LSE Research Online

Article (refereed)



Ernestina Coast

An evaluation of demographers' use of ethnographies

Originally published in Population studies, 57 (3), pp. 337-347 © 2003 Routledge, part of the Taylor & Francis Group.

You may cite this version as:

Coast, Ernestina (2003). An evaluation of demographers' use of ethnographies [online]. London: LSE Research Online.

Available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/archive/00000739 Available online: 20th April 2006

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this version and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Dr E. E. Coast Dept of Social Policy **London School of Economics Houghton Street** London WC2A 2AE

An evaluation of demographers' use of ethnographies

Short title: Demographers' use of ethnographies

Dr Ernestina Coast **London School of Economics**

An earlier version of this paper was presented at the IUSSP General Conference, Salvador, Brazil, August 2001. Comments and advice from Sara Randall, Susan Cotts Watkins, Tim Dyson, Alaku Basu, Mike Murphy and Wendy Sigle-Rushton are gratefully acknowledged.

A survey of papers reporting the use of ethnographies in three population journals and an examination of two case studies show that the criticisms made by anthropologists and others of demographers' use of ethnographies are well founded. In their use of these accounts, demographers tend to present an excessively static view of social organization, to use ethnographic evidence selectively to support other findings, to be indifferent to how long ago an ethnography was produced, to take for granted the validity of the ethnographic evidence, to ignore the broader historical context in which the ethnography was produced, and to be unaware of the ways in which demographic evidence can be used at all stages of the research process The adoption of anthropologists' suggestions for establishing the plausibility and credibility of ethnographic evidence could improve the value of the contribution made by these studies to demographic research and theory.

Keywords: anthropology, culture, ethnography, qualitative research, research methodology

Introduction

An ethnography is an account of the observation and interpretation of the activities of a cultural group. The use of these accounts to inform demographic research has a long history (Lorimer 1954), but demographers have often been criticized, especially by those engaged in anthropological demography, for failing to take full advantage of the ethnographic data available. For example, in their survey of the methods and uses of anthropological demography, Basu and Aaby describe the lack of attention paid by demographers to ethnographies as an important shortcoming (1998, p. 8).

In this paper, I am concerned with how demographic research has used existing ethnographies, not with how these were produced. A brief review of the nature of ethnography is followed by an assessment of the ways in which demographic research has drawn on ethnographies, as revealed by a survey of papers in three demographic journals over a thirty-year period and an examination of two case studies. The final section suggests the potential value for future demographic research of adopting suggestions made by anthropologists for the reading of ethnographic research.

The nature of ethnography

Ethnography is generally associated with social or cultural anthropology (Atkinson et al. 2001), though there are distinct national traditions, including those of American cultural anthropology (Faubion 2001) and British social anthropology (Macdonald 2001). The process of ethnography has been described as 'the work of describing a culture' (Spradley 1980, p. 3) and 'ethno-graphy—the writing of culture' (Atkinson

1992, p. 5), where culture refers to a group's common beliefs, experience and practice, often defined by variables such as region or language (after Basu 1992). The process can take a variety of forms and draw on a wide range of research methods, though the methods used will include some kind of participant observation. Recent developments in demography involving the incorporation of notions of culture (for example, Hammel 1990; Greenhalgh 1990; Fricke 1997) imply that ethnographies will form an increasingly important source of data and theory for demographers

The ethnographer represents reality to the reader (or viewer), from a standpoint that recognizes that there is not one reality but only multiple representations of it (Atkinson 1992). In fact there is extensive debate among practitioners about the production and use of their accounts. Indeed Greenhalgh suggests that 'Issues of ethnographic method and representation have occupied such a large place in recent anthropological discourse that it is hazardous to try to summarise what has been said' (1997, p. 821). A major theme of this discourse has been about the shift from an approach in which social structure was seen to produce rules that governed people's behaviour and maintained social order to an approach that emphasized the active contribution of individuals and groups to interpretations of and changes in their society. The issues are complex and confronting them, which Fricke (1997) has described as 'not for the faint of heart', is beyond the scope of this paper.

The written ethnography involves a representation of reality as interpreted by the ethnographer, and not simply a description. Here a parallel may be drawn with Geertz's (1973) distinction between *thin* and *thick* description. Jacobson (1991) equates the former with the image taken by a camera—an accurate but superficial

representation of reality. In contrast, thick description involves interpretation and synthesis by the ethnographer, which (to continue with the photographic metaphor) could be equated to putting a filter over the camera lens. The selection of information for the account will be influenced by the ethnographer's theoretical perspective and by any particular arguments the author wishes to support or refute.

In the next section, demographic journals are surveyed in order to examine how demographic research has used ethnographic texts over the past thirty years. This sample seemed adequate for the purposes of this paper, though of course it excludes many important studies, such as Lesthaeghe's (1989) *Reproduction and Social Organisation in Sub-Saharan Africa*.

How have demographers used ethnographies?

A survey of three demographic journals—*Population* (English summary), *Population and Development Review*, and *Population Studies*— from 1970 to 1998 supports Hammel's (1990) view that the critical use of ethnographies by demographers has far to go.

The search yielded a total of 101 papers that mentioned the term ethnography, and the way an ethnography had been used in each case was assessed. Of the 101 accounts, 85 were found to be relevant for this study; the remaining 16 referred to ethnography simply as a form of data collection in the research methods used.

