

LSE Research Online

Emanuele Campiglio

Beyond carbon pricing: the role of banking and monetary policy in financing the transition to a low-carbon economy

Article (Accepted version) (Refereed)

Original citation:

Campiglio, Emanuele (2015) Beyond carbon pricing: the role of banking and monetary policy in financing the transition to a low-carbon economy. Ecological Economics, 121 . pp. 220-230. ISSN 0921-8009 DOI: 10.1016/i.ecolecon.2015.03.020

© 2015 Elsevier B.V.

This version available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/65146/ Available in LSE Research Online: January 2016

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

This document is the author's final accepted version of the journal article. There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

1	Beyond carbon pricing: The role of
2	banking and monetary policy in
3	financing the transition to a low-
4	carbon economy
5	Emanuele Campiglio ^a
6	^a Grantham Research Institute – London School of Economics
7	Houghton Street, London, WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom
8	Email: e.campiglio@lse.ac.uk
9	Tel: +44-7964913111
10	
11	Abstract
12	It is widely acknowledged that introducing a price on carbon
13	represents a crucial precondition for filling the current gap in low-
14	carbon investment. However, as this paper argues, carbon pricing in
15	itself may not be sufficient. This is due to the existence of market
16	failures in the process of creation and allocation of credit that may
17	lead commercial banks – the most important source of external

finance for firms – not to respond as expected to price signals.
Under certain economic conditions, banks would shy away from
lending to low-carbon activities even in presence of a carbon price.
This possibility calls for the implementation of additional policies not
based on prices. In particular, the paper discusses the potential role
of monetary policies and macroprudential financial regulation:

24 modifying the incentives and constraints that banks face when 25 deciding their lending strategy - through, for instance, a 26 differentiation of reserve requirements according to the destination 27 of lending - may fruitfully expand credit creation directed towards 28 low-carbon sectors. This seems to be especially feasible in emerging 29 economies, where the central banking framework usually allows for 30 a stronger public control on credit allocation and a wider range of 31 monetary policy instruments than the sole interest rate.

32 **Keywords**: green investment, low-carbon finance, banking, credit

- 33 creation, green macroprudential regulation, monetary policy
- 34 **JEL classification**: E50, G20, Q56
- 35

1 1. Introduction

Transitioning to a low-carbon society will require a large amount of economic resources to be invested in 'green' sectors¹ (Ceres, 2014; IEA, 2012; McCollum et al., 2014; WEF, 2013). Investment is, from a macroeconomic perspective, expenditure: investing consists in purchasing investment goods and services - e.g. wind turbines – to be used in the production of some consumption good or

6 service – electric energy.

Like any other type of expenditure, investment requires firms to have at their disposal a sufficient
amount of financial means. Given the upfront costs of investments – particularly high in the case of
renewable energy production - firms are typically unable to finance them through their own savings
and thus necessitate access to external finance². In other words, they need to borrow money from

11 someone else <u>before</u> being able to invest.

12 External finance can originate, to a first approximation, from three main sources:

- Bank lending. Firms ask a banking institution for a loan; if the loan application is accepted, the agreed amount of credit is put at their disposal on a deposit account, which firms can then use to purchase the goods and services they need.
- Market debt. Larger firms or projects can raise finance on private capital markets by issuing debt instruments. The market for 'green bonds'³, for instance, is experiencing a phase of strong expansion.
- Market equity. Private investors can also be interested in obtaining part of the project/firm ownership. In the case of companies, this can happen via the purchase of shares of publicly listed companies, or through private equity investment.

22 Among these, bank lending is particularly important, for two main reasons. First, bank loans 23 represent the most common source of external finance for firms. Gross bank lending to British 24 businesses in 2013, for instance, was almost three times the gross issuance of corporate bonds and 25 more than ten times that of public equities (Bank of England, 2014). Bank of England (2013b) also 26 shows how the dynamics of total net external finance has been strongly driven by changes in bank 27 lending, both before and after the financial crisis. This is true also for the Euro Zone and the United 28 States (ECB, 2012). The relevance of bank lending as a source of external finance is especially strong 29 for small and medium enterprises and in emerging markets (Eickmeier et al., 2013).

