

LSE Research Online

Amaila De La Torre, <u>Zlatko Nikoloski</u> and <u>Elias</u> <u>Mossialos</u>

Equity of access to maternal health interventions in Brazil and Colombia: a retrospective study

Article (Published version) (Refereed)

Original citation:

De La Torre, Amaila and Nikoloski, Zlatko and Mossialos, Elias (2018) *Equity of access to maternal health interventions in Brazil and Colombia: a retrospective study*. International Journal for Equity in Health, 17 (43). ISSN 1475-9276 DOI: 10.1186/s12939-018-0752-x

© 2018 The Authors CC BY 4.0

This version available at: <u>http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/87994/</u> Available in LSE Research Online: May 2018

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

http://eprints.lse.ac.uk

RESEARCH





Equity of access to maternal health interventions in Brazil and Colombia: a retrospective study

Amaila De La Torre, Zlatko Nikoloski^{*} and Elias Mossialos

Abstract

Background: Reducing maternal mortality is a top priority in Latin American countries. Despite the progress in maternal mortality reduction, Brazil and Colombia still lag behind countries at similar levels of development.

Methods: Using data from the Demographic Health Survey, this study quantified and compared, by means of concentration indices, the socioeconomic-related inequity in access to four key maternal health interventions in Brazil and Colombia. Decomposition analysis of the concentration index was used for two indicators – skilled attendance at birth and postnatal care in Brazil.

Results: Coverage levels of the four key maternal health interventions were similar in the two countries. More specifically, we found that coverage of some of the interventions (e.g. ante-natal care and skilled birth assistance) was higher than 90% in both countries. Nevertheless, the concentration index analysis pointed to significant pro-rich inequities in access in all four key interventions in both countries. Interestingly, the analysis showed that Colombia fared slightly better than Brazil in terms of equity in access of the interventions studied. Finally, the decomposition analysis for the presence of a skilled attendant at birth and postnatal care in Brazil underlined the significance of regional disparities, wealth inequalities, inequalities in access to private hospitals, and inequalities in access to private health insurance.

Conclusions: There are persistent pro-rich inequities in access to four maternal health interventions in both Brazil and Colombia. The decomposition analysis conducted on Brazilian data suggests the existence of disparities in system capacity and quality of care between the private and the public health services, resulting in inequities of access to maternal health services.

Keywords: Maternal mortality, Inequity, Access to healthcare, Concentration index, Brazil, Colombia

Background

Maternal deaths received a high level of global political attention for the first time in 2000, when United Nations member states pledged a reduction of 75% in the 1990 Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) by 2015 as part of their commitment to achieve eight Millennium Development Goals (MDG). Moreover, the new commitments set by the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) in 2015 aim to build on the efforts that led to significant improvements in maternal health indicators. More specifically,

* Correspondence: z.nikoloski@lse.ac.uk

SDG 3.1 sets a goal to decrease the global MMR to less than 70 per 100.000 live births by 2030.

Despite these commitments, women continue to die owing to pregnancy-related causes —particularly in lowto middle-income countries, and mostly during labour, delivery, or within 24 h postpartum [1]. A large body of research suggests that MMR is mostly due to direct obstetric causes (e.g. haemorrhage, obstructed labour, infections, and hypertensive diseases) [2, 3]. However, most causes of MMR could be reduced with timely access to quality health care [2, 4]. As most of the maternal deaths occur in the poorest countries in the world (and among the poorer socioeconomic segments of the developed world), a higher MMR violates the principle



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Department of Health Policy, London School of Economic and Political Science, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, UK

of horizontal equity [5]. Strategies aimed at reducing maternal deaths need to address inequities in access to good quality maternal health interventions. Existing evidence singles out four key interventions relevant for maternal health: skilled birth assistance (SBA), Caesarean section (C-section), postnatal care (PNC), and antenatal care (ANC). The interventions SBA, C-section, and PNC are associated with the timing of most maternal deaths, which are "clustered around labour, delivery and the immediate postpartum period" [6]. ANC is considered essential in making behavioural changes that have a positive influence on maternal health outcomes (e.g. promoting prevention and self-care behaviours) [7, 8].