The distribution over the 28-year period of papers referring to ethnography reflects the rapid rise in interest in qualitative information and research (Kertzer and

Fricke 1997; Greenhalgh 1995): 1990–98, 55 items; 1980–89, 40 items; 1970–79, 6 items. Given the debate within and outside demography about the integration of qualitative and quantitative approaches, it is perhaps surprising that so little published research incorporates existing ethnographic information. While this study has used a sample of 85 reports, this represents less than five per cent of all papers published over the 28-year period. The following section identifies three main ways in which published demographic research has used ethnographies: to provide context; to validate results and conclusions; and to inform research questions. The section closes with a discussion of some more general features of the use of ethnographies.

Context-setting

The most common use of ethnographies reported in the papers surveyed was to provide background context for the research, either directly—referring to ethnographic work in the text, or indirectly—citing ethnographies in the bibliography, but not referring directly to ethnographic work in the text. One author in the latter category explains that detailed information about context is unnecessary 'Since both the history and the ethnography are easily available to interested readers' (Wolf 1984, p. 95).

As noted earlier, ethnography has undergone important shifts in its core ideas over the last century. An important transition relevant to this study entailed the shift away from the British tradition in which social structure was seen as a coherent system of functionally interlinked institutions that satisfied society's requirements, and that largely controlled the behaviour of individuals. Supplanting that tradition has

been an approach that sees individuals actively constructing and interpreting their world rather than being controlled by its institutions.

Several authors (most notably Hammel (1990) and Lockwood (1995)) have been highly critical of demographers' uncritical use of ethnographies. Does their use of ethnographies to provide context in their studies warrant such criticisms? The short answer is 'Yes'. When they use ethnographies for this purpose, demographers tend to report very generalized statements about cultural norms, rules, and structures. A typical example is provided by Gage's study of premarital childbearing in Kenya and Namibia. She refers to 'a range of cultural norms governing female premarital sexual activity. On the one hand the Luo, Luhya, Maragoli and Masai [sic] traditionally cherished virginity and did not permit girls to engage in premarital sexual relations. On the other hand, the Akamba allowed premarital sexual intercourse for boys and girls following circumcision' (1998, p. 22). That ethnographies in the colonial British tradition are attractive to demographers (and other social scientists) is unsurprising, because they involve the subordination of an individual's behaviour to the needs of a social system that is constructed of rules and norms that govern an individual's behaviour (Lockwood 1995)

As context-setting tools, ethnographies can be invaluable to demographers, a point emphasized by Greenhalgh in the report of her study on Taiwanese land reform and entrepreneurship: 'Of all the literature on Taiwan, only ethnographic studies adequately convey the near-manic flavour of the acquisitional drive characterising Taiwanese farmers-turned-petty entrepreneurs' (1989, p. 95).

Validation

The second most common use of ethnographies in demographic research found in the survey was as information to corroborate or support the investigator's conclusions. The following examples illustrate this use. 'This result is consistent with ethnographic findings that marriage is often not a prerequisite for childbearing among blacks' (Manning and Smock 1995, p. 518). 'Both ethnographic and anecdotal evidence have long suggested the importance of structural constraints in shaping the behaviour of black teens living in inner-city neighbourhoods' (Brewster 1994, p. 611). '[The] survey has been useful in demonstrating ways of obtaining demographic results that are consistent with the ethnographic literature on marriage customs' (Meekers 1992, p. 73). Two quotations drawn from Balk (1997) are interesting because they illustrate the 'bi-directional' flow of her conclusions. In the first quotation, it is the ethnographic evidence that supports the conclusions she draws from survey data: 'Women who live with their in-laws are less mobile than those who do not, as expected from the ethnographic evidence' (1997, p. 163). In the second quotation, she presents her survey data as supporting the ethnographic evidence: 'This confirms ethnographic evidence of the powerful and controlling effect of in-laws' (1997, p. 166). The following are noteworthy among many more examples of this way of using ethnographies: Mason and Palan (1981); Jones and Grupp (1983); Suchindran et al (1985); Fricke et al (1986); Cherlin and Chamratrithirong (1988); Budd and Guinane (1991); Preston et al (1992); Rosenbaum (1992); Desai and Jain (1994); Reher (1995)

Ethnographic evidence tends to be used as a way of establishing the credibility of demographic research—ostensibly to demonstrate that the integration of

ethnographic with other evidence represents 'data triangulation' (Denzin 1997). This use of ethnographic information does at least acknowledge that it is an appropriate source of complementary evidence. On the other hand, because the supporting ethnographic evidence tends to be used in the discussion or conclusions, there is usually little discussion of its reliability or validity. Further, very few researchers note whether their own results were at odds with or contradicted the ethnographic evidence they employ. In short, ethnographies are often invoked selectively by demographers to confirm the reliability and validity of their own results and conclusions.

Generation of research questions

A third group of authors among those surveyed had used ethnographies to inform their research questions. Perhaps it is this group that most closely identifies with leading practitioners of anthropological demography in calling for demography to try to integrate ethnographic information and theorising into its research. It is rare for an author to refer explicitly to this kind of integration, but here are some examples. In her study of sexual stratification in east Asia, Greenhalgh says 'My argument is based on study of my own data, data collected from parents and household registers, and on reading of ethnographic and economic studies of women in Taiwan' (1985, p. 275). In discussing the influence of social learning on fertility and developing their social effect model, Montgomery and Casterline draw on 'Ethnographic accounts of poor black neighbourhoods' (1996, p. 160). Ethnographic evidence on the low quality of reported calendar dates provides part of the impetus for Meeker's (1991) study on the effect of data entry and cleaning procedures on the measurement of first birth intervals. While there other examples, including Basu 1989, Duncan and Hoffman 1990 Bentley et al.1993, and Geronimus et al (1994),

overall there is relatively little evidence of ethnographic information being incorporated into the design and formulation of research questions.

