

¹²Discussions of the reasons for this can be found in Carnes (2014) and Sehnbruch (2012).

cannot be monitored. ¹³Workers, for example, can receive benefits from unemployment insurance systems while either working informally, or not bothering to look for a job at all. This raises the spectre of 'moral hazard' as studies from developed countries are often extrapolated to developing countries even though analysts recognize that unemployment in developing countries is a completely different phenomenon (Vodopivec, 2013). Yet, repeatedly, analysts working on optimal social insurance design in developed countries are quoted in the literature on developing countries. Espino and Sanchez (2013), for example, quote Hansen and Imrohoroglu (1992: 118), who are referring to a general equilibrium model based on the United States economy, when they say that 'if there is moral hazard, and the replacement ratio is not set optimally, the economy can be much worse off than it would be without unemployment insurance.' ¹⁴

Political Context and Theoretical Debates in Chile

Concerns about moral hazard such as these have profoundly shaped the debate about unemployment insurance in Latin America from a theoretical perspective andhave been incorporated by the Chilean literature on the subject. They led policy makers to search for a new balance between fiscal cost, social insurance and potential mechanisms of abuse by combining insurance contributions with ISAs (Acevedo, 2002; Acevedo et al., 2006; Calvo, 2002; Ministerio del Trabajo, 2000; Solari 2002; Velásquez, 1998). In addition to the profound influence of the international literature on Chilean policymakers, Chile's history as a pioneer of privatized social insurance also played a role. Is pension system based on ISAs was initiated in 1981, and health insurance based on individual insurance plans was established in 1983. Any funding mechanism for unemployment insurance based on sharing risk among workers was viewed with suspicion during the intensely neoliberal public policy atmosphere of the 1980s and

¹³Vodopivec (2013: 3) uses the term 'discrete event' to reflect the fact that in an industrial and urbanized society, 'workers either work or do not work'. He contrasts this with developing countries where workers can resort to self- or home production.

¹⁴ Similarly, papers by Feldstein and Altman (1998), Orszag and Snower(2002), and Parsons (2003) are frequently cited by the development literature on unemployment insurance.

¹⁵ See Chapters 8-12 in Sehnbruch and Siavelis (2013) for background information on this subject.

1990s, which had not yet fully analysed or understood the failings of individualized and privatized social insurance. ¹⁶ In this context, traditional unemployment insurance as it existed at the time in Europe was viewed very negatively, especially by employers' associations and the political right, as illustrated by the following quotation from an interview in 1993:

The experience has been extraordinarily negative. The majority of these countries — Spain, England, and other nations of Europe, and including the USA, are having great trouble reversing these systems, which only tend to encourage leisure There is an increasingly larger group of people that makes arrangements to live off these benefits without any interest whatsoever of working in the formal economy. Moreover, many continue working informally and earning a double income.... [I]t would be foolish on our part if we should wish to apply a system in Chile that has been proven, by other countries that came before us, to be wrong and negative. ¹⁷

An influential Chilean labour market analyst wrote at the time: 'it is well-known that unemployment insurance systems in Europe have failed' (Beyer, 2000). This illustrates the simplistic arguments into which complex problems were distilled.

The idea of establishing unemployment insurance to protect the unemployed, and positive arguments in favour of such a scheme, such as theories related to job—skill matching and counter cyclical expenditure, therefore clashed with a political economy consensus that was intensely suspicious of any form of state intervention in markets (especially labour markets), and of risk sharing. This explains why Chilean literature on the subject follows the wider literature mentioned above, andbegins by explaining that there is a legitimate role for public policy and the state in the provision of unemployment insurance, as it cannot be provided by a private insurance system (Acevedo, 2002; Acevedo et al., 2006; Solari, 2002, Velásquez, 2010).

Initial proposals to establish unemployment insurance in Chile were based purely on ISAs, and suggested an additional fiscal subsidy only for those who did not qualify for

¹⁶ Although a national health insurance does exist in Chile, financed by contributions from lower-income workers and the state, even nowadays there is little shared funding between public and private insurers. See Infante and Paraje (2010) for details.

¹⁷ Interview with José Antonio Guzmán, President of the Confederación de la Producción y del Comercio (CPC), Chile's principal employer organization, between 1990 and 1996; in El DiarioFinanciero, 19 April, 1993 (quoted in Haagh, 2004: 182).

the insurance payments (Ministerio del Trabajo, 2000; Velásquez, 1998, 2010). Until the 1999 economic crisis, legislative proposals languished on the political backburner. But when the unemployment rate in Chile almost doubled within the space of one year to over 10 per cent, and clearly hit the most vulnerable workers hardest, unemployment insurance became a political priority. Due to lack of data, however, policymakers at the time did not realize the extent to which the formal sector of the Chilean labour market had become flexibilized through non-traditional contractual mechanisms, such as short-term, subcontracted, or freelance contracts, or simply through open-ended traditional contracts with short durations. This was therefore not taken into account when the system was originally designed.