Second, in modern societies banks are very special entities, capable of having a critical impact on the
 functioning of economic systems. There is in fact a crucial but often overlooked difference between
 banks and non-bank private investors: while the latter operate by reallocating the existing stock of

- 33 credit, commercial banks are the only economic agents together with central banks capable of
- 34 creating <u>new</u> credit⁴ (Disyatat, 2011; McLeay et al., 2014; Ryan-Collins et al., 2011). Despite its wide
- 35 repercussions on the rest of the system, the ability of banks to expand the money supply is only

¹ 'Green' investment indicates here investment in all productive sectors that help to improve the environmental sustainability of the economic system: production of energy from renewable sources, improvement of energy efficiency in buildings and transportation, management of natural capital, waste management, water management, sustainable agriculture, and others.

² For instance, BDRC Continental (2014) estimates that in Q4 of 2013 the proportion of British firms using external finance was: 74% for firms with 50-249 employees; 65% (10-49 employees); 53% (1-9 employees); 35% (0 employees).

³ Green bonds are fixed-income instruments aimed at financing low-carbon or other environmentally sustainable activities (CBI, 2013; HSBC, 2014).

⁴ The terms 'credit', 'broad money', and 'money supply' are here interchangeably employed as synonyms, and indicate the widest monetary aggregate in the economy, the majority of which is made of bank deposits of various kinds. 'Credit' does not include, as sometimes happens in the literature, the much wider amount of financial assets existing in the economy.

loosely regulated and substantially autonomous, as confirmed by the ineffectiveness of recent
 central banks attempts – both the traditional ones based on interest rates and the 'unconventional'
 ones centred around the expansion of central bank reserves – to reactivate bank credit creation
 (BIS/NIESR, 2013).

Among the policies put forward to try to expand the amount of bank credit flowing to low-carbon sectors, the introduction of a carbon price - either through a tax on the polluting content of goods and services or through the creation of a market of emission permits - is the one that has gathered the vaster consensus among scholars and policy-makers. Making green products relatively more convenient through prices would boost their demand, increase the profitability of firms operating in low-carbon sectors and thus facilitate the creation of credit directed to them.

46 However, despite being a necessary precondition to steer the economic system towards a rapid low-47 carbon transition, the introduction of a carbon price may not be sufficient. The autonomy of the 48 private banking sector in creating and allocating credit is in fact at the origin of a major market 49 failure, as, even in the presence of profitable investment opportunities and the 'right' prices, banks 50 may not be willing to provide the amount of credit the economy requires to move closer to full 51 capacity utilization. Under certain economic conditions, of which the current historical period is a 52 clear example, banks are more interested in adjusting their balance sheets by constraining credit and 53 securing safe assets rather than pursuing the highest rates of return on investments (Koo, 2014; 54 Zenghelis, 2012). In such circumstances, the introduction of a price on carbon may not be enough to 55 stimulate low-carbon investment.

This eventuality, jointly with the uncertainties and political difficulties surrounding the introduction of a carbon price, calls for considering additional policies targeted directly at the credit system. In particular, this paper will discuss the relevance and feasibility of using macroprudential financial regulation⁵ to expand the amount of credit flowing to low-carbon activities. For instance, differentiating the reserve requirements that banks have to respect according to the 'greenness' of the activities they finance may represent a solid incentive for them to shift part of their lending towards low-carbon sectors (Banque du Liban, 2010; Rozenberg et al., 2013).

63 As it will be argued in section 7, this 'green' macroprudential regulation is likely to work only at 64 certain conditions. In particular, it has a better chance to be effective in emerging economies, where 65 central banks usually exhibit a higher degree of control on the dynamics of credit, thanks to the 66 employment of a wide range of 'quantitative' monetary policy tools. In high-income economies, on 67 the contrary, the reduction of monetary instruments to the sole interest rate makes it very hard for 68 central banks to modify private banks' lending behaviour. Nonetheless, even in these countries, the 69 employment of quantitative monetary policies aimed at strengthening the public control on the 70 allocation of credit - often with some specific sectors in mind - is far from unprecedented (Elliott et 71 al., 2013).

72 This paper thus aims to bring the green growth and sustainable development discussion closer to the 73 one on monetary macroeconomic dynamics. A proper understanding of the interactions between 74 these two bodies of knowledge – traditionally separate from one another - appears to be critical for

the achievement of a sustainable economy.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents estimates of the green investment gap and discusses the main obstacles to filling it. Section 3 explains the process of credit creation and allocation by commercial banks. Section 4 introduces the concept of credit market failure and argues for the implementation of environmental policies not based on carbon pricing. Section 5 examines

⁵ The term 'macroprudential regulation' denotes the set of financial regulatory instruments put in place to improve the stability and resilience of the financial system.