Equity of access to maternal health interventions in Brazil and Colombia

Maternal mortality has also received significant attention in Latin American and Caribbean regions, which encompass countries at various levels of development and with different health system structures. Brazil and Colombia are two of the biggest countries in the region that follow divergent healthcare financing and provision models. Structural reforms in Brazil in the late 1980s, enshrined in the Brazilian constitution, aimed at achieving universal healthcare coverage. Nevertheless, chronic underfunding coupled with management weakness, has constrained improvements in the quality of services and access to healthcare services [9]. A set of structural reforms in the Colombian healthcare system initiated in the early 1990s had similar aims, though existing evidence indicates that universal healthcare coverage was not achieved until 2011 [10]. Despite these achievements, a significant part of both the Brazilian and Colombian population has supplementary private health insurance. A report by the World Health Organization (WHO) suggests that supplementary private health insurance represents 20.9% and 11.9% of total healthcare expenditures in Brazil and Colombia, respectively [11]. Bearing in mind these two different contexts, both Brazil and Colombia implemented several policies with a specific objective of increasing coverage and enhancing the quality of maternal health services. The aim of these policies was to improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Maternal health has been a component of the Brazilian health agenda before the initiation of reforms aimed at achieving universal health coverage. However, it was not until the watershed moment of the 'National Programme for the Humanization of Antenatal - Delivery and Post-Partum Care' (2000) that maternal health became a political priority. The aim of the programme was to achieve significant access, coverage and quality of key maternal health interventions by anchoring maternal health services to the principles of sexual and reproductive rights and, in turn, position such services as a human right. Despite these ambitious goals, the programme has had limited success [12]. Several other policy initiatives such as the 'Pact for the Reduction of Maternal and Newborn Mortality' (2004) and the 'Stork Network Strategy' (2011) are evidence of the unfinished agenda in maternal health in Brazil. However, all those programmes and initiatives are struggling with the issues of quality in antenatal, childbirth, and postnatal care; system capacity limitations; and lack of integration among maternal health services [13–15].

In Colombia, several directives issued by the Ministry of Health and Social Protection after the 1993 Health System Reform sought to raise the standard of care for maternal health interventions. However, it was only in 2003 that a comprehensive policy for maternal health was enacted. The 2003 Sexual and Reproductive Health Policy approached maternal health issues in the broader context of women's lifecycle, recognizing that sexual and reproductive rights were human rights that needed to be upheld in order to protect the life of women, mothers and children. This represented an important change in the paradigm; however, implementation difficulties led the government to propose an emergency plan, 'Crash Plan' in 2004; this plan was expected to expedite progress in the reduction of maternal deaths. Despite significant efforts to improve policies focused on maternal health outcomes, those responsible for diagnosis, policy analysis, and policy design often came to the same conclusion: that the stagnation in reductions of maternal deaths, despite high levels of coverage achieved with key maternal health interventions, pointed to issues of quality of care [16, 17].

Despite recent efforts, MMRs in Brazil and Colombia are considered high and lag behind Latin American countries at a similar level of economic development (Mexico and Argentina) [18]. Moreover, the improvements in maternal health fell short of the MDG target 5 (improve maternal health), therefore MMR of the two countries remains unacceptably high [18]. Against this background, our study had a three-fold objective: (i) to analyse the equity of access to four key maternal healthcare interventions: (a) ANC of at least four visits; (b) SBA, which for both Brazil and Colombia encompasses doctors and nurses given there is no professional midwifery; (c) C-section; and (d) PNC; (ii) to compare the equity of access Brazil and Colombia; and (iii) to further study some of the drivers of inequitable access, while paying particular attention to private health insurance in Brazil.

Methods

Data sources and definition of healthcare intervention variables

We relied on the last available Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) (Brazil [2006] [19] and Colombia [2010]) [20]. The definitions of the coverage indicators for the four key maternal healthcare interventions are presented in Table 1 and are based on the paper by Ronsmans et al. (2008) [6].

Measures of inequality (concentration index) and decomposition analysis

In analysing equity in access, we relied on the standard concentration index (CI) methodology. We selected this measure as it lends itself to decomposition into the determinants of inequality. The CI is a summary measure of the degree of inequality of distribution of the variable of interest that places equal weights on the different degrees of inequalities along the income distribution [21]. It can be expressed as follows [22]:

$$C = \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t \mu_t R_t - 1,$$
 (1)

where C is the CI, $\mu = \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t \mu_t$ expresses the overall mean quantity of the health related "good" (i.e. health intervention), μ_t is the mean coverage rate of the t_{th} socioeconomic group, and R_t is the relative rank of the socioeconomic group along the socioeconomic distribution of the total population. The CI is a summary measure of the degree of inequality of distribution of the health-related "good", and it is bounded between -1 and +1, where 0 is its minimum value (reflecting equality) and -1 and +1 are its maximum possible values, where -1 corresponds to a distribution that favours the poor and +1 corresponds to a distribution that favours the rich [21].

The CI approach to measure inequalities in health sector variables has several advantages [21, 23, 24]. First, it provides a measure of the variations of inequality across the entire income distribution. Second, the CI is a summary measure that provides a numerical measure of inequality; when this is required, it facilitates inter-temporal and cross-country comparisons of levels of socioeconomic-related inequality. Third, the CI allows the calculation of standard errors to check statistical significance of results derived from survey data with different sample sizes and designs. Finally, the CI lends itself easily to decomposition into the determinants of inequality.

Despite these advantages, the CI approach is associated with certain shortcomings, such as the 'bounds issue' for bivariate variables. The CI inter-temporal and cross-country comparability is limited when the health care variable of interest is a bivariate variable. The existing literature argues that concentration indices for bivariate variables are bounded by the means of the variables; that is, equal concentration indices of two countries that have different mean rates of utilization of a given service reflect different levels of inequity in access, because "the mean of the distribution places bounds on the possible values of the concentration index" [25]. Thus, minimum and maximum values of the CI are no longer (-1, +1), but $(\mu - 1 - \mu)$ respectively. One way to approach this issue is to normalize the CI dividing it by $1 - \mu$ [21]. We followed this approach in this study.