The structure of the Chilean UISA discussed in the following section was thus born out of a political ideology particular to Chile during the late 1990s, out of a Washington Consensus recommendation to flexibilize labour markets (by replacing severance pay with a more flexible structure of unemployment insurance), and out of an almost complete lack of information on the state of the Chilean labour market. The objective of preventing moral hazard outweighed the objective of protecting workers who lost their jobs, and led to a system which imposed such stringent conditions of eligibility on workers claiming benefits that its coverage of the unemployed turned out to be negligible (Sehnbruch, 2013). This explains why a system that was only instituted in 2002hadto undergo a first reform as early as 2009,in response to the realization that its real coverage was minimal. ¹⁹It was then reformed again in 2015, in an effort to make the Solidarity Fund more accessible to the unemployed.

_

¹⁸ For more detail see Sehnbruch (2006). The UISA was, in fact, designed without any reliable information on the types of contracts used, the duration of these contracts or the characteristics of workers who became unemployed. The official Chilean labour market survey (Encuesta Nacional del Empleo) included questions on the type of contract and on employment duration only in 2010. Before then, the Chilean national household survey (CaracterizaciónSocioeconómica de Hogares, CASEN) asked about contracts and duration in 1996. However, the results from this survey show that the survey information and the administrative data are very different.

¹⁹A senior official of the Ministry of Labour, who participated in discussions leading up to the 2009 reform of the UISA, confirmed that even during this reform, the concern of experts over the possibility of generating undue moral hazard by making the insurance system more generous was predominant and outweighed other considerations. Interview with senior official, Ministry of Labour, 8 September 2016.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE CHILEAN UISA: GENERAL CONDITIONS, FUNDING AND BENEFITS

Funding

The Chilean UISA is a mixed system which is financed by all three social actors — government, employers and workers. The system generates two principal funding mechanisms: individual savings accounts (ISAs) for each worker financed by contributions from the worker and employer in the case of open-ended contracts, and only by employers in the case of workers with fixed-term contracts. In addition, the system generates an unemploymentSolidarity Fund (Fondo de CesantíaSolidario), financed by employers and fiscal contributions (see Table 1 for details).

The contributions that each worker makes to her or hisISA constitute the worker's personal savings, withdrawable only in the case of unemployment, termination of contract, retirement or any other event in which the worker leaves or loses her/his job. The UISA system establishes different methods of financial contributions depending on the type of contract held by a worker. In the case of workers with open-ended contracts, employers pay 1.6 per cent of gross wages into the ISAs of their workers, while workers pay an additional 0.6 per cent of their gross wages into their ISAs. Over the course of one calendar year, these contributions add up to one quarter of a worker's monthly wage. In addition, employers commit 0.8 per cent of their total gross payroll to the Solidarity Fund, which also receives fiscal contributions. Both the ISAs and the Solidarity Fund are administered by the Sociedad Administradora de Fondos de Cesantía (AFC Chile) —the Administration for Unemployment Funds. For workers with fixed-term contracts, the contributions to the UISA system are made only by employers, and amount to 2.8 per cent of a worker's gross wage. An additional contribution of 0.2 per cent is paid into the Solidarity Fund.

The workers' payments are limited to a maximum of 11 years. If a worker stays in the same job for more than 11 years, contributions to the UISA system cease, as it is assumed that 11 years allow for a sufficient accumulation of resources in the ISAsto

Comment [PB4]: In the majority of cases, Solidarity Fund is capitalized, so I have changed the few instances where is it in lower case

Comment [PB5]: Still administered by the AFC, or by a different body?

Comment [KS6]: Yes, AFC.

cover the eventuality of unemployment (Acevedo et al., 2006;Beyer, 2000). ²⁰The employer's obligation to contribute to the Solidarity Fund, however, remains until the end of the working relationship.

[Typesetter, please insert Table 1 and Table 2 about here]

Benefits Paid by the UISA

To withdraw money from the UISA system, workers are required to have contributed to it (not necessarily continuously) for 12 months in the case of workers with open-ended contracts, and for six months over the course of the last 24 months in the case of workers with short-term contracts. In either case, the last three contributions have to have been continuous and from the same employer. Workers must be between 18 and 65 years of age, and have been unemployed for at least 30 days. The amount and number of payments that can be withdrawn from the individual savings account therefore depends on the type of contract held by a worker prior to becoming unemployed, on the amount accumulated in the worker's ISA, and, on the cause of dismissal. If a worker changes jobs without passing through a period of unemployment in between, his status in the UISA system is reset. Funds can then be either withdrawn from the savings account or left in the account for future use. In either case this does not affect the obligation of the new employer to contribute to the insurance system.