80 the recent regulators' attempts to limit banks' autonomy through financial regulation and their 81 effects on green investment. Section 6 reviews macroprudential policy proposals aimed at increasing credit flows to low-carbon investment. Section 7 focuses on the idea of green differentiated reserve 82 83 requirement ratios, discussing the conditions under which the policy is likely to be effective. Section 84 8 analyses the potential role of development banking. Finally, section 9 concludes and discusses the 85 role of economic theory.

86 2. Filling the green investment gap

87 The transition to a sustainable economic system will require economic resources to flow to low-88 carbon productive sectors. Although the transition to a green economy is inherently systemic and 89 would have to involve the entire economy, three key sectors exist: 1. production of energy from 90 clean and renewable sources (for instance, solar panels and wind turbines); 2. improvement of 91 energy efficiency (in buildings and transport especially); 3. conservation and smart use of natural 92 capital (sustainable agriculture, fishing, water, waste and other sectors). The expansion of low-93 carbon investment will have to take place simultaneously to a rapid decline of investment in 94 polluting and energy-intensive sectors^b.

95 Investment in green sectors has been growing at a fast pace in recent years. In particular, 96 investment in new renewable energy production capacity – for which more and better data is 97 available – has reached approximately US\$244 billion in 2012, an amount five times larger than in 2004⁷ (FS-UNEP and BNEF, 2013). The expansion has been particularly robust in developing regions, 98 99 with China currently the main investor in renewable energy at around US\$67 billion. The scale of 100 investment is confirmed by CPI (2013), which, with a tracking method based on a wider class of 101 investment rather than just energy supply, estimates global 'climate finance' in 2012 to be around 102 US\$359 billion. However, investment in clean energy is currently declining. 2012 and 2013 recorded 103 an annual drop of 10% and 11% respectively, mainly as a result of the reduction of investment in 104 Europe and US (BNEF, 2014). This has been due to a variety of factors, among which the cutback of 105 feed-in tariffs and other similar policies have played a particularly important role, highlighting how 106 these forms of energy production are still very dependent on public support.

107 Despite the upward trend of the last decade, a large gap still exists between the current amount of 108 green investment and what would be required to decarbonise the economy and respect the 2°C 109 threshold in temperature increase, agreed as an objective at the 2009 Copenhagen Conference (UNFCCC, 2009). Figure 1 shows some recent estimates of this 'green investment gap', calculated as 110 the amount of additional investment⁸ in low-carbon activities to be carried out each year over the 111 next few decades to decarbonise the economic system. Values range from \$650 to \$900 billion. This 112 113 scale is confirmed by McCollum et al. (2014), which use a number of Integrated Assessment Models 114 to find that climate policies consistent with the 2°C target would entail additional investment in both energy supply and demand of about \$800 billion. UNEP (2011) calculates that the yearly additional 115 investment required to deliver a green economy - a wider objective than decarbonising the 116 117 economic system - would be on average around 2% of the global GDP over the 2010-50 period (\$1 to

118 \$2.6 trillion).

⁶ According to FS-UNEP and BNEF (2013) gross investment in power capacity based on fossil fuels in 2012 was equal to US\$262 billion.

⁷ Data reported in FS-UNEP and BNEF (2013) cover investments in: solar, wind, biomass & waste, small hydro, biofuels, geothermal and marine. Large hydro (>50 MW) is excluded.

⁸ The amount of investment reported is 'additional' to the underlying business-as-usual scenario considered, which broadly represents a prosecution of current trends. Given the large degree of uncertainty and methodological assumptions to be made in their computation, additional investment figures should be considered as indicative, and representative of just a portion of the wider social and institutional reform required to support a low-carbon transition.

- 119 [FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE File name: "Fig 1 Green investment gap"]]
- 120 [CAPTION: The green investment gap: required additional annual investment in low-carbon sectors⁹]

121 The green investment gap thus appears to be very wide¹⁰, and no certainty exists regarding the 122 means in which to fill it. Two main factors are currently preventing economic resources to flow in 123 larger amounts to low-carbon sectors.