Women were ranked according to socioeconomic status of the household in which they lived, and the sample was then divided into quintiles. For each quintile,

Indicator name Indicator description Numerator Denominator ANC: Antenatal care Percent of women (counted for each Number of women (counted for Total number of women (counted for (4 or more visits) pregnancy) attended at least four times each pregnancy) attended at least each pregnancy) between 15 and during pregnancy by any provider four times during pregnancy by any 49 years who had a live birth in the (skilled or unskilled) for reasons related provider (skilled or unskilled) for 5 years prior to the survey to the pregnancy in the 5 years prior reasons related to the pregnancy in to the survey the 5 years prior to the survey SBA: Skilled birth Percentage of live births attended by Number of live births in the 5 years Total number of live births to women assistance skilled health personnel (only doctor prior to the survey attended during aged 15-49 years in the 5 years prior and nurse are considered skilled delivery by skilled attendants to the survey attendants as there is no proffesional (doctor or nurse) midwifery in Brazil and Colombia) C-section rate Percentage of live births delivered Number of live births in the 5 years Total number of live births to women by Caesarean section prior to the survey delivered by aged 15-49 years in the 5 years prior Caesarean section to the survey PNC: Postnatal care Percentage of women (counted for Number of women (counted for each Total number of women aged for mothers each pregnancy) who had a postnatal pregnancy) who had a postnatal care 15-49 years (counted for each care consultation within two months consultation within two months of pregnancy) who had a live birth in of childbirth childbirth (regardless of place of the 5 years prior to the survey (regardless of place of delivery) delivery) in the 5 years prior to the survey

Source: TRACKING PROGRESS IN MATERNAL, NEWBORN & CHILD SURVIVAL THE 2008 REPORT. Changes were made to numerators and denominators of the ANC and PNC to account not only for the women but to each of the woman pregnancies in the 5 years prior to the survey

Table 1 Coverage Indicator definitions

coverage indicators were calculated. The chosen measure to capture the socioeconomic status of households was a wealth index, based on the principalcomponents analysis [26]. While the Colombian DHS contains a wealth index already calculated by the survey providers, to make the results comparable between the two countries, we constructed a similar index (based on the same components) for Brazil (details of this exercise, including limitations, are reported in Additional files 2 and 3).

The CI analysis was coupled with a standard decomposition analysis of the socioeconomic-related inequality affecting access. Socioeconomic-related inequality affecting a health variable of interest (captured by the CI) can be expressed as the result of the socioeconomic related inequalities of its determinants. Given the problems associated with the usage of linear models in conducting the decomposition analysis, we relied on a methodology for the decomposition analysis that used a probit model and its 'partial effects' [27, 28].

The general model is given by Eq. (2) below:

$$E\left(y_i|x_i\right) = G\left(\sum_k \beta_k x_i^k\right) \tag{2}$$

where G represents the functional form for a nonlinear model. As proposed by van Doorslaer, we have 'restore [d] the mechanics of the decomposition framework by replacing the β_k parameters in equation by the β_k^m parameters", where the β_k^m represent the "partial effects' of the x (the determinants of y) in the linear approximation of the non-linear model expressed by Eq. (3):

$$y_i = \sum_k \beta_k^m x_i^k + u_i \tag{3}$$

Consequently, we conducted a decomposition analysis of the socioeconomic-related inequality affecting access to SBA and access to PNC in Brazil using a probit model with its marginal effects. The concentration indices for these two interventions were calculated again for microdata [29]. We have selected these two measures for the decomposition analysis, as the existing research on the epidemiology of maternal mortality suggests that most maternal deaths occur during labour, delivery, or the first 24 h postpartum. Discovering the reasons behind the inequities in access to SBA and PNC could provide further evidence concerning why Brazil was unable to make progress on the reduction of maternal deaths.

For the decomposition analysis, the dependent variable (i.e. access to the two key maternal health interventions,

SBA and PNC) was explained as a function of need (pregnancy status and demographic characteristics, such as age); certain predisposing factors (whether the pregnancy was planned or wanted, total number of live births, marital status, and race); and enabling resources (the mother's educational attainment, the mother's wealth index, having a complementary private health insurance plan, being-or not-a beneficiary of the cash-transfer program 'Bolsa Familia' (a conditional cash transfers programme targeted towards the poor and vulnerable, which, inter alia, serve as a proxy for social status), and—as a proxy for community level factors-the region and the location of the residence in a rural or urban area), following the behavioural model of health service use [30]. Deliveries in a private hospital any by a doctor were considered additional determinants of SBA and PNC, respectively.

Colombian DHS did not allow us to combine child and mother datasets, which could have been used to run linear regression models to decompose SBA and PNC. For this reason, we focused on Brazil. All analyses were conducted using STATA (version 13.0). For all calculations, the sample weights related to the survey design were taken into account.