Prior to 2016, replacement rates decreased in increments of 5 percentage points from 50 per cent to 20 per cent over a maximum period of seven months. As of 2016, replacement rates were set at 70 per cent, decrease at the same rate of 5 per cent until a minimum of 30 per cent. If a worker has sufficient savings the number of withdrawals that can be made is unlimited, although after the seventh month of unemployment the replacement rate is maintained constant at 30 per cent. If the funds accumulated in a worker's individual savings account are insufficient to fund a period of unemployment, and if the worker was dismissed for economic reasons (i.e. through no

_

²⁰The cap of 11 years on unemployment insurance payments is also related to the structure of severance pay in Chile, which is set at one month's wage per year of service, with a limit of 11 months' wages. Since accumulated savings from the unemployment insurance system are deducted from severance pay liability, the insurance legislation matched this time period.

fault of his own), he has the right to obtain additional benefits from the system's Solidarity Fund. The amount and number of payments made by the Solidarity Fund provide replacement rates for up to five months that are equal to what a worker would obtain from his or her ISA. However, these payments are subject to legal minimum and maximum amounts (see Table 1). Unemployed workers thus only receive payments from the Solidarity Fund if their own savings are insufficient to cover their period of unemployment. Workers who resign from their job only have the right to receive payments from their ISA, but not from the Solidarity Fund. It is this inclusion of a Solidarity Fund that distinguishes the Chilean UISA system from other unemployment insurance systems in Latin America and that led to its description as a model for other developing countries.

Beneficiaries of the UISA system are also automatically registered with municipal employment intermediation offices (Oficina Municipal de Intermediación Laboral—OMIL). For this purpose a national employment exchange was created (Bolsa Nacional de Empleo), which facilitates the process of employment placement services by municipal administrations, thus contributing to a better match between employment demand and supply. Unemployed workers receiving insurance payments and made redundant for economic reasons have preferential access to vocational training programmes offered by Chile's national training and employment service, the Servicio Nacional de Capacitación y Empleo (SENCE). Unemployment insurance payments cease if a worker refuses a place on a vocational training programme offered and financed by the SENCE. Similarly, insurance payments are suspended if a worker rejects, without justification, an employment opportunity (with a salary equal or superior to 50 per cent of his or her last wage) offered by a local municipal employment intermediation office.

This UISA system operates in parallel to the severance pay legislation, which entitles workers with open-ended contracts who are made redundant to one month's wage per year of employment duration with a maximum of 11 months' wages. The UISA system does not affect severance pay entitlements, except for the fact that contributions made by the employer to a worker's ISA are deducted from them. UISA contributions can therefore be regarded by employers as a provision for future severance payment costs. The four main factors that determine benefits received from the UISA are the reason for unemployment, the duration of the previous job, its wage level, and the

contractual status the worker had prior to becoming unemployed (open-ended or fixed-term contracts). These are therefore the conditions that we have to take into account when analysing the empirical evidence that relates to the functioning of the Chilean unemployment insurance system.

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM THE CHILEAN UISA

The Coverage of the Chilean UISA:Real Usage

The question of how many workers benefit from the system and under what conditions is, of course, crucial to understanding how the Chilean UISA system works, and whether it should serve as a model for other developing countries. In this section, we first examine the real usage that is made of the system in terms of how many workers contribute and actually receive benefits from the system. As the level of benefits claimed are low, we then proceed to simulate the hypothetical coverage of the UISA, i.e. how many workers are theoretically covered even if they do not make a claim when becoming unemployed.

Since the UISA system was instituted in 2002, only formal employment contracts that entered into effect after November 2002 become part of the UISA. As we can see from Table 2, which is based on the annual reports published by the Superintendent of Pensions in Chile, the insurance now covers 52 percent of the total labour force, and over 75 per cent of the eligible salaried labour force. Workers not covered by the insurance are the self-employed, public sector employees (including the military and police), who are subject to a different Labour Code, as well as domestic service workers (who have a severance pay system to which employers contribute).

In terms of methodology, this article uses a random 5 per cent sample of all workers affiliated to the system, which constitutes around 4.4 million contributors for the year

14

²¹ Note that this calculation relates the administrative data from the UISA to data from Chile's official labour force survey, the Nueva Encuesta Nacional de Empleo (NENE)to arrive at an approximate calculation of real coverage.

2015. ²²The available database follows individuals from the moment they make their first contribution to the system and includes their monthly contribution histories until December 2015. To analyse these data, we constructed two different databases from the sample: the first (sample 1) uses cross-sectional data from the month of November²³ for each year, and the second (sample 2) compiles data on all of the employment relationships that terminated in a given year. The second sample therefore allows us to analyse the employment conditions of workers who subsequently become unemployed or stop contributing to the system. All the tables in the article specify whether they are using the full database or one of the two samples.