124 The first factor is the depressed macroeconomic environment. Since the 2007 financial crisis, the 125 global economic system - and high-income countries in particular - has been suffering a period of sluggish economic activity that has led to recession and high unemployment¹¹. Low investment 126 127 levels in advanced economies are a direct consequence of the endemic lack of confidence that is 128 afflicting economic agents. Both households and non-financial firms are currently experiencing a 129 robust process of deleveraging: rather than spending, agents prefer to postpone investment and 130 save their income in order to repay the previously accumulated debt, or to protect themselves from possible future downturns¹² (Koo, 2014; Zenghelis, 2012). 131

The second factor limiting green investments is their unattractive risk/return profile. In particular, 132 the risks – either real or perceived – associated with them have always been large¹³. The relative 133 immaturity of the industry increases the perception of risks related to technology evolution and 134 135 market development. Most importantly, green investments are perceived as being still strongly 136 dependent on public support, which unfortunately has not been as transparent and predictable as it 137 would have to be. Many governments are currently backing off from providing support to the sector 138 because of the stress posed by the economic crisis. In some cases, this has gone so far as to 139 introduce retroactive adjustments - as in the recent Spanish case - producing strong credibility 140 issues for years to come (FS-UNEP and BNEF, 2013).

141 In light of these risks, returns on green investments should be very high in order to attract investors. 142 However, there is no empirical evidence this is the case (EDHEC-Risk Institute, 2010). Ceres (2014) 143 points out how green investment performance depends on the specific type of asset class 144 considered. The returns of direct infrastructure investment, for instance, seem to be roughly 145 meeting investors' targets. Fixed-income instruments linked to low-carbon investment ('green 146 bonds') in general offer coupons in line with similar non-green instruments. However, public equities 147 have significantly underperformed during the last few years compared to the rest of the market, and 148 private equity investments have often failed to fulfil investors' expectations. In general, therefore, 149 financial returns on green investment do not seem to be currently able to compensate for the 150 higher-than-average perceived risks.

Additional features of low-carbon investments contribute to make them unattractive to investors. For instance, they are usually carried out over a long-term time horizon, which is unappealing to

⁹ Data sources: IEA (2012), McKinsey (2010), WEF (2013). Data from McKinsey (2010) have been transformed from Euros to US\$ using an exchange rate equal to 1.4 US\$ per Euro.

¹⁰ However, such a surge in investment is far from unprecedented, as argued by Bowen et al. (2014).

¹¹ Aggregate investment has plummeted in the United States, the European Union, Japan and other advanced economies as an immediate consequence of the crisis, passing from an average of 21.7% of GDP in 2007 to 17.8% in 2009 (IMF 2013). It has slightly recovered since then, but is still far from the pre-crisis level. On the contrary, the average investment share in emerging markets has passed from 29.4% in 2007 to 31.5% in 2012. China's investment share now reaches nearly 47% of its GDP, against the 16.2% displayed by the United States.

¹² The situation in which all economic agents simultaneously attempt to save is usually referred to as the "paradox of thrift" (Keynes 1936): what is wise in a microeconomic perspective - a household or a firm trying to reduce its over-indebtedness by reducing spending and increasing savings - can have dreadful consequences from a macroeconomic point of view. The lack of private demand in a moment of crisis further worsens the situation by forcing firms out of the market and workers into unemployment.

¹³ See Frisari et al. (2013) for a mapping of risks affecting clean energy investments.

investors interested in short-term investment. Some of them – especially direct infrastructure investments – are very illiquid, and it proves very difficult for investors to sell their share before the project's conclusion. They also typically involve very high initial capital costs. Nelson and Shrimali (2014) estimate that upfront capital costs represent 84-93% of total project costs for wind, solar, and hydro energy (compared to 66-69% for coal and 24-37% for gas). Consequently, many low-carbon investments tend to be subject to relatively high financing costs.

159 **3. Access to finance and credit creation**

160 The two conditions discussed in the previous section – the depressed macroeconomic environment 161 and the unattractive risk/return profile of low-carbon activities – represent major obstacles to the 162 achievement of the single most important precondition to carry out investment: the availability of 163 financial resources. Investment is, from a macroeconomic perspective, expenditure¹⁴, and, in order 164 to be able to spend, economic agents require financial resources (i.e. 'money', or 'credit'). Without 165 credit, firms may not be capable of investing, even if they are willing to.