General features

Having identified the three main ways in which demographic research uses and refers to ethnographies, I now turn to three general features that emerged from the literature review: calls for more ethnographic evidence; the 'cautious' tone of references to ethnographies; and the use of dated ethnographies.

Demographic researchers frequently call for more ethnographic information, perhaps better tailored to their own needs. Some examples follow. 'Further ethnographic and clinical studies are needed to throw light on the mechanism responsible for this difference [in infertility]' (1995, p. 344). Awusabo-Asare's study of Ghanaian interpretations of demographic concepts concludes, 'data collection did not make use of available ethnographic information relating to demographic variables' (1988, p. 684). Here he is endorsing the notion, now accepted though less often put into practice, that the design of standardized research instruments should make use of culturally relevant information (for example, Greenhalgh 1982; Meekers 1992). Other researchers calling for greater use of ethnographic information include Geronimus (1987) and Chidambaram and Pullum (1981).

A second feature that emerged from the literature review was the 'cautious' way in which authors referred to ethnographies. For example, Carey and Lopreato note, 'we know little of such variations [in the number of surviving children] beyond what recent ethnographic data would imply' (1995, p. 622). Here, they acknowledge

the existence of a body of ethnographic information of relevance, but choose not to explore it, despite the paucity of information available to them. Similarly, Telford concludes, 'The figure of 300 girl babies being killed per 1,000 live births is, in fact, the level Dickeman has reported, based on rather scanty ethnographic evidence' (1992, p. 33). Rindfuss and Morgan express this cautiousness about ethnographies rather more directly, concluding, 'It is always possible in relying on ethnographic literature that one is dealing with the unusual rather than the ordinary' (1983, p. 270).

The third feature of many of the references to ethnographies is simply their age. While historical ethnographies are of course useful in constructing a chronological comparison, the use of an ethnography written decades prior to a study as though it provided contemporaneous evidence suggests a lack of attention to changes over time. Some examples drawn from the literature reviewed are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 here

The use of an ethnography requires some acknowledgement of its broader historical context. The writers of early ethnographic accounts included missionaries, explorers, and colonial administrators (and their spouses). It is reasonable to assume that the reliability of such accounts varies considerably, and that this also applies to their authors' motivation for writing the ethnography in the first place. The ethnographer may have misinterpreted what he or she saw, or may have had an ulterior motive in portraying a particular version of the society studied. When reading classic ethnographies, it is often important to understand the historical circumstances

in which they were written. Using the example of Evans-Pritchards' ethnography *The Nuer*, Rosaldo points out that a context of domination can affect ethnographic accounts more deeply than their authors are prepared to admit. He notes, 'The anthropologist, a British subject, collected information from unwilling Nuer informants in a period when the British raided their camps' (1986, 93).

One study that acknowledges explicitly the time difference between the research and the quoted ethnographies is that by Fricke et al, who state 'our sources for ethnographic and survey data are often separated by approximately two decades' (1986, p. 505). They go on to note the drawbacks of using ethnographies that refer to villages different from those in which their survey was carried out.

Case studies

In order to illustrate some of the shortcomings in the use of written ethnographies by demographers, this section considers examples from two substantial pieces of research drawn from the work of J.C. Caldwell. He is one of the few demographers to have acknowledged explicitly the limitations of ethnographies, and is also one of the few demographers to have welcomed their use. The discussion of Caldwell's work, which has made an immense contribution to the development of demography over the past few decades, is not confined to the journals used in the literature review presented above.

The first example illustrates what has been described by Heald (1995, p.490) as a 'fairly cavalier' approach to source material and is from Caldwell's model of 'African sexuality' (Caldwell and Caldwell, 1987; Caldwell et al 1989; Caldwell et al

1991). The Caldwellian model of African sexuality is described as 'a distinct and internally coherent African system embracing sexuality, marriage, and much else' (1989, p. 187). More broadly, the model describes the fundamental importance of lineage organization, with an emphasis on reproduction and descent. According to his account, the desire of males for descendants is so strong that it is manifested in high levels of polygyny and divorce. When describing the extent and value of the virginity of females at marriage in traditional Yoruba society (1991), Caldwell draws upon work by Ward (1937), Ellis (1964), Bascom (1969), Talbot (1969) and Fadipe (1970). What should be noted, however, is the date of the fieldwork upon which the ethnographies are based. For example, Ellis's work (published in 1964) was based on fieldwork in Yorubaland in the 1880s and early 1890s. At no point in the review of this work is any reference made to the validity of these accounts.

The second example is drawn from the debate about the link between the circumcision of males and rates of HIV infection reported by Caldwell et al (1993). Briefly, their argument states that the geographical correlation between the areas of sub-Saharan Africa where males are not circumcised and those with the highest incidence of HIV/AIDS is so high that it cannot be explained as accidental, but must demonstrate either direct or indirect causation or both. These conclusions were based largely on the use of ethnographic data, most notably Murdock's (1967) *Ethnographic Atlas*. Conant (1995) roundly criticizes the foundations on which Caldwell et al drew their conclusions. Firstly, he suggests that the study 'could benefit from a more critical approach to the ethnographic data' (p. 109). Secondly, he suggests the need for 'a "contemporary relevance index" for ethnographic and other source materials' to take into account change over time.