Table 3shows that due to the gradual process of incorporating new contracts, the proportion of fixed-term contracts (which rotate more frequently) initially outweighed the proportion of open-ended contracts. However, by 2005, these proportions inverted, and open-ended contracts became the majority. By 2015, 70 per cent of workers had an open-ended contract, while 30 per centwere hired on a fixed-term basis. The data show that the characteristics of contributors to the UISA stabilized after 2009, with few significant changes in the composition of the data since then. This is an important point to bear in mind, as it means that studies of the UISA which use data from prior years may be significantly biased due to the evolving nature of the insurance system. 24

It is clear from Table 3that the employment conditions of workers with open-ended and fixed-term contracts can vary significantly. On average, fixed-term contracts earn only 62 per cent of the average wages of open-ended contracts or 69 per cent of their median earnings (2015). Similarly, the duration of fixed-term contracts is much lower, at 10 months on average compared to the average duration of almost 40months for open-ended contracts.

_

²² This random sample of administrative data is provided in anonymized form by the Chilean Supervisory Agency of the pension system (Superintendencia de Pensiones).

²³ We have chosen the month of November rather than the year end month of December as December employment data in Chile are affected by the entrance of seasonal workers into the labour market; this positively distorts participation rates and negatively distorts the distribution of contracts as seasonal workers are overwhelmingly hired on a short-term basis.

of contracts as seasonal workers are overwhelmingly hired on a short-term basis. ²⁴ Studies by Huneeus et al. (2012) and Reyes et al. (2011), for example, use data from prior years.

Table 3 also shows differences between the average duration of periods of non-contribution for workers who had open-ended or fixed-term contracts. In this context, we must note that we cannot assume that workers are necessarily unemployed while they are not contributing to the UISA. Since we have no information on what they are actually doing while they are not contributing, we have to consider that they may be unemployed, working informally or inactive. It is important to emphasize this point as studies of the UISA that analyse whether the system generates moral hazard or not simply assume that workers are unemployed while they are not contributing. ²⁵ From Table 3we can see that fixed-term workers on average spend six months not contributing to the UISA, and over 50 per cent of them do not contribute to the UISA for longer than three months. Workers with open-ended contracts, on the other hand, spend an average of just under twomonths not contributing between jobs, and 16.6 per cent of them take longer than three months to start contributing again from a new job. Unfortunately, it is impossible to analyse these differences in more detail as we do not know whether workers are genuinely unemployed while they are not contributing.

[Typesetter, please insert Table 3 and Table 4 about here]

Table 4 shows that of all the workers who stop contributing to the system²⁶(either due to unemployment, informal work or inactivity), only 28 per cent actually made an insurance claim in 2015, almost all of which were approved.²⁷ Of these workers, 62.5 per cent had fixed-term contracts, while 37.5 per cent had open-ended contracts prior to becoming unemployed, which illustrates that workers who stop contributing to the

_

²⁵ See for example Fajnzylber and Poblete (2011); Huneeus et al. (2012); Reyes et al. (2011). The fact that we do not know what workers are doing while not contributing to the UISA system extends to those workers who may be claiming benefits from the system. This is also true for workers claiming benefits from the Solidarity Fund, who, theoretically, must be actively looking for work, and who must accept job offers with particular criteria (see details in Table 1). These conditions do not, however, preclude workers from working informally elsewhere.

²⁶ Defined as workers who stopped contributing to the system for longer than one month during a given year.

²⁷Of the remainder, 8.2 per cent of the benefits requested are rejected because workers are still in a current employment relationship at the time they make their claim (i.e. they are not unemployed according to the register of contributions); 4.4 per cent are rejected because the job for which the worker is claiming benefits is not the last job registered by the UISA database; and 2.5 per cent are rejected because the system shows that previous claims made are still outstanding. There are other reasons for which claims are rejected, such as the claimant never contributed to the UISA or the employer is not registered in the system, but these reasons add up to less than 1per cent of total claims.

UISA system are much more likely to have had a fixed-term contract. Of these beneficiaries, 15.3 per cent receive some form of payout from the Solidarity Fund once they have used up savings accumulated in their ISAs. If we unpick this figure, we see that this proportion is higher for workers who had open-ended contracts (20.4 per cent) but lower for workers who had fixed-term contracts (9.4 per cent). Table 4 also shows that, on average, workers received 2.2 payments from the UISA system with an average replacement rate of 44.4 per cent.

From Table 4 we can see that few workers who stop contributing to the UISA system actually apply for and receive benefits (just over 25 per cent). If we then look at how many of these workers actually receivepayouts from the Solidarity Fund, this proportion decreases to 15.3 per cent. The data further indicate that the 2009 reform of the UISA system did not significantly increase either its level of payouts, or the proportion of workers benefiting from the Solidarity Fund.