Results

Coverage rates of maternal health interventions and the extent of inequality across interventions and countries

Table 2 provides a summary of coverage rates for each of the four key maternal health interventions in each country. Figure 1 provides a visual representation of mean coverage rates in each country by quintile for each intervention. ANC and SBA displayed average coverage levels above 90% in both countries. PNC coverage mean rates were below 50% in both countries, while the mean C-section rate for the two countries were well above the 15% threshold recommended by WHO [31]. Overall differences in mean coverage rates between Brazil and Colombia were not significant.

Concentration index

Table 3 provides a summary of the concentration indices, the normalized concentration indices, the standard errors, t-values, and 95% confidence intervals. Inequities in access to all interventions in the two countries favoured the rich.

When first considering non-normalized CI, we found that inequity levels in both countries were similar. Further, ANC and SBA were the most equitable interventions (both of which had a mean coverage rate above 90%). The most inequitable intervention in Brazil was PNC. Although PNC in Colombia was more equitable than that in

Interventions	Brazil						Colomb	bia				
	Quintile	2S					Quintile	2S				
	1	2	3	4	5	Overall mean coverage rate	1	2	3	4	5	Overall mean coverage rate
ANC (4 visits or more)	87.4%	94.1%	81.1%	96.1%	98.7%	91.3%	85.8%	92.1%	93.9%	95.7%	98.3%	92.8%
SBA	81.9%	92.2%	96.1%	95.5%	98.6%	92.9%	82.7%	96.1%	98.7%	99.3%	99.4%	94.3%
C-section	18.7%	32.2%	36.4%	46.2%	62.1%	39.1%	25.4%	34.1%	36.4%	40.6%	43.9%	35.0%
PNC (within 2 days of birth) ^a							1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.8%	1.2%
PNC (within 2 months after birth)	11.6%	21.5%	31.2%	39.9%	58.6%	32.5%	18.7%	24.9%	27.9%	33.5%	35.3%	26.9%

Table 2 Interventions coverage based on wealth quintile

SBA skilled birth assistance, PNC postnatal care

^aOnly the Colombian DHS (2010) asked for attendance of postnatal care within 2 days of delivery

Brazil, PNC was the most inequitable maternal health intervention in Colombia. Once normalization was considered, the overall findings changed the dimension of inequity, not the presence or absence of inequity. Greater changes were observed for those interventions with the highest mean levels of coverage in the two countries after normalization. Before normalization, CI results indicated almost no inequity for ANC and SBA, as CI was close to zero.

The most inequitable intervention was SBA in Brazil, followed by SBA in Colombia, ANC in Brazil, and PNC in Brazil. On the contrary, our study found that Csection mean coverage rates are well above the 15% threshold recommended by WHO in the two countries [31]. And even for the poorer groups of the population, both in Brazil and Colombia, the C-section coverage rate was higher than the 15% threshold. Hence, the uneven distribution of caesarean deliveries across the groups, ranked according to their socioeconomic status, does not seem to indicate that there are access issues to medically prescribed caesarean delivery. While at first these results suggest the existence of inequality, it should not be considered as such, given that C-sections are not recommended in most cases.

Decomposition analysis of SBA and PNC in Brazil

Table 4 provides an overview of the contributions to socioeconomic-related inequality in access to SBA and PNC (within 2 months of birth) for each of the variables considered as determinants of access in the probit regression models. Figure 2 provides a visual presentation of the percentage contributions to inequity of the main determinants of access to SBA and PNC.

In case of SBA, variables that had a statistically significant impact on inequality to access were as follows: wealth inequality (contributed to 23.4% of total inequality in access), inequality in delivery in a private hospital (16.4%), and regional driven inequalities (11%). The inequality in access to the cash-transfer program *Bolsa Familia* appears to have a negative contribution of -4.4% to overall inequality, favouring the poor. The number of children (3.3%) and living in an urban area (2.9%) had lower contributions to overall inequality in access to SBA. The sum of the contributions of the included variables amounted to 50.44%, resulting in a considerable large error term; this suggests that omitted variables explain a significant portion of inequalities in access to SBA.

In the case of PNC (within 2 months of birth), statistically significant variables included the following: wealth inequality (37.2%), regional driven inequalities (14.7%), inequality in coverage of private health insurance (14%), inequality in mother's years of schooling (10.4%), and inequality in mother's age (8.5%). Inequality in delivery by a doctor (4.8%) and living in an urban area (4.5%) contributed to overall inequality, albeit to a lesser extent. The sum of the contributions by the included variables amounted to 98.1%.

It is evident that the chosen variables were better suited for decomposing the socioeconomic-related inequalities of PNC than those of SBA, as it appears when comparing the different elasticities of the variables with regard to SBA or PNC. However, it is necessary to consider that the chosen non-linear model (the probit model in this case) gives only an approximation to the decomposition of inequality, so that the residual component of the model reflects an 'estimation error' and an 'approximation error' [32].

