Alan Manning shows how the economic progress of women has stalled. The evidence from the gender pay gap, employment rates and attitudes all suggest we may not end up with identical labour market outcomes for men and women.

We have become accustomed to news that the gap between the economic performance of men and women is narrowing. The headline gender pay gap tends to fall year-on-year as is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Female Median Hourly Earnings as Percentage of Male Median Hourly Earnings**
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Source: ONS Statistics from NES/ASHE

From Figure 1 one might draw the conclusion that the earnings of men and women in the UK will eventually converge though that would take some decades if one extrapolates the present pace of change.

But there is another way of looking at the data that leads to a less optimistic conclusion. The headline gender pay gap averages across women of all ages from those just entering the labour market to those just about to retire. From one year to the next one group of sixty-something women leave the labour market to be replaced by a new set of 20-somethings. Because the labour market for women today is very different from what it was 40 years ago (when the Equal Pay Act had not yet been implemented in full) the headline gender pay gap continues to fall. But suppose we look at the way the gender pay gap varies over the life-cycle for women born at different points in time. This is shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 2: The Gender Pay Gap Over the Life-Cycle for Different Birth Cohorts**
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There are several points to note. First later birth cohorts tend to have a lower gender pay gap at every age. But, the gender pay gap for those born around 1990 does not seem any lower than that for those born 10 years earlier. And while the gender pay gap tends to be quite small for women in their 20s the indication is that it will rise for women in their 30s.

Why has the gender pay gap been stalling? One clue is to look at what has been happening to employment rates over the life-cycle as is shown in Figure 3.

**Figure 3: Women’s Employment Rates Over the Life-Cycle**
Figure 3 shows that the employment rate of women used to be much higher for each generation of women. But, again, the very latest generation of women are not working any more than those who were born a decade earlier. Actually, they are working slightly less in their early 20s but that is because more women are staying longer in education where the gap in educational attainment between women and men continues to widen.

This stagnation in the employment rates of the most recent cohorts of women is not unique to the UK – it has also occurred in the US. But, it is not entirely clear why it has happened. One possible reason is that the big sources of gender inequality have been dealt with – the end of the marriage bar, equal pay, maternity rights, rights to flexible working – and it has become harder to come up with new policies that one would expect to make a big difference.

The main reason why the employment rate of women remains below that of men is that many mothers, especially of very young children, do not work in the labour market. Figure 4 shows that one possible reason for this is that a considerable number of women continue to believe that it is best for their pre-school children if their mother does not work.

**Figure 4: Percentage Agreeing That a Pre-school child suffers if their mother works**
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What one notices from Figure 4 is that women are less likely than men to think children will suffer if the mother works and that younger women (and men) are much less likely to think children will suffer than old women. But, again, we see that the very youngest women (e.g. those born since 1980) are not less likely to think the children will suffer than those born around 1970. The proportion of women who believe that it is possible, even desirable, to combine work and family increased dramatically over the last 60 years but is no longer increasing in the way it once was. Again, things seem to have stalled.

Of course, one could argue that a smaller number of women would think pre-school children suffer if their mothers work if the average father provided more support within the home and if the quality of childcare was better and its cost lower. But it is also possible that many of these women think – whether for good reason or not – that there is something unique about a ‘mother-child’ relationship for which there can only ever be imperfect substitutes.
This evidence from the gender pay gap, employment rates and attitudes all suggests we may not end up with identical labour market outcomes for men and women. It then becomes of crucial importance how the labour market rewards different types of work. So it may be that finding some way to reverse the increase in wage inequality is the best way in the future to close the gender pay gap.
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