In part, this figure is so low because 43 per cent of workers who are entitled to payments from the Solidarity Fund do not claim these benefits (Huneeus et al., 2012). These data are consistent with reports from other experts (Fajnzylber and Poblete, 2011; Reyes et al., 2011) and with survey data (Consejo Asesor, 2008). The reasons for this low level of claims are not clear. Although the Ministry of Labour tried to research this question through a survey that was applied to contributors in the system, the response rate was too low for the survey's conclusions to be considered reliable, and they have not been made public. ²⁸

Again, and as discussed above, one possible explanation for the low take-up rate is that workers are not actually unemployed when they do not contribute to the system. It also seems that workers on average expect to spend only two months without contributing to the system (ConsejoAsesor, 2008) so may therefore not bother to make a claim. Lack of information about the UISA system and how to make a claim may also be a problem. Similarly, low replacement rates (38–44 per cent according to Table 4) and the conditions requiring workers to register with employment offices (and potentially have to accept jobs that are offered) may put workers off. In addition, Huneeus et al. (2012)

²⁸Interview with senior official from Ministry of Labour, September 2016.

present strong evidence that workers who decide not to claim UISA benefits despite having the right to do so have a higher probability of finding a new job.²⁹

The Coverage of the Chilean UISA: The Hypothetical Case

Given that the levels of benefit claims of the Chilean UISA are so low, it is important to ask whether workers are at least hypothetically covered by the system, even if they choose not to claim benefits. In this section, we therefore analyse these low levels of usage from two perspectives: first, we examine how the history of the workers' contributions relates to the conditions imposed by the UISA system under which workers may benefit from its insurance component (Table 5). Second, we look at other characteristics of workers, such as their age, sex, or level of education, to analyse which workers are more likely to benefit from the system (Table 6).

Table 5 simulates the potential coverage by the UISA system of those people who stop contributing to the insurance system in a given year (the potentially 'unemployed'), and who should therefore be entitled to receive some form of benefit, provided they have accumulated enough savings in their individual accounts, either from their prior job or from previous jobs. This means that we simulate the level of benefits that workers would receive if everybody who stops contributing to the system actually made a claim. By contrast, Table 4 lookedonly at actual claims made in the system.

We constructed Table 5 by examining the relationship between the different types of contracts that workers had before ceasing their contributions to the UISA system, the reasons why their employment relationship ended, and the level of contributions that must be made to the system before being able to claim benefits. We found that if all workers who stopped contributing to the insurance system during 2015 made a claim, only half of these workers would actually receive a payment from the system. Of this universe of potential claimants, 51 per cent would receive a benefit from the Solidarity Fund, while the remaining beneficiaries would have accumulated enough savings in their ISAs to receive payments from these accounts. The other 50 per centof the workers who stop contributing to the system would not receive a payment from the system: they

²⁹ See also Fajnzylber and Poblete (2011) for details on these arguments.

have not accumulated enough contributions in their ISAs to be entitled to a payout. This result can be explained by the fact that a high percentage of those workers who do become 'unemployed' had fixed-term contracts in their previous jobs during which they did not accumulate sufficient contributions in their ISAs to be able to claim benefits. This fact is supported by the evidence presented in Table 3, which showed that 50 per cent of fixed-term contractsdo not even last three months. One potential reason why the administrative data presented in Table 4 show that a significant proportion of 'unemployed' workers never claim benefits may therefore be that these workers know when they leave a job that they do not have sufficient savings accumulated in their ISAs to make a worthwhile claim.

[Typesetter, please insert Table 5 about here]

The results inTable 5 are different from the results presented in Table 4, because our simulation uses the entire universe of potentially unemployed workers and examines their rights to benefits, rather than just looking at those workers who actually receive benefits. It is important to examine the data from this perspective, because these results eliminate the self-selection bias included in Table 4 that is introduced by the fact that the real payments made by the system are only made to people who actually claim benefits. Overall, the combined results of Table 4 and Table 5 show that few 'unemployed' workers actually benefit from the 'insurance component' of the UISA system, i.e. from some form of payment from the Solidarity Fund. This prompts the question of whether there are other characteristics particular to the worker that might explain whether or not he or she receives benefits from the system.

In Table 6, we describe the sample for 2015 by the groups defined in Table 5. The first thing to note is that there appear to be differences between the groups, and the differences are related to what it is expected of the Chilean labour market. Around a third of all workers are women, but workers with open-ended contracts and with access to the Solidarity Fund show a higher concentration of women, while the fixed-term workers without access include only 25 per cent of women. As expected, people who have enough savings in their ISAs and who therefore do not need the Solidarity Fund are older, more educated, with higher wages and more stable jobs. This is particularly true for the open-ended contracts, with an income which is double that of the next highest income level. In terms of economic sectors, the stronger relation appears to be

Comment [PB7]: Would they not be entitled to something from the solidarity fund in that case?

Comment [KS8]: No. They haven't contributed for long enough to receive benefits.

with the type of contract, with a concentration of fixed-term contracts in agriculture and construction, and a concentration of open-end contracts in commerce and real estate. For the category of open-ended contracts with enough savings, there is a higher than average concentration of workers in mining, manufacturing, financial services and public administration.