Replying to Conant's criticisms, Caldwell acknowledges that 'There are gaps in the circumcision status data, and doubtless some information was wrong at the time and some other information has since become outdated' (1995, p. 114). However, he then states that he and his colleagues 'tested' the circumcision data in three ways. Firstly, after returning to the original reports collected by Murdock from anthropologists, they concluded that the summaries in Murdock's Atlas were reliable 'because most of the anthropologists from whose research the conclusions were drawn were males in a position to deal with male matters' (p.114). Secondly, they compared Murdock's reports with 'as many contemporary ethnographic accounts as possible to ascertain currently reported circumcision status...[but]...this was not very rewarding as anthropologist's interests have changed' (p.114). Caldwell's third 'test' was to take 'the opportunity of visits, lectures and seminars across sub-Saharan Africa to raise the question of the current circumcision status of ethnic groups with as many people from different ethnicities as possible' (p.114). They do acknowledge that this approach was not particularly scientific.

These case studies illustrate the way demographers use descriptions of social behaviour that support and reinforce static notions of society, without questioning the role of individuals in creating, shaping and maintaining that society (Lockwood 1995). The following section considers what 'tools' are available to the reader of ethnography, and attempts to address the question 'How *should* an ethnography be used?'

How should ethnography be used?

There is a large body of literature that discusses ethnography and its production in great detail (most recently, Atkinson *et al* 2001), but much less has been published on the assessment and reading of ethnographic research. This may in part explain the demographer's lack of attention to the interpretation of that research. Specific tools and skills are necessary if ethnographic information is to be adequately incorporated into a demographic study.

Jacobson's description of how ethnographies are commonly read identifies the approach to be avoided: 'Readers may understandably approach an ethnography as if it were a simple account of a people, society, or culture. They may assume that an ethnographic monograph portrays directly, in an unfiltered fashion, the subject with which it is concerned. They may read an ethnography as if it were a documentary or journalistic story, an example of straight reportage. When they this way, however, they miss much of the meaning of the monograph and the significance of the ethnography it contains.' (1991, p. 1)

This section summarizes frameworks proposed by two authors that prescribe how an ethnography *should* be evaluated. The utility of these frameworks for demographers is then illustrated using the example of Caldwell's exchange with Conant reviewed above.

Jacobson suggests three ways in which the claims made in an ethnography can be evaluated. Firstly, an ethnography can be compared with 'accounts of other societies that are similar...thereby providing a framework for evaluating its interpretation' (1991, p. 11). Secondly, the reader can compare the account with other ethnographies of the same society. Finally, Jacobson suggests an 'internal' evaluation of the ethnographer's interpretation. That is, 'the reader tests the fit between the ethnographer's interpretations and the evidence presented within the ethnographic account' (p.11).

Another framework for assessing ethnographic accounts, proposed by Hammersley (1998), is explicitly directed at establishing their validity—the degree to which the method of collecting information results in accurate information (Madrigal 1998). His schema comprises a three-step procedure for applying the criteria of plausibility and credibility. Plausibility he defines as 'whether or not it [a knowledge claim] is very likely to be true given what we currently take to be well-established knowledge'. Credibility refers to 'whether it seems likely that the ethnographer's judgement of matters relating to the claim are accurate given the nature of the phenomena concerned, the circumstances of the research, the characteristics of the researcher, and so on' (op cit.).

The three steps proposed by Hammersley are as follows. Firstly, one asks the question 'How plausible is the knowledge claim?' He suggests that there are rarely cases where one is able to accept knowledge claims without first needing to have some background information about the researcher's motivation. Secondly, the credibility of the knowledge claim should be assessed. Again, Hammersley argues that there are very few occasions on which credibility can be accepted immediately. The final step is an assessment of the validity of the evidence, achieved by examining the plausibility and credibility of the evidence itself. Thus, the plausibility and

credibility of both the researcher and the evidence are assessed. Hammersley is aware of the limitations of the schema, and notes them: there is no way of knowing for certain whether judgements are correct; and judgements will not necessarily be generally agreed because opinions about plausibility and credibility will differ.

The utitlity of Hammersley's and Jacobson's frameworks can be illustrated by applying them to Caldwell et al's work on the relationship between HIV and the circumcision of males. First, to what extent did their use of ethnographic material match Jacobson's evaluation criteria? In their response to Conant's criticisms of their work, Caldwell does not present evidence of having completed an internal evaluation of the ethnographer's interpretations. He does, however, compare the accounts he uses from the *Ethnographic Atlas* with other, more recent, ethnographic accounts of the same societies. The fact that he uses many ethnographic reports from one source could be interpreted as being equivalent to making comparisons with ethnographies of other, similar societies.

Do the three 'tests' of the circumcision data described by Caldwell in his response to Conant's criticisms correspond with the tests of plausibility and credibility proposed by Hammersley? One indication of plausibility is the fact that the data were collected by male anthropologists on a decidedly 'male' topic. Issues of credibility do not appear to have been explored. Validity was assessed by comparing the ethnographies used with other (more recent) ethnographies and by ad hoc enquiries among people of different ethnicities.

Hammersley's approach is attractive for two reasons. The first is pragmatic. He offers a practical step-by-step strategy to the non-ethnographer seeking an effective way of reading and assessing ethnographic work. The second attraction lies in the scope and rigour of the method: the evaluation of validity by an assessment of the credibility and plausibility of both the ethnographer and the evidence. In stressing the importance of examining the role of the ethnographer in the production of an ethnography, Hammersley introduces an element that is missing from Jacobson's schema.

Discussion

Over the past two decades, developments within demography have included a greater willingness to use sources of information, approaches, and techniques not traditionally associated with the field. Part of the evidence for this is the rapid rise since 1970 in the number of articles referring to ethnographies. Accompanying their increased use have been debates about method, most notably those dealing with the development of the 'micro-approach' or 'quasi-anthropological' methods largely associated with the work of Caldwell and his colleagues. Their use has not always been greeted enthusiastically by non-demographers. Fricke, for example, described demography as having a 'creative anarchy of methods and measures' (1997, p. 250) resulting in a 'methodological free-for-all'. (1997, p. 26). Several reviewers have commented on the practical (Knodel 1997) and epistemological (Greenhalgh 1997) problems for demographers in successfully incorporating ethnographic methods into their research. Indeed, Hammel concludes, 'Teamwork with an ethnographer experienced in the area may be the only practical solution, if the ethnographer can be taught to count' (1990, p. 472).

