

Authors



Sally Murray
Senior Country
Economist
Researcher, Rwanda
Team



Brian Halusan
Senior Associate
Forsyth Street

Published on: 21 Mar 2017

Countries: Africa, Rwanda

Research themes: Cities

Building affordable housing in Kigali

Solving the challenge of affordable urban housing remains a key concern to urban planners and city officials in rapidly urbanising cities. This blog examines a new costing tool that researchers used to model the cost factors of two promising housing designs for low-income markets. Can incrementalism and multi-story construction be effectively scaled to expand access to affordable low-income housing in Kigali?

How do you price a house?

We modelled an 'affordable' neighbourhood development project in Kigali, inputting real cost data into a flexible Excel model. This mirrored a <u>Bertaud Model</u> of neighbourhood costs, which includes three core 'modules':

- Module one: Infrastructure costs (on-site and off-site). This includes features like roads, power lines, water pipes, and sewerage. Modelling these costs is particularly key for Rwanda, because of a Government programme to subsidise on-site infrastructure for affordable developments.
- Module two: Dwelling costs (quality and completeness). This presents a choice between six degrees of housing quality: Minimal, Low, Average, Above Average, High, and Multi-Storey. Higher quality houses use improved materials, workmanship, and on-site infrastructure, focused on improving the robustness of the house (rather than particularly lavish finishings) (see Figure 1 below for descriptions of each category). This module also allows choices to be made about the final house's 'completeness'. Does the developer deliver a complete house with two rooms and a bathroom, or only deliver a foundation and communal sanitary facilities, for the buyer to add rooms, plumbing, and interior décor over time? This feature thus allows the user to consider the merits and drawbacks of 'incremental' building (discussed below). For all quality categories, we assume 36 square metres of floor area for the equivalent 'finished' house- a modest size, to reflect the focus on low-income affordable housing.
- *Module three: Land use and cost recovery.* To properly cost a housing project, we must consider land use for the entire

neighbourhood, including land reserved for shared infrastructure, schools, health centres, and open space. We should also consider factors such as the interest rate and deposit requirements, profits

factors such as the interest rate and deposit requirements, profits, overheads, and income assumptions to be modelled, as well as the overall mix of houses and any cross-subsidisation of infrastructure.



Incrementalism to address housing trade-offs: Price vs quality

An incremental project would deliver the most technically challenging, expensive, but integral parts of a house- such as proper foundations, supporting walls, basic plumbing and core neighbourhood infrastructure, often partially or fully subsidised. Recipients can then use their own funds to finish, polish, extend and otherwise improve and personalise their houses according to their needs. Incrementalism ensures the basic structure is sound, and in line with neighbourhood plans, reducing long-term costs of maintenance or re-building. Meanwhile, it avoids micromanaging the house design, or raising prices above the affordability threshold, providing more space and higher quality than the recipient can afford.

The study finds that the cost of adding additional rooms and features drops dramatically after the *foundation* and *plumbing* have been provided. Hence, it is much easier for low-income households to *extend* or *complete* a house than to find capital for an entire finished unit.

Also in favour of incrementalism, the study found that houses with more robust structures can be less expensive in the long run. They raise initial construction costs, but also extend the lifespan of a house and reduce maintenance costs. For example, compared to the 'Low' quality house, the 'Average' house costs 57% more to construct, but is expected to last three times as long, and need less expensive repairs, making it cheaper overall. This is one important reason for governments to ensure that the most structurally important features of a house are provided (as is ensured through appropriate subsidised incremental housing). Without this support, households under pressure can chose unsound houses which cost more in the long run.

For both reasons, **incrementalism** was confirmed to be an important solution for affordable housing.

Two-storey housing for efficient land use

Two-storey buildings were also found to be advantageous. Their construction cost (Module two) is 57% more expensive than other 'above average' housing, due to the need for reinforced columns, beams and floor, and higher skilled labour for construction. However, due to these necessary quality improvements, they also have a longer lifecycle and lower maintenance costs. A two-storey house also requires only half the plot size to achieve the same floor area; this land use efficiency is particularly important in Kigali, where undevelopable hills and marshland consume more than 50% of available land, and approximately 34,000 new dwelling units are estimated to be needed each year (City of Kigali, 2012). The study finds that 7-47% of development costs are accounted for by land - the exact percentage depends on location, building style, and neighbourhood features. The appropriateness of twostorey building will thus be higher for more desirable, central, dense locations, and less appropriate for peripheral locations. The benefits of multi-storey building will increase as densities and land prices in the city rise over time.

Important to note is that we model only *two*-storey buildings, under the 'multi-storey' analysis. Buildings able to withstand additional storeys can be significantly more expensive to build, and also present weaker opportunities for incrementalism; thus, arguments for two-storey construction do not automatically translate to arguments for three, four, or more storeys.