Discussion

Several findings stem from our analysis. First, for SBA and ANC, Brazil and Colombia achieved coverage levels above 90% by 2006 and 2010, respectively. Second, our study found high levels of C-section interventions in both countries. Third, there are persistent pro-rich inequities in access to the key interventions, both in Brazil and Colombia. In the case of SBA, ANC, and PNC, normalized CIs suggest that, despite high levels of mean



coverage, there seems to be persistent pro-rich inequity of access for these interventions in both countries. Finally, the results of our decomposition analysis of the inequities in access to SBA and PNC in Brazil suggest that wealth inequalities, regional inequalities, inequalities in access to private hospitals, and inequalities in access to private health insurance explain the bulk of the inequities in access.

Some of our results are in line with previous findings, as evidenced by findings regarding access to C-sections. Specifically, mean coverage rates of C-section both in Brazil and Colombia are significantly above the upper limit of 15% recommended by the WHO, suggesting that both countries face difficulties in avoiding unnecessary procedures (such as C-section), which sometimes might lead to worse maternal and newborn health outcomes [31, 33–36]. Several studies have provided evidence for the reasons behind this high rate of C-section, particularly in Brazil. Some of the reasons include the existence of private health insurance, especially for the wealthier people; lack of information regarding the necessity of the intervention; and cultural reasons [36–39]. Our analysis suggests that twice as many women with private health insurance in Brazil opt for C-section, compared to those without private health insurance. The evidence in the Colombian context suggests the significant increase of

Interventions	Brazil							Colomb	ia					
	U	Mean coverage rates (µ)	C normalized = (C/1 - μ)	SE (C)	t (C)	Low	High	U	Mean coverage rates (µ)	C normalized = (C/1 - μ)	SE (C)	t (C)	Low	High
ANC (4 visits or more)	0.022	0.91	0.506	0.013	1.70	-0.004	0.048	0.024	0.93	0.329	0.008	3.13	0.008	0.039
SBA	0.032	0.93	0.836	0.013	2.37	0.005	0.059	0.035	0.94	0.612	0.015	2.36	0.005	0.065
C-section	0.207	0.39	0.362	0.055	3.74	0.095	0.318	0.097	0.35	0.150	0.029	3.30	0.038	0.157
PNC (within 2 months after birth)	0.277	0.32	0.456	0.068	4.05	0.14	0.415	0.123	0.27	0.168	0.028	4.32	0.066	0.180
SE standard errors, t (C) =	t-values a	nd Low and High are	the 95% confidence intervals في	limits										

Table 3 Concentration indices and key statistics

The t variable for C is defined as t = C/5E(C) The critical value $t_{\alpha2}$ of the variable t for the confidence intervals is obtained from the t distribution for $\alpha/2$ (2, 5%) level of significance and 38–40 df (degrees of freedom) The 95% confidence interval for C is given by: $C \pm t_{\alpha2}$ SE (C) SBA skilled birth assistance, *P*VC postnatal care

	SBA					PNC				
	Elasticities	Concentration indices	Contributions to C	Contributions to C (%)	P values	Elasticities	Concentration indices	Contributions to C	Contributions to C (%)	P values
Mother's age	-0.0082	0.0429	-0.0004	-1.70%	0.618	0.5588	0.0422	0.0236	8.59%	0.006
Wanted pregnancy	-0.0004	0.0702	0.0000	-0.13%	0.837	-0.034	0.068	-0.002	- 0.86%	0.295
Number of total children born alive	0.0088	-0.0774	0.0007	3.33%	0.020	-0.1018	-0.0769	0.0078	2.85%	0.114
Married or in union	0.0039	0.0396	0.0002	0.75%	0.397	-0.0293	0.0387	-0.0011	- 0.41%	0.711
Race (white, non-white)	-0.0004	0.1924	-0.0001	-0.39%	0.769	0.0036	0.1912	0.0007	0.25%	0.876
Mother's years of schooling	0.0051	0.1333	0.0007	3.30%	0.356	0.2170	0.1327	0.0288	10.49%	0.014
Wealth index	0.0193	0.2479	0.0048	23.44%	0.003	0.4136	0.2473	0.1023	37.29%	0.000
Private health insurance plan	-0.0017	0.4892	-0.0008	-4.13%	0.341	0.0797	0.4817	0.0384	14.00%	0.000
Benficiary of cash-transfer program 'bolsa familia'	0.0026	-0.3469	-0.0009	-4.44%	0.004	-0.0140	- 0.3432	0.0048	1.76%	0.467
Delivery in private hospital	0.0060	0.5611	0.0034	16.40%	0.000					
Delivery by a doctor						0.2118	0.0623	0.0132	4.81%	0.035
Region	0.0096	0.2345	0.0023	11.06%	0.001	0.1730	0.2344	0.0405	14.78%	0.000
Living in urban area (1 = urban, 0 = rural)	0.0069	0.0875	0.0006	2.95%	0.043	0.1423	0.0883	0.0126	4.58%	0.013
Concentration Index w/micro data			0.0103					0.2692		
		0.0204	50.4%				0.2743	98.12%		
Total Contribution				50.44%					98.12%	
Total Contribution (only statistically significant values				52.74%					94.53%	
"In both the logit and probit models all ¹ "for the logit model the rate of change ii in the analysis are involved"	the regressors ar n the probability	e involved in compu of an event happen	ting the changes in ing is given by ßjPi	probability" (1-Pi), where ßj is th	ne (partial regr	ession) coefficie	nt of the jth regress	or. But in evaluating	Pi, all the variables	ncluded

Table 4 Inequality decompositions for SBA and PNC (within 2 months after birth)

"In the probit model, the rate of change in the probability is somewhat complicated and is given by ß f (Zi), where f (Zi) is the density function of the standard normal variable and Zi = ß1 + ß2X2i + ... + ßkXki, that is, the regression model used in the analysis" S&A skilled birth assistance, *PNC* postnatal care



the C-section rate in Colombia may not only be driven by medical reasons but also by social, cultural, and economic factors [40].