The trend towards greater use of ethnographies in demographic research shows little sign of reversing and should be encouraged. However, ethnographers' misgivings about the ways in which these accounts are used or incorporated in their studies by demographers appear to be justified. The literature review suggests that demographers have tended to read ethnographies as straightforward accounts of contemporary reality, even though the account might be nearly a century old. The review shows also that very rarely do authors present (however obliquely) evidence that they have sought to confirm the validity or reliability of the ethnographic evidence, and that rarely is there any allusion to contradictions between this evidence and findings from other sources.

From this study's examination of trends in demographers' use of ethnographies, it is clear that the case for using ethographies at all stages of the research process—formulation of research question, design of study, fieldwork (if involved), analysis, and interpretation—has yet to be accepted. Given the long history of ethnography as an intellectual endeavour, and the recent emergence of anthropological demography, it is perhaps surprising that so little attention has been paid to the consumption of ethnographies by demographers. Hentschel suggests that 'Partly, this is due to researchers and analysts remaining within their methodological and epistemological heritage; partly, it is due to quite practical problems of integrating the macro, broad picture with the micro analysis' (1998, p. 3).

There are few societies in the world for which ethnographies do not exist, and this extensive coverage should appeal to demographers. By developing the skills needed to use this form of evidence (skills not normally associated with demography) demographers can draw on the strengths of ethnographers to enhance their own work, though some authors have queried whether the skills needed to do quantitative demography and use ethnographies can reside in one person (Hammel 1990; Basu and Aaby 1998). Their use could be facilitated by the application of frameworks such as Hammersley's (1998), which are compatible with the values of demography as a field with a 'strong tradition of attending to issues of data quality' (Knodel 1997, p. 849).

It has to be conceded that ethnographies do not always provide information tailored exactly to the needs of a demographic study, a shortcoming that demographic researchers have been quick to point out. Hill's study of demographic responses to Sahelian food shortages, for example, notes 'The ethnographic literature contains descriptions and analyses of most of the major ethnic groups but very little comparative work on, for instance, links between the groups' ecological circumstances and their social organisation' (1989, p. 173). Lockwood makes a similar point 'the social demographer seeking detailed ethnographic data on such issues as sexuality, abstinence, breastfeeding, and use of contraception has until recently had little to go on' (1995, p. 25).

There can of course be no single interpretation of an ethnography. The meanings that demographers will derive from one will be shaped in large part by the ideas prevailing in the disciplinary communities to which they belong (Tedlock 2000, p. 459). But it is important for the demographer to be aware of the wider context in which the ethnography was produced, not least of the motivation that led to its production. The integration of ethnographic detail at all stages in the research process

does require specific skills, but can lead to a strengthening of demographic research and theory.

Bibliography

Atkinson, P. 1992. *Understanding Ethnographic Texts*. Newbury Park: Sage.

Atkinson, P. and M. Hammersley. 1998. "Ethnography and participant observation",

in N. L. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln. (eds.), *Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, pp. 110-136.

Atkinson, P., A. Coffey, S. Delamont, J. Lofland, and L. H. Lofland. 2001. *Handbook of Ethnography*. London: Sage.

Axelrod, P. 1990. Cultural and historical factors in the population decline of the Parsis of India, *Population Studies* 44(3): 401-419.

Axinn, W. G. 1992. Family organization and fertility limitation in Nepal, Demography 29(4):503-521.

Axinn, W. G. 1993. The effects of children's schooling on fertility limitation, *Population Studies* 47(3):481-493.

Awusabo-Asare, K. 1988. "Interpretations of demographic concepts: the case of Ghana", *Population and Development Review* 14(4):675-687.

Balk, D. 1997. "Defying gender norms in rural Bangladesh: A Social Demographic Analysis", *Population Studies* 51(2):153-172.

Bascom, W. R. 1969. *The Yoruba of southern Nigeria*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Basu, A. M. 1989. "Is discrimination in food really necessary for explaining sex differentials in childhood mortality?", *Population Studies* 43(2):193-210.

Basu, A. M. 1992. *Culture, the Status of Women and Demographic Behaviour.*Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Basu, A. M. and P. Aaby. 1998. *The Methods and Uses of Anthropological Demography*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bentley, G. R., T. Goldberg, and G. Jasienska. 1993. "The fertility of agricultural and non-agricultural traditional societies", *Population Studies* 47(2):269-281.

Bilsborrow, R. E. 1998. *Migration, Urbanization, and Development: New Directions and Issues*. New York, N.Y.: Kluwer Academic.

Bleek, W. 1987. "Lying informants: a fieldwork experience from Ghana", *Population and Development Review* 13(2): 314-322.

Borgerhoff-Mulder, M. 1989, "Marital status and reproductive performance in Kipsigis women: re-evaluating the polygyny-fertility hypothesis", *Population Studies* 43(2): 285-304.

Brewster, K. L 1994. "Neighborhood context and the transition to sexual activity among Young Black Women", *Demography* 31(4): 603-614.

Brockerhoff, M. and P. Hewett. 1998. *Ethnicity and child mortality in sub-Saharan Africa*. New York: The Population Council

Budd, J. W. and T. Guinnane. 1991. "Intentional age-misreporting, age-heaping, and the 1908 Old Age Pensions Act in Ireland", *Population Studies*, 45(3): 497-518.