Looking forward

This study provides a valuable analytical tool to support the government and City of Kigali, as they determine appropriate responses to addressing the low-income housing supply gap. The tool can be updated fairly easily for other cities and contexts, with fresh market research on input prices.

We invite you to explore the methodology and findings in more depth in the full paper, and to be in touch should you wish to replicate the study.

CATEGORY	MINIMAL.	LOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE	HIGH	MULTI-STORY
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Astructure deficient in finishes typical for its use, or below standard building codes. Usually built as a shell or outside cities or before standard building codes were extablished.	The same as "Average", but with the lowest practical cost to still pass budding codes. Very plain but substantial beginning specialities one for from stock plains and off-the-shelf components. May be considered standard in low-cost areas.	The most common, frequently owner or contractor designed. Workmanship is professional, but exists in orallumanship not in evidence. Materials are sovicosible, but built for a price. These buildings are basically little above maintane uniform building code requirements.	Above average, but not uncommon in quality of materials and worknowelsy. Architects and reputable contractors are retained for this work. May be considered only standard construction in high-cost areas.	Outom-built Insidings, embodying superior materials and weekmanship, the best normally found, should, not including special construction with unusual material and labor. Well-known architects and contractors are retained for this work.	Above neerage quality of materials and workmorehy. Architects and reputable contractors are retained for this work. Superstructure meets building codes and zoning regulations.
FOUNDATION	Granite with earth mortar	Granite with earth mortar	Granite with cement mortar	Granite with cement mortar	Granite with cement mortar	Reinforced concrete footings (M28 concrete)
SHELL SYSTEM						
Wall Material	MudBrick	CEB	SCEB	Coment Block	Fired Clay	Reinforced concrete columns & beams (M20); Cement block infill
Electrical	No exterior lights, one interior light per room, one outlet per room, no grounding, low cost materials	Some exterior lights, one interior light per room, one outlet per room, grounded system, low cost materials	Some esterior lights, one interior light per room, multiple outlet per room, grounded system, average cost materials	Exterior lights, one light per room, multiple outlet per room, grounded system, good quality materials and flatures	Exterior lights, one light per room, multiple outlets per room, grounded system, quality materials, custom light fixtures	Exterior lights, one light per room, multiple outlet per room, grounded system, good quality materials and flutures
Plumbing	Plumbed cold water line and drainage; connection to water; no septic connection	Plumbed cold water line and drainage; connection to water; no septic connection	Plumbed cold water line and drainage; connection to water; no septic connection	Plumbed cold & hot water line and drainage; connection to water and septic	Plumbed cold & hot water line and drainage; connection to water and septic	Plumbed cold & hot water line and drainage; connection to water and septic
Wall Finish	Exterior & interior earth plaster	Exterior & interior earth plaster	Exterior & interior coment plaster	Exterior & interior cement plaster	Interior cornent plaster	Exterior & interior cement plaster
Fenestration	One wood frame door & window per room	One wood frame door & window per room	One steel frame door & window per room	One steel frame door & window per room	One steel frame door & window-per-room	One steel frame door & window per room
ROOF SYSTEM	Eucalyptus tree frame Corrugated steel covering	Milled escalyptus frame Corrugated steel covering	Steel frame Steel covering PVC gutter system	Milled excal/ptus frame "Local" clay tile covering PVC gutter system	Steel frame Ruliba manufactured clay tile covering Steel gutter system	Miled excelptus frame "Local" clay tile covering (2nd Fi) PVC gutter system
R.OOR SYSTEM	Adobe	Consent Screed	Cornent Screed	Limestone	Corumic Tile	Reinforced concrete floor slabs (M28 concrete)
FINISHINGS						
Kitchen	No slove or sink	Outdoor cooking, sink, cold running-water	indoor wood stove, chimney, sink, cold running water	Indoor wood stove, chimney, sink, cold running water	Gas stove, sink, cold running water	indoor wood stove, chimney, sink, cold running water
Bathroom	Pit latrine (includes pit, toilet stand, toilet seat), sink with fixtures, bath tray, cold running water	PE latrine (includes pit, toilet stand, toilet seat), sink with fixtures, bath tray, cold running water	Composting toilet (includes chamber, toilet stand, toilet sext, solid/liquid waste separator, urine collection tank), sink with fictures, bath tray, oxid running water	Shared septic system, Bushing toilet, sink with fictures, buth tray, cold running water	Shared septic system, flushing toilet, sink with fintures, bath tray, cold running water	Shared septic system, flushing toilet, sink with flutures, both tray, cold running water

Figure 1. Six Dwelling Unit Qualities Modelled