However, our study found that there continue to be pro-rich inequities in access to the key maternal health interventions analysed in this study, both in Brazil and Colombia. This finding has already been documented by several empirical studies [15, 41–43]. More importantly, when observed from the point of view of continuum care for maternal health interventions, the significant pro-rich inequity in PNC is of particular concern, especially given the importance of this intervention for maternal morbidity and mortality outcomes [12].

The decomposition analysis of our study sheds light on the key reasons underlying the persistence of inequities in access to SBA and PNC in Brazil, despite systematic government efforts to eliminate horizontal inequities in access to maternal health services. The decomposition analysis results suggest that wealth inequalities, regional inequality, inequalities in access to private hospitals, and inequalities in access to private health insurance explain the bulk of the inequities in access to both SBA and PNC. Some of the findings, particularly the importance of the regional inequalities, has previously been documented [13, 15, 44]. In addition, the existing evidence suggests that negative perceptions of quality of care have induced women, even those from lowincome households, to reject care provided by public health services and to resort to out-of-pocket expenditures to access better quality antenatal care [45]. A significant body of evidence documents issues regarding quality of care; specifically, the rapid increase in health intervention coverage was not coupled with an equally fast improvement in quality [14]. Finally, certain barriers to care related to ethnicity and educational attainment have also been documented [46].

Furthermore, we show that, despite the existence of universal healthcare coverage, Brazil struggles similarly to Colombia—vis-à-vis equitable access to health interventions relevant for maternal care. Formal universal entitlement to healthcare does not always translate into equitable utilization of resources. This is further exacerbated by access to private health insurance. As private health insurance is connected to ability-to-pay and employment status, few individuals are covered by private insurance and thus it tends to be unequally distributed. Hence, the advantage that it provides to those who can afford it tends to exacerbate inequities in access to health services relative to those who must rely on public health services [45].

Conclusion

The present study constitutes the first attempt to provide a comprehensive analysis of socioeconomic-related inequities in access to maternal health interventions in Brazil and Colombia, by means of a concentration index analysis; furthermore, in the case of Brazil, we employed a decomposition of the concentration index to further study some of the drivers of inequity in access to these interventions. In that respect, the current study sheds light on the causes contributing to the stagnation of progress in reducing maternal mortality.

This study found that significant progress has been made both in Brazil and Colombia in expanding overall access to maternal health interventions, especially for the poorest segments of the population. However, it also found a persistence in pro-rich inequities in access to all four key maternal health interventions in both countries. Contrary to expectations, overall access was less inequitable in Colombia than in Brazil. This result seems counterintuitive, considering that universality of access was not ensured for all Colombian citizens until 2011, whereas in Brazil, universal coverage to comprehensive care is one of the pillars of the system. This finding suggests that formal universal entitlement to health care does not immediately translate into equitable utilization of resources. Further, other barriers to access (e.g. limited offer of services) gain significance when coverage levels are (overall) very high. The decomposition analysis conducted in the Brazilian case provides further evidence to additional barriers to access (e.g. quality of care, availability of private healthcare insurance), which in turn exacerbate the pro-rich inequities-vis-à-vis the key maternal health interventions. Similar findings have been documented, as the barriers of access in Colombia that became prominent as issues of insurance and financial barriers seem to have been, at least, partially resolved.

This study has limitations. The analysis was conducted with cross-sectional data that do not allow an evaluation of the effect of time. Comparisons were made between Brazil and Colombia with data that corresponded to different periods of time. In addition, recall bias has been reported as a significant limitation in similar studies. Finally, certain limitations are due to the use of wealth index, rather than the usual consumption/income measures of socioeconomic status. As noted in Additional file 2, while we tried to make the wealth index as comparable as possible between the two countries, there are slight differences in the questions included in the two surveys (e.g., the Brazilian national DHS does not include questions on cooking fuels). However, given the lack of consumption and income data in the DHS, construction of the wealth index is the best way to gauge socioeconomic status.