166 In modern economic systems, credit can flow to productive activities in two ways. First, credit can be 167 transferred from the agents that happen to hold it (financers) to those interested in using it 168 (entrepreneurs). In the case of low-carbon investment, there is currently a large discussion regarding the potential role of institutional investors¹⁵ in providing green finance (Della Croce et al., 2011). The 169 170 amount of financial assets currently managed by institutional investors in the OECD countries, which 171 Nelson and Pierpont (2013) estimate at around \$76 trillion, could easily provide the required finance 172 for the transition to a green economy. Some institutional investors are currently investing in green 173 activities for 'ethical' reasons (GIIN, 2013). However, CPI (2013) estimates that institutional investors 174 are currently providing as little as 0.11% of total climate finance. In order for the low-carbon sectors 175 to obtain a critical mass of finance, it is crucial to attract the majority of investors who are not 176 moved by ethical reasons, but just by the desire for economic return.

177 The second way to make credit flow to low-carbon sectors is to create it ex nihilo. In modern 178 economic systems credit creation is a prerogative of the private banking system (McLeay et al., 179 2014; Ryan-Collins et al., 2011). To illustrate this concept, Figure 2 shows a simplified representation 180 of the typical bank balance sheet. There are two main items on the asset side. The first is the stock 181 of central bank reserves. Reserves are deposits that private banks hold at the central bank - in a 182 similar way to households and firms holding deposits at private banks - and they are employed to 183 settle interbank transactions. The second item on the asset side is the stock of loans granted. Loans 184 represent a debt that clients have towards the bank, thus appearing on the asset side of the bank's balance sheet and on the liability side of clients' balance sheets. The main variable on the liability 185 186 side is represented by the stock of clients' deposits - that is, claims that clients have towards the 187 bank. Finally, banks' capital - also called 'equity' or 'net worth' - is defined as the difference between 188 assets and liabilities, and represents the value of assets that would remain if all liabilities were 189 extinguished. Assuming that the bank is solvent, its net worth appears on the liability side, so that 190 the two sides of the balance sheet match each other.

- 191 [FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE File name: "Fig 2 Bank balance sheet]
- 192 [CAPTION: The process of credit creation by private banks]
- 193 Credit creation takes place with the act of lending. When banks decide to grant a loan to a client 194 they do so by expanding both sides of their balance sheet: on the asset side a new loan is created,

¹⁴ Private investment, in other words, is part of GDP when computed using the 'expenditure approach', together with private consumption, public consumption and net exports.

¹⁵ Institutional investors are pension funds, insurance companies, mutual funds and other non-bank organizations managing large amounts of money on behalf of their clients.

195 while on the liability side a new deposit is put at the disposal of the customer. In other words, banks 196 do not have to wait for a deposit to come in in order to lend the money but they create the new 197 deposit themselves, just by typing it into the account of the customer who received the loan 198 (McLeay et al., 2014). The balance sheet of the customer is expanded in a similar way: a new deposit 199 is created on the asset side, while a new debt towards the bank appears on the liability side. This 200 operation broadens the stock of money supply - or 'broad money' - existing in the economy, as the 201 deposit that the bank has put at the disposal of its clients is then employed to purchase whatever 202 goods and services are desired, thus introducing the money in circulation into the wider economy. 203 Banks' ability to expand the existing money supply has critical consequences on the functioning of 204 economic systems and the availability of bank credit often represents the single most important 205 precondition for achieving growth (Bernardo and Campiglio, 2014; Schularick and Taylor, 2012).

This discussion is relevant for green investment because not enough credit, whether reallocated by non-bank investors or newly created by banks, seems to be flowing to low-carbon sectors. Investors and private banks respond to incentives very similar to those that drive the behaviour of firms. The relatively higher degree of risk associated with low-carbon sectors represents a major disincentive to channel resources to them. Additionally, global markets are currently characterised by the widespread desire for liquid, short-term assets, which is at odds with the illiquid, long-term features of typical green investments (Spencer and Stevenson, 2013).

213 4. Carbon pricing and beyond: the relevance of credit market failures

The first and foremost policy usually indicated as the solution to the low-carbon investment 214 challenge is the introduction of a price on carbon¹⁶ (Nordhaus, 2013; Weitzman, 2014). Two main 215 ways exist to implement a carbon price. The first is to fix the price by introducing a tax on the carbon 216 217 content of goods and services - a 'carbon tax' (OECD, 2013). More generally, the