[Typesetter, please insert Table 6 about here]

Finally, Table 7 uses probit regressions to study the relationship between the variables described in Table 6 and the probability of actually using the UISAfor workers from 2010 onwards. Table 7 includes three regressions: for all workers in sample 2; for only those workers who have the right to access the UISA; and for workers with right to access the Solidarity Fund. ³⁰As expected, having an open-ended contract is positively related with using the UISA. The same is true for age and income. When considering the whole sample, having higher education is related to a lower probability of using the UISA, but once aworker has the right to the Solidarity Fund, having higher education is positively related to using the UISA. In terms of economic activities, among workers with the right to use the Solidarity Fund, there is a higher relative presence of the fisheries and construction sectors, and a lower presence of teachers. The duration of the employment relationship has a positive impact, as does the duration of the latest pause, which has a stronger relationship among people with a right to the Solidarity Fund.

At first glance, these results seem counterintuitive, as one would expect workers with lower levels of income to be more likely to claim benefits from the insurance system. The data, however, make sense if we relate them to Table 5, which shows that many of the 'unemployed' do not accumulate enough contributions in their ISAs to be able to receive benefits from the system.³¹

[Typesetter, please insert Table 7 about here]

³⁰The results show the point estimate for each regression. Marginal effects are available on

request.

31 We need a longer period of data from the unemployment insurance database after 2009 to be potential use of the UISA system.

CONCLUSIONS

The Chilean case illustrates how difficult it is to establish a functioning unemployment insurance in developing countries that guarantees appropriate levels of coverage and benefits, has low administrative costs, and provides the right balance of incentives between protecting the income levels of the unemployed and avoiding any kind of abuse. To establish which lessons other developing countries can learn from the Chilean case, in particular from its hybrid nature that combines both ISAs with a risk-sharing mechanism, we must consider several aspects.

First, we must question whether the system genuinely succeeds in avoiding moral hazard in the way it was expected to do. As discussed throughout the text, examining this issue is difficult as we do not know whether people who stop contributing to the system are genuinely unemployed or not. Initial studies carried out by experts suggest that some degree of moral hazard is operating among users of the Solidarity fund (Huneeus et al., 2012; Reyes et al., 2011). This finding would suggest that moral hazard can never be entirely avoided in unemployment insurance systems, even when the actual insurance component of the system (the Solidarity Fund) is quite limited. Whether this finding is conclusive is doubtful, however, mainly because we know so little about why the vast majority of potential beneficiaries from the system never claim benefits. Further research on the behaviour of those workers who stop contributing is needed to be able to come to more reliable conclusions. ³²While the question about moral hazard is therefore theoretically interesting, it is not relevant in the context of a UISA system in which only 1.5 per cent of the 'unemployed' receive a benefit from its insurance component.

The second important lesson that developing countries can learn from the Chilean case is how difficult it is to construct functioning social protection systems based on contributions from formal employment in a labour market that is highly precarious. The Chilean government has recognized and responded to this difficulty by twice reforming a system which has only been operational since 2002 and initially provided even more

³² Once the 2015 reform of the UISA system has been operating for at least a year and a more recent database becomes available, we will be able to undertake a sequential and differential analysis.

limited coverage. The Chilean UISA system would probably work quite well in a labour market in which long-term, stable employment relationships predominate. However, the reality of most developing countries is that even their formal labour markets are relatively precarious. The high proportion of short-term contracts prevalent in the Chilean labour market combined with high levels of job rotation of all contracts, but especially of short-term contracts, means that those workers who are most likely to become unemployed are the least likely to accumulate sufficient benefits to cover an extended period of unemployment. Given current job rotation levels in Chile, it would therefore be difficult for any unemployment insurance (traditional or otherwise) to provide adequate coverage. Whether other countries should copy the Chilean model or not therefore depends on the characteristics of their own labour markets.

While the current UISA system could probably be improved further by reducing contribution requirements and increasing potential benefit levels, the current system is neither particularly onerous in terms of its eligibility criteria, nor particularly stingy in terms of its replacement rates if compared with other systems in developing countries. Furthermore, making the Chilean UISA more generous is unlikely to solve the fundamental problem generated by the high levels of job rotation in the Chilean labour market. As it seems that other developing countries, especially in the Latin American region, have similar problems with job turnover(Banco Central de Chile, 2016: 27) we must therefore emphasize that more traditional mechanisms of social protection such as emergency employment programmes or conditional cash transfer programmes are still essential to preventing families from falling below the poverty line when household members become unemployed, especially during times of high unemployment rates or economic crisis.

Finally, policymakers attempting to copy the Chilean UISA system in other developing countries must also remember that it was established in a very particular political economy context. As this article has highlighted, the historical moment during which the system was designed meant that policymakers were more concerned with whether an insurance system would generate moral hazard rather than whether it would protect the unemployed from significant drops in income levels.