Limitations notwithstanding, the findings of this study have important policy implications. Further progress in the reduction of maternal health inequities will require policy initiatives that improve access to high quality services, particularly for the poorer segments of the population. Moreover, addressing inequities in regional and local health infrastructure is crucial. In addition, higher public funding and better management of the public health system could reduce inequalities attributed to the better access of the higher socioeconomic groups to private sector facilities. Finally, the authorities in both Brazil and Colombia should work on curbing the high levels of C-section operations.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Summary of Health System Reforms, Policies and Programmes relevant to Maternal Health Services and Outcomes. (DOCX 23 kb)

Additional file 2: Brazil construction of the construction of the wealth index. (DOCX 15 kb)

Additional file 3: Key data summary. (DOCX 13 kb)

Abbreviations

ANC: Antenatal care; Cl: Concentration index; DHS: Demographic and Health Surveys; FP: Family planning; MDG: Millennium Development Goals; MMR: Maternal mortality ratio; PNC: Postnatal care; SBA: Skilled birth assistance; SDG: Sustainable Development Goals; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgments

None

Funding

There was no external funding for this research.

Availability of data and materials

The data and all background materials are available upon request.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to writing, editing, and commenting on the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

As this was a secondary data analysis, no ethics approval was needed or sought.

Consent for publication

As this was a secondary analysis, there was no need for consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests, and no funding was sought for this research.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 9 July 2017 Accepted: 20 March 2018 Published online: 11 April 2018

References

- World Health Organization. Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2013. Estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA. Geneva: The World Bank and The United Nations Population Division; 2014.
- Ronsmans C, Graham WJ. Maternal mortality: who, when, where, and why. Lancet. 2006;368:1189–200.
- Campbell OMR, Graham WJ. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. Lancet. 2006;368:1284–99.
- Thaddeus S, Maine D. Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. Soc Sci Med. 1994;38:1091–110.
- Whitehead M. The concepts and principles of equity and health. Int J Health Serv. 1992;22:429–45.
- Barros AJD, Ronsmans C, Axelson H, Loaiza E, bertoldi AD, Franca GVA, Bryce J, Boerman JT, Victora CG. Equity in maternal, newborn, and child health interventions in countdown to 2015: a retrospective review of survey data from 54 countries. Lancet. 2012;379:1225–33.
- McDonagh M. Is antenatal care effective in reducing maternal morbidity and mortality? Health Policy Plan. 1996;11:1–15.
- Filippi V, Ronsmans C, Campbell OMR, Graham WJ, Mills A, Borghi J, et al. Maternal health in poor countries: the broader context and a call for action. Lancet. 2006;368:1535–41.
- Lindelow M, Edson A. Universal healthcare coverage for inclusive sustainable development: country summary report for Brazil. Health, nutrition and population global practice, World Bank Group report, September, 2014. 2014.
- Ramiro G, Prada SI, Pérez AM, Duarte J, Aguirre AF. Universal Healthcare Coverage Assessment; Colombia. Global network for health equity (GNHE). 2015.
- 11. World Health Organization. Private health insurance: implications for developing countries. Discussion paper, Number 3, 2004. 2004.
- Serruja SJ, Cecatti JG, Lago T. The Brazilian Ministry of Health's program for humanization of prenatal and childbirth care: preliminary results. Sao Paulo. Cad Saude Publica. 2004;20:1281–9.
- Dias MA, Domingues RM, Schilithz AO, Nakamura-Pereira M, Diniz CS, Brum IR, et al. Incidence of maternal near miss in hospital childbirth and postpartum: data from the birth in Brazil study. Cad Saude Publica. 2014; 30(Sup 1):S1–S12.
- Viellas EF, Domingues RM, Dias MA, Gama SG, Theme Filha MM, Costa JV, et al. Prenatal care in Brazil. Cad Saude Publica. 2014;30(Suppl 1):S1–15.
- d'Orsi E, Brüggemann OM, Diniz CS, Aguiar JM, Gusman CR, Torres JA, et al. Social inequalities and women's satisfaction with childbirth care in Brazil: a national hospital-based survey. Cad Saude Publica. 2014;30(Suppl 1):S1–15.
- 16. Republica de Colombia, Ministerio de la Proteccion Socila, Direccion General de Salud Publica. Political Nacional de Salud Sexual y Reproductiva. 2003.
- Betancourt D, Santander EJ, Gonzalez Velez AC, Monsalve Rios LE. Plan de choque para la reducción de la mortalidad maternal. 2004.Available at: http://www.ossyr.org.ar/pdf/bibliografia/5.2.pdf [accessed 13 Mar 2018].
- World Development Indicators, The World Bank, http://data.worldbank.org/ data-catalog/world-development-indicators. Accessed 20 May 2017.
- 19. Brazil National Demographic and Health Survey 2006. Available at: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/pnds/banco_dados.php
- 20. Colombia Demographic and Health Survey 2010. Available at: https://dhsprogram.com/what-we-do/survey/survey-display-381.cfm
- O'Donnel O, van Doorslaer E, Wagstaff A, Lindelow M. Analyzing health equity using household survey data. A guide to techniques and their implementation. Washington, D.C: The World Bank; 2008.
- Wagstaff A. Socioeconomic inequalities in child mortality: comparisons across nine developing countries. Bull World Health Organ. 2000;78:19–29.
- 23. Wagstaff A, Pi P, van Doorslaer E. On the measurement of inequalities in health. Soc Sci Med. 1991;33:545–57.
- Costa-Font J, Hernández-Quevedo C, McGuire A. Persistence despite Action? Measuring the Patterns of Health Inequality in England (1997–2007). LSE Health, Working Paper No: 20/2010. The London School of Economics and Political Science. 2010.
- Wagstaff A. The bounds of the concentration index when the variable of interest is binary, with an application to immunization inequality. Health Econ. 2005;14:429–32.
- 26. Rutstein S, Johnson K. The DHS wealth index. DHS comparative reports no. 6. Calverton: ORC Macro; 2004.