Caldwell, J. C. and P. Caldwell. 1977. "The Role of marital sexual abstinence in determining fertility: a study of the Yoruba in Nigeria", *Population Studies* 31(2): 193-217.

Caldwell, J. C. and P. Caldwell. 1988. "An overview of the potential and actual contribution of the anthropological approach to the understanding of factors affecting demographic variables-fertility, mortality and migration", *African Population Conference*, *Senegal 1988*, Volume 3. Liege: IUSSP, pp. 1-20.

J. C. Caldwell, A. G. Hill, and V. J. Hull. 1988. *Micro-approaches to Demographic Research*. London: Kegan Paul International.

Caldwell, J. C. and A. G. Hill. 1988. "Recent developments using micro-approaches to demographic research" in J. C. Caldwell, A. G. Hill, and V. J. Hull (eds.), *Micro-approaches to Demographic Research*. London: Kegan Paul International, pp.1-9.

Caldwell, J. C., P. Caldwell and P. Quiggin. 1989. "The social context of AIDS in Africa", *Population and Development Review* 15(2) 185-234.

Caldwell, J. C., I. O. Orubuloye, and P. Caldwell. 1991. "The destabilization of the traditional Yoruba sexual system", *Population and Development Review* 17(2) 229-262.

Caldwell, J. C., P. Caldwell, and I. O. Orubuloye. 1992. "The family and sexual networking in Sub-Saharan Africa: historical regional differences and present-day implications", *Population Studies* 46(3): 385-410.

Caldwell, J. C. and P. Caldwell 1993. "The nature and limits of the sub-Saharan African AIDS epidemic: evidence from geographic and other patterns", *Population and Development Review*, Vol. 19(4): 817-848.

Caldwell, J. C. 1995. "Lack of male circumcision and AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa: resolving the conflict", *Health Transition Review* 5: 113-118.

Caldwell, J. C. 1998. "Review of "Anthropological Demography: towards a new synthesis", *Population and Development Review* 24(1): 158-161.

Cantrelle, P. and P. Livenais. 1980. "Fecondité, allaitemente et mortalité infantile: Differences inter-ethniques dans une même region: Saloum (Senegal)", *Population* 3: 623-648.

Carey, A. D. and J. Lopreato 1995. "The evolutionary demography of the fertility-mortality quasi-equilibrium", *Population and Development Review* 21(3): 613-630.

Cherlin, A. and A. Chamratrithirong. 1988. "Variations in marriage patterns in central Thailand", *Demography* 25(3):337-353.

Chidambaram, V. C. and T. W. Pullum. 1981. "Estimating fertility trends from retrospective birth histories: sensitivity to imputation of missing dates", *Population Studies* 35(2):307-320.

Clark, S., E. Colson, J. Lee, and T. Schudder. 1995. "Ten thousand Tonga: a longitudinal anthropological study from southern Zambia, 1956-1991", *Population Studies* 49(1): 91-110.

Conant, F. P. 1995. "Regional HIV prevalence and ritual circumcision in Africa", Health Transition Review 5: 108-113.

Cornelius, W. A. 1989. "Impacts of the 1986 US Immigration Law on emigration from rural Mexican sending communities", *Population and Development Review* 15(4):689-705.

Denzin, N. K. 1997. *Interpretive Ethnography: Ethnographic Practices for the 21st Century*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications.

Desai, S. and Jain, D. 1994. "Maternal employment and changes in family dynamics: the social context of women's work in rural South India", *Population and Development Review* 20(1): 115-136.

Dixon, R. B. 1982. "Women in agriculture: Counting the labor force in developing countries", *Population and Development Review* 8(3): 539-566.

Duncan, G. J. and S. D Hoffmann. 1990. "Welfare benefits, economic opportunities and out-of-wedlock births among black teenage girls" *Demography* 27(4): 519-535.

Eggebeen, D. J., L. J. Crockett, and A. J. Hawkins. 1990. "Patterns of adult male coresidence among young children of adolescent mothers", *Family Planning Perspectives* 22(5): 219-223.

Ellis, A. B. 1964. *The Yoruba-speaking People of the Slave Coast of West Africa, Manners, Customs, Laws and Language, etc.* Chicago: Benin Press (First Published London: Chapman and Hall, 1894).

Ericksen, J. A., E. P. Ericksen, J. A. Hostetler, and G. E. Huntington. 1979. "Fertility patterns and trends among the Old Order Amish", *Population Studies* 33(2):255-276. Fadipe, N. A. 1970. *The Sociology of the Yoruba*. Ibadan: Ibadan University Press. Faubion, J. D. 2001. "Currents of cultural fieldwork" in P. Atkinson, A. Coffey, S. Delamont, J. Lofland, and L. H. Lofland (eds.), *Handbook of Ethnography*. London: Sage.

Fein, D. J. 1990. "Racial and ethnic differences in U.S. Census omission rates", *Demography*, 27(2): 285-302.

Fetter, B. 1990. Demography from Scanty Evidence: Central Africa in the Colonial Era. Boulder: Lynne Reiner Publishers.

Forste, R. and M. Tienda. 1996. "What's behind racial and ethnic fertility differentials?", *Population and Development Review* 22, Supplement: Fertility in the United States: New Patterns, New Theories pp. 109-133.

Fricke, T. E., S. H. Syed, and P. C. Smith. 1986. "Rural Punjabi social organisation and marriage timing strategies in Pakistan.", *Demography* 23(4): 489-508.

