

# The Five Star Movement and the rise of 'techno-populist' parties



*The Five Star Movement and Lega's nomination of Italian law professor Giuseppe Conte as the next Prime Minister of Italy presents a puzzle: why would an apparently 'populist' government nominate a Prime Minister who fits the mould of a technocrat? [Chris Bickerton](#) writes that given the Five Star Movement's history, we should not be surprised at the nomination of Conte. The party stands for a curious blend of technocracy and populism, and is representative of a new type of 'techno-populist' party that is emerging elsewhere across Europe.*

*"I think 20<sup>th</sup> century ideological schemes are no longer adequate. It's more important to evaluate a political force based on how they are positioned on fundamental rights and freedom... And their ability to outline useful platforms for citizens."*

This is not the sort of statement one would expect from the Prime Minister of a government formed out of two populist political forces that are sending shock waves throughout the European Union. Yet it comes from Giuseppe Conte, the Italian law professor put forward by both the Five Star Movement and the League as their chosen candidate for Prime Minister of Italy. On 23 May, the Italian President, Sergio Mattarella, accepted his nomination. We are now observing a striking paradox in Italy: populist parties nominating technocrats as leading members of their government.

If we consider the Five Star Movement (M5S) more closely, the paradox disappears. To think of the M5S as a simple populist party misses much of what makes it distinctive and original as a political movement. Certainly, the populist label is warranted. If populism involves mobilising the opposition between the political establishment and 'the people', then the M5S is firmly in this tradition. It has used "la casta" as a political lightning rod, as a way of stigmatising what its members see as the self-serving actions of an entire political class. Its founder, the comedian Beppe Grillo, has stated on many occasions that political parties are "evil". He built his career as both comedian and political figure on the unshakeable belief that the Italian political system is rotten to the core.

And yet, to think of the M5S as no more than an anti-establishment howl of rage is a mistake. More than just an organ of denunciation, the M5S has advanced a detailed vision of political reform, centred on expanding direct forms of citizen involvement and on exploiting opportunities provided by the internet and wireless communication. Since gaining access to the Italian parliament in May 2013, M5S deputies have submitted more than 1,500 legislative proposals over a period of three years. A central part of their manifesto in the March elections of 2018 was the "citizen's income" of 780 euros a month, destined for all Italian citizens who satisfy a certain set of conditions.



**Giuseppe Conte, Credit: [Presidenza della Repubblica](#) (Public Domain)**

All political parties stand for a range of concrete policy proposals. What is distinctive about the M5S is the way it presents its policies as pragmatic solutions to very concrete problems. There is no attempt to nest its policies within a self-consciously ideological vision for society as a whole. On the contrary, the M5S thinks of itself as post-ideological, as beyond left and right.

The M5S name is illustrative. The five stars do not point to organising principles or values. They refer to issues that are remarkably dry, technical and even apolitical: water, the environment, transport, connectivity and development. This preference for a technical rather than a political approach has shaped the behaviour of M5S deputies. In the words of the M5S blog, "if a law is good, we vote for it, if it is bad we do not vote for it".

Competence and expertise is at the core of the M5S. Back in 2013, the Movement selected candidates in primary elections by obliging them to upload their CVs, a move which has ironically played out in the "[CV-gate](#)" row provoked by Giuseppe Conte's nomination. This problem-solving approach is at the heart of the M5S's interest in the internet and it was the basis for the vision of the internet put forward by another of the M5S's founders, Gianroberto Casaleggio. What mattered about the internet for Casaleggio was its capacity to harness the collective intelligence of mankind in order to solve global problems like climate change and economic crisis. The M5S's faith in technology is based on its *epistemic* qualities, on technology's ability to advance what we know in order to solve the most intractable problems.

The M5S stands for a curious blend of technocracy and populism. Far from being hostile to pragmatic attempts at problem-solving, the M5S incarnates this approach. However, instead of believing that competence is concentrated within a select group of self-appointed experts, the M5S locates expertise within society itself. The M5S stands for the transformation of all citizens into experts, a move that integrates technocratic and populist elements into a single political offer.

Italian politics will continue to surprise all those who follow it, inside Italy and outside. But we should not be surprised by the way the M5S blends populism with technocracy. The M5S is a "techno-populist" party, a kind of political party that we are seeing emerge across Europe, from *La République En Marche* in France to *Ciudadanos* in Spain. As appeals to left and right fade away from our politics, appeals to the people and appeals to expertise take the fore. The M5S is an expression of this more fundamental change in our politics.

***This article draws on the author's recent paper in [Contemporary Italian Politics](#), co-authored with Carlo Invernizzi Accetti***

[Please read our comments policy before commenting.](#)

*Note: The article gives the views of the author, not the position of EUROPP – European Politics and Policy or the London School of Economics.*

---

## About the author



**Chris Bickerton** – *Cambridge University*

Chris Bickerton is a Reader in Modern European Politics at Cambridge University.