Overall, the Chilean case illustrates theimportance of the interrelationship between the conditions of a social security system and the employment conditions on which it is

based. If employment conditions are too precarious, social security systems cannot function appropriately. This means that contribution-based systems without sufficient risk-sharing components between potential beneficiaries can significantly increase the need for fiscal contributions from governments in developing countries with limited resources, especially during periods of high unemployment when governments must focus on preventing significant increases in poverty levels.

REFERENCES

- Acevedo, G. (2002) 'Seguro de Cesantía: Una Mirada Institucional'

 ['UnemploymentInsurance: AnInstitutionalOverview']. Revista Relaciones del

 Trabajo series, No. 38. Santiago: Ministry of Labour.
- Acevedo, G., Eskenazi, P. and C. Pagés (2006) 'Unemployment Insurance in Chile:

 ANew Model of Income Support for Unemployed Workers'. Social Protection
 Discussion Papers No. 612. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Asami, Y. (2013) 'Introducing Unemployment Insurance in ASEAN Countries: Challenges and Future Directions'. Bangkok: ILO
- Banco Central de Chile (2016) 'Informe de Política Monetaria' ['MonetaryPolicyReport']. Santiago: Central Bank of Chile.
- Berg, J. and M. Salerno (2008) 'The Origins of Unemployment Insurance: Lessons for Developing Countries', in J. Berg and D. Kucera (eds) *In Defence of Labour Market Institutions: Cultivating Justice in the Developing World*, pp, 80–99. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; Geneva: ILO.
- Beyer, H. (2000) 'Seguro de Desempleo: Elementos para la Discusión' ['UnemploymentInsurance: Elementsfor a Discussion']. Puntos de Referencia series, No. 222. Santiago: Centro de Estudios Públicos.
- Calvo, P. (2002) 'Administración Privada del Seguro de Cesantía: Un Nuevo Hito del Sistema Previsional Chileno'
 ['PrivateAdministrationoftheUnemploymentInsurance']. Revista Relaciones del Trabajo series, No. 38. Santiago: MinistryofLabour.
- Carnes, M. (2014) Continuity Despite Change: The Politics of Labor Regulation in Latin America. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Chetty, R. and A. Finkelstein (2012) 'Social Insurance: Connecting Theory to Data'. NBER Working Paper No. 18433. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Consejo Asesor Presidencial Trabajo y Equidad (2008) 'Encuesta Percepciones sobre Relaciones Laborales y Equidad' ['SurveyofPerceptionsonLabourRelations and Equity']. Santiago: Presidential Commission on Work and Employment.
- Contreras, D. and K. Sehnbruch (2013) 'From Social Debt to Welfare State?', in K. Sehnbruch and P. Siavelis (eds) *Democratic Chile: The Politics and Policies of*

Comment [PB9]: There are three publications that all say 'RevistaRelaciones del Trabajo No. 38': Acevado, Calvo, and Solari.

If this is a series, should they not all have separate numbers? Please advise.

Comment [KS10]: These are all articles in the same publication. But please don't ask me for page numbers. This is from so long ago that I don't have a digital version, and the original hardcopy is in storage....Ican'te get to it. Sorry!!!

Comment [PB11]: See query above.

- *a Historic Coalition*, 1990–2010, pp. 243-262. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishing.
- Espino, E. and J.M. Sanchez (2013) 'Unemployment Insurance in High Informality Countries'. IDB Working Paper Series No. 403. Washington, DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- Fajnzylber, E. and I. Poblete (2011) 'Crisis and Unemployment: A Microsimulation Model of the Chilean Unemployment Insurance Program'. Unpublished working paper file:///C:/Users/ksehn/Downloads/Crisis_and_unemployment_a_Microsimulation model of.pdf
- Feldstein, M. and D. Altman (1998) 'Unemployment Insurance Savings Accounts'.

 NBER Working Paper No. 6860. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of
 Economic Research.
- Ferrer, A. and C. Riddell (2012) 'Unemployment Insurance Savings Accounts in Latin America: Overview and Assessment', in R. Holzmannand M. Vodopivec (eds) Reforming Severance Pay: An International Perspective, pp. 2011-238. Washington, DC: World Bank
- Haagh, L. (2004) 'Ideological Marketisation and Policy Learning in Chile: The Case of Unemployment Insurance', in K. Weyland (ed.) *Learning from Foreign Models* in Latin American Policy Reform, pp. 166-195. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson Centre Press.
- Hansen, G. and A. Imrohoroglu (1992) 'The Role of Unemployment Insurance in an Economy with Liquidity Constraints and Moral Hazard', *Journal of Political Economy* 100(1): 118–42.
- Heckman, J. and C. Pagés (2000) 'The Cost of Job Security Regulation'. NBERWorking Paper No. 7773. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Holzmann, R. and M. Vodopivec (eds) (2012) *Reforming Severance Pay: An International Perspective*. Washington, DC: World Bank
- Huber, E. (1996) 'Options for Social Policy in Latin America', in G. Esping-Andersen (ed.) *Welfare States in Transition*, pp. ?? London: Sage Publications.
- Huneeus, C., S. Leiva and A. Micco (2012) 'Unemployment Insurance and Search Effort in Chile'. IDB Working Paper Series No. 313. Washington, DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- ILO. 2001. Labour Overview 2001. Lima, International LabourOrganization

Comment [PB12]: Is it available somewhere, either in print or online?

Comment [KS13]: This is the link to the paper. Does that help?

Comment [PB14]: Please insert page numbers

Infante, A. and G. Paraje (2010) 'Reforma de Salud: Garantías Exigibles como Derecho Ciudadano' ['HealthReform: Guarantees as a Citizen'sRight'] in D. Contreras and O. Larrañaga (eds) *Las Nuevas Políticas de Protección Social en Chile* [New Social ProtectionPolicies in Chile], pp. 77-118Santiago: PNUD and Uqbar Editores.

Comment [PB15]: Please insert page numbers

- Inter-American Development Bank (2004) *Economic and Social Progress Report:*Good Jobs Wanted. Washington, DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- Mazza, J. (2000) 'Unemployment Insurance: Case Studies and Lessons for Latin
 America and the Caribbean'. Technical Study. Washington, DC: Inter-American
 Development Bank.
- Ministerio del Trabajo (2000) 'Seguro de Cesantía: Informe Técnico' ['Unemployment Insurance: Technical Report']. Santiago: Ministry of Labour.
- Orszag, J.M. and D. Snower (2002) 'From Unemployment Benefits to Unemployment Accounts'. IZA Discussion Paper No. 532. Bonn: The Institute for the Study of Labour.
- Parsons, D. (2003) 'Savings Accounts as an Alternative to Job Separation Pay:

 Theoretical Considerations'. Paper presented at the World Bank conference on
 Severance Pay Reform: Toward Unemployment Savings and Retirement
 Accounts, Laxenberg/Vienna (7–8 November).
- Reyes, G., J. van Ours and M. Vodopivec (2011) 'Incentive Effects of Unemployment Insurance Savings Accounts: Evidence from Chile', *Labour Economics* 18(6): 798–809.
- Sehnbruch, K. (2006) *The Chilean Labour Market*. New York and London:Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sehnbruch, K. (2012) 'Unable to Shape the Political Arena: The Impact of Poor Quality Employment on Unions in Post-Transition Chile'. Dev-out Working Paper Series, No. 4. Santiago: Universidad de Chile.
- Sehnbruch, K. (2013) 'The Labour Market under the Concertación', in K. Sehnbruch and P. Siavelis (eds) *Democratic Chile: The Politics and Policies of a Historic Coalition*, 1990–2010, pp. 263-280. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishing.
- Sehnbruch, K. and P. Siavelis (eds) *Democratic Chile: The Politics and Policies of a Historic Coalition*, 1990–2010. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishing.
- Solari, R. (2002) 'Seguro de Cesantía: Un Hito en la Modernización de Nuestro Mundo Laboral' ['Unemployment Insurance: A Milestone in the Modernization of Our

Comment [PB16]: Not cited. If it is not to be cited, please delete

Comment [PB17]: IF retaining, please insert page numbers

Labour World']. Revista Relaciones del Trabajo series No 38. Santiago: MinistryofLabour.

Velásquez, M. (1998) 'Protección al Trabajador Cesante: Proyecto de Ley Propuesto por el Gobierno de Chile' ['ProtectionfortheUnemployedWorker: Bill ProposedbytheChileanGovernment']. Serie Documentos de Trabajo No. 6. Santiago: CIEDESS.

Velásquez, M. (2010) 'Seguros de Desempleo y Reformas Recientes en América Latina' ['UnemploymentInsuranceSystems and RecentReforms in LatinAmerica']. Serie Macroeconomía del Desarrollo No. 99. Santiago: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean.

Vodopivec, M. (2013) 'Introducing Unemployment Insurance to Developing Countries', *IZA Journal of Labour Policy* 2(1): 1–23.

World Bank (2013) World Development Report: Jobs. Washington: The World Bank.

Author bio sketches...

Kirsten Sehnbruch(ksehnbruch@fen.uchile.cl) is an Associate Researcher at the Centre for Social Conflict and Cohesion ,COES (Santiago/Chile).

Rafael Carranza is a PhD candidate at the London School of Economics and Political Science, London, UK. (r.i.carrranza@lse.ac.uk, additional information)

José Joaquín Prietois a PhD candidate at the London School of Economics and Political Science, London, UK. (J.J.Prieto-Suarez@lse.ac.uk, additional information)

Comment [PB18]: See query above – all these publications seem to be No 38 – is that correct?

Comment [KS19]: Seemycommentabov

Comment [PB20]: Is this the same author as the following entry? One is spelled with an 's' and one with a 'z'. If it is the same person, please correct one or the other spelling.

Comment [KS21]: Whichadditionalinfo