- van Doorslaer E, Koolman X, Jones AM. Explaining income-related inequalities in doctor utilization in Europe. Health Econ. 2004;13:629–47.
- Wagstaff A, van Doorslaer E, Watanabe N. On decomposing the causes of health sector inequalities with an application to malnutrition inequalities in Vietnam. J Econometrics. 2003;112:207–23.
- Kakwani N, Wagstaff A, van Doorslaer E. Socioeconomic inequalities in health: measurement, computation, and statistical inference. J Econometrics. 1997;77:87–103.
- Andersen R. Revisiting the Behavioural model and access to medical care: does it matter? J Health Sci Behav. 1995;36:1–10.
- 31. Gibbons L, Belizán JM, Lauer JA, Betrán AP, Merialdi M, Althabe F. The global numbers and costs of additionally needed and unnecessary caesarean sections performed per year: overuse as a barrier to universal coverage. World health report 2010. Background paper, 30. Geneva: WHO; 2010.
- van Doorslear E, Masseria C, Koolman X, OECD Health Equity Research Group. Inequalities in access to medical care by income in developed countries. CMJA. 2006;174:177–83.
- Victora CG, Aquino EML, Leal MC, Monteiro CA, Barros FC, Szwarcwald CL. Maternal and child health in Brazil: progress and challenges. Lancet. 2011; 377:1863–76.
- 34. Giedion U, Uribe MV. Colombia's universal health insurance system. Health Aff. 2009;28:853–63.
- 35. Franca G, Restrepo-Mendez MC, Maia MF, Victora C, Barros A. Coverage and equity in reproductive and maternal health interventions in Brazil: impressive progress following the implementation of the unified health system. Int J Equity Health. 2016;15:149.
- Nakamura-Pereira M, do Carmo Leal M, Esteves-Pereira AP, Domingues RM, Torres JA, Dias MA, et al. Use of Robson classification to assess cesarean section rate in Brazil: the role of source of payment for childbirth. Reprod Health. 2016;13:128.
- de Almeida S, Bettiol H, Barbieri MA, Silva AA, Ribeiro VS. Significant differences in cesarean section rates between a private and a public hospital in Brazil. Cad Saude Publica. 2008;24:2909–18.
- Barros AJ, Santos IS, Matijasevich A, Domingues MR, Silveira M, Barros FC, et al. Patterns of deliveries in a Brazilian birth cohort: almost universal cesarean sections for the better-off. Rev Saude Publica. 2011;45:635–43.
- Domingues RM, Dias MA, Nakamura-Pereira M, Torres JA, d'Orsi E, Pereira AP, et al. Process of decision-making regarding the mode of birth in Brazil: from the initial preference of women to the final mode of birth. Cad Saude Publica. 2014;30:S1–16.
- Rubio-Romero JA, Fonseca-Pérez JE, Molina S, Buitrago Leal M, Zuleta JJ, Ángel-Müller E, et al. Racionalización del uso de la cesárea en Colombia. Consenso de la Federación Colombiana de Obstetricia y Ginecología (FECOLSOG) y la Federación Colombiana de Perinatología (FECOPEN). Rev Colomb Obstet Ginecol. 2014;65:139–51.
- 41. Barros AJD, Victora CG, Cesar JA, Neumann NA, Bertoldi AD. Brazil: are health and nutrition programs reaching the neediest? In: Gwatkin DR, Wagstaff A, Yazbeck A, editors. Reaching the poor with Health, Nutrition and Population Services. What Works, What Doesn't and Why? Washington, D.C: The World Bank; 2005.
- Pérez-Olivo JL, Cuevas EL, García-Forero S, Campos-Arias A. Maternal near miss morbidity in Colombia: variables related to opportune access to health care related to the number of inclusion criteria. Rev Fac Med. 2014;62:553–8.
- González Ortiz LD, Gómez Arias RD, Vélez Álvarez GA, Agudelo Londono SM, Gómez Dávila J, Wylie J. Characteristics of hospital care and its relationship to severe maternal morbidity in Medellín, Colombia. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2014;35:15–22.
- Assunção MF, Soares RC, Serrano I. Overcrowding in Pernambuco maternity in the context of current health policy. Serviço Social em Revista. 2014;16:5–35.
- Béhague DP, Goncalves H, Dias Da Costa J. Making medicine for the poor: primary health care interpretations in Pelotas, Brazil. Health Policy Plan. 2002;17:131–43.
- do Carmo Leal M, da Gama SGN, da Cunha CB. Desigualdades raciais, sociodemográfi cas e na assistência ao pré-natal e ao parto, 1999–2001. Rev Saúde Pública. 2005;39:100–7.