Fricke, T. and Teachman, J. D. 1993, "Writing the names: marriage style, living arrangements, and first birth interval in a Nepali society", *Demography* 30(2): 175-188.

Fricke, T. 1997. "The uses of culture in demographic research: a continuing place for community studies.", *Population and Development Review* 23(4): 825-832.

Fyfe, C. and D. McMaster. 1977, *African Historical Demography* Proceedings of a Seminar held in the Centre of African Studies, University of Edinburgh, 29th and 30th April 1977. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh Press.

Gage, A. J. 1998. "Premarital childbearing, unwanted fertility and maternity care in Kenya and Namibia", *Population Studies* 52(1):21-34.

Geertz, C. 1973. *The Interpretation of Cultures: Selected Essays*. New York: Basic Books.

Geronimus, A. T. 1987. "On teenage childbearing and neonatal mortality in the United States", *Population and Development Review* 13(2): 245-279.

Geronimus, A. T. and S. Korenman. 1993. "The socioeconomic costs of teenage childbearing: evidence and interpretation", *Demography* 30(2): 281-290.

Geronimus, A. T., S. Korenman, and M. M. Hillemeier. 1994. "Does young maternal age adversely affect child development? Evidence from cousin comparisons in the United States", *Population and Development Review* 20(3):585-609.

Greenhalgh, S. 1982. "Income units: the ethnographic alternative to standardisation", *Population and Development Review* 8 (Supplement): 70-91.

Greenhalgh, S. 1985. "Sexual stratification: The other side of "Growth with Equity" in East Asia", *Population and Development Review* 11(2): 265-314.

Greenhalgh, S. 1989. "Land reform and family entrepreneurship in East Asia", *Population and Development Review* 15 (Supplement: Rural Development and Population: Institutions) pp.77-118.

Greenhalgh, S. 1990. "Towards a political economy of fertility: anthropological contributions", *Population and Development Review* 16(1) 85-106.

Greenhalgh, S. 1995. *Situating Fertility: Anthropology and Demographic Inquiry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Greenhalgh, S. 1997. "Methods and meanings: reflections on disciplinary difference", *Population and Development Review* 23(4): 819-824.

Hammel E. 1990. "A theory of culture for demography." *Population and Development Review*, 16(3): 455-485.

Hammersley, M. and P. Atkinson. 1983. *Ethnography: Principles in Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hammersley, M. 1998. Reading Ethnographic Research. London: Longman.

Handelman, D. 1977. "The organization of ethnicity", Ethnic Groups 1:187-200.

Hayes, A. C. 1994. "The role of culture in demographic analysis: a preliminary investigation", *Working Paper in Demography, Australian National University* 46: 1-33.

Heald, S. 1995. "The power of sex: some reflections on the Caldwell"s "African Sexuality" hypothesis". *Africa* 4: 489-505.

Hentschel, J. 1998. *Distinguishing Between Types of Data and Methods of Collecting Them.* Washington: World Bank.

Hill, A. G. 1989. "Demographic responses to food shortages in the Sahel", *Population and Development Review*, 15, Supplement: Rural Development and Population: Institutions and Policy. pp.168-192.

Hogan, D. P., N. M. Astone, and E. M. Kitagawa. 1985. "Social and environmental factors influencing contraceptive use among black adolescents", *Family Planning Perspectives* 17(4): 165-169.

Howell, N. 1979. *The demography of the Dobe !Kung.* Academic press: New York. Hutchinson, J. and A. D. Smith. 1996. *Ethnicity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jacobson, D. 1991. Reading Ethnography. Albany: SUNY Press.

Jessor, R., A. Colby, and R. A. Shweder. 1996. *Ethnography and Human Development: Context and Meaning in Social Inquiry*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Johnston, R. J., D. Gregory, G. Pratt and M. Watts. 2000. *The Dictionary of Human Geography*. 4th Edition. Oxford: Blackwell.

Jones, E. and F. W. Grupp. 1983. "Infant mortality trends in the Soviet Union", *Population and Development Review* 9(2):213-246.

Kertzer, D. I. 1997. "The proper role of culture in demographic explanation", in *The Continuing Demographic Transition*. G. W. Jones, R. M. Douglas, J. C. Caldwell, and R. D'Souza (eds.), Oxford: Clarendon Press. pp.137-157.

Kertzer, D. I. and T. Fricke. 1997. *Anthropological Demography: Towards a New Synthesis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Knodel, J., N. Havanon, and A. Pramualratana. 1984. "Fertility transition in Thailand: A qualitative analysis", *Population and Development Review* 10(2):297-328.

Knodel, J. 1997. "A Case for nonanthropological qualitative methods for demographers", *Population and Development Review* 23(4):847-853.

Knodel, J. 1998. "Using qualitative data for understanding old-age security and fertility", in *The Methods and Uses of Anthropological Demography*. A. M. Basu and P. Aaby (eds.), Clarendon Press: Oxford. pp.57-80.

Kreager, P. 1982. "Demography in situ", Population and Development Review 8(1): 237-266.

Larsen, U. 1995. "Differentials in infertility in Cameroon and Nigeria", *Population Studies* 49(2):329-346.

LeVine, R. A. and S. C. M. Scrimshaw. 1983. "Effects of culture on fertility: anthropological contributions", in *Determinants of Fertility in Developing Countries:* Fertility Relation and Institutional Influences. R. A. Bulatao, R. D. Lee, P. E. Hollerbach, and J. Bongaarts. (eds.), New York: Academic Press pp.666-695.

Levine, N. E. 1987. "Differential child care in three Tibetan communities: Beyond son preference", *Population and Development Review* 13(2):281-304.

LeVine, R. A., S. E. LeVine, A. Richman, F. M. T. Uribe, C. S. Correa, and P. M. Miller. 1991. "Women's schooling and child care in the demographic transition: A Mexican case study", *Population and Development Review* 17(3):459-496.

Lockwood, M. 1995. "Structure and behaviour in the social demography of Africa.", *Population and Development Review* 21(1): 1-32.

Lorimer, F. 1954. Culture and Human Fertility Paris: UNESCO.

Macdonald, S. 2001. "British social anthropology", in Atkinson, P., A. Coffey, S. Delamont, J. Lofland, and L. H. Lofland (eds.), *Handbook of Ethnography*. London: Sage.

Madrigal, L. 1998. *Statistics for Anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Malhotra, A. 1991. "Gender and changing generational relations: spouse choice in Indonesia", *Demography* 28(4): 549-570.

Manning, W. D. and P. J. Stock. 1995. "Why marry? Race and the transition to marriage among cohabitors", *Demography* 32(4):509-520.

Mason, K. O. and V. T. Palan. 1981. "Female employment and fertility in peninsular Malaysia: The maternal role incompatibility hypothesis reconsidered", *Demography* 18(4):549-575.

Meekers, D. 1991. "The effect of imputation procedures on first birth intervals: Evidence from five African fertility surveys", *Demography* 28(2): 249-260.

Meekers, D. 1992. "The process of marriage in African societies: A multiple indicator approach", *Population and Development Review* 18(1):61-78.

Montgomery, M. R. and J. B. Casterline. 1996. "Social learning, social influence, and new models of fertility", *Population and Development Review* 22, Supplement: Fertility in the United States: New Patterns, New Theories: pp.151-175.

Morgan, S. P. and R. R. Rindfuss. 1984. "Household structure and the tempo of family formation in comparative perspective", *Population Studies* 38 (1): 129-139.

Murdock, G. P. 1967. "Ethnographic atlas: a summary." Ethnology 6: 109-234.

Preston, S. H., S. Lim, and S. P. Morgan. 1992. "African-American marriage in 1910: Beneath the surface of census data", *Demography* 29(1):1-15.

Reher, D. 1995. "Wasted investments: Some economic implications of childhood mortality patterns", *Population Studies* 49(3): 519-536.

Rindfuss, R. R. and S. P. Morgan. 1983. "Marriage, sex, and the first birth interval: The quiet revolution in *Asia*", *Population and Development Review* 9(2): 259-278.

Rosaldo, R. 1986. "From the door of his tent: the fieldworker and the inquisitor", in J. Clifford and G. E. Marcus (eds.), *Writing Culture: the Poetics and Politics of Ethnography*. Berkeley: University of California Press, pp.77-97.

Rosenbaum, E. 1992. "Race and ethnicity in housing: Turnover in New York City, 1978-1987". *Demography* 29(3): 467-486.

Schermerhorn, R. A. 1978. *Comparative Ethnic Relations: A Framework for Theory and Research.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Spradley, J. P. 1980. *Participant Observation*. Fort Worth: Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich.

Stonich, S. C. 1989. "The dynamics of social processes and environmental destruction: A central American case study", *Population and Development Review* 15(2):269-296.

Suchindran, C. M., H. P. Koo, and J. D. Griffith. 1985. "The effects of post-marital childbearing on divorce and remarriage: An application of hazards models with time-dependent covariates", *Population Studies* 39(3): 471-486.

Tabutin, D. and E. Akoto. 1992. "Socio-economic and cultural differences in the mortality of sub-Saharan Africa", in Van de Walle, E., G. Pison, and M. Sala-Diakanda (eds.), *Mortality and Society in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. pp.32-64.

Talbot, P. A. 1969. The Peoples of Southern Nigeria: A Sketch of their History, Ethnology and Languages with an Abstract of the 1921 Census. London: Frank Cass. (First Published 1926).

Tedlock, B. 2000. "Ethnography and ethnographic representation", in N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln (eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Telford, T. A. 1992. "Covariates of men's age at first marriage: The historical demography of Chinese lineages", *Population Studies* 46(1): 19-35.

Tucker, G. M. 1986. "Barriers to modern contraceptive use in rural Peru" *Studies in Family Planning* 17(6): 308-316.

Turke, P. W. 1989 "Evolution and the demand for children", *Population and Development Review* 15(1): 61-90.

Turke, P. W. 1991. "Theory and wealth flows and old-age security: a reply to Fricke", *Population and Development Review* 17(4): 687-702.

UNFPA. 1996. The state of world population 1996: Changing Places: Population, development and the Urban Future. New York: UNFPA.

Vlassoff, C. 1991. "Rejoinder to Cain: Widows, sons, and old-age security in rural Maharashtra: A comment on Vlassoff", *Population Studies*, 45(3):529-535.

Ward, E. 1937. *Marriage Among the Yoruba*. Washington D.C.: Catholic University of America.

Weinstein, M., T.-H. Sun, M.-C. Chang, and R. Freedman. 1990. "Household composition, extended kinship, and reproduction in Taiwan: 1965- 1985", *Population Studies* 44(2): 217-239.

Wolf, A. P. 1984. "Fertility in pre-revolutionary rural China", *Population and Development Review* 10(3): 443-470.

Table 1: Examples of demographic research using dated ethnographies

Author	Year of demographic research	Year of ethnography
Borgerhoff Mulder	1989	1939, 1947, 1963, 1967, 1972, 1973, 1988
Hogan et al	1985	1962, 1964
Morgan and Rindfuss	1984	1963
Malhotra	1991	1961, 1969
Preston et al	1992	1939
Tucker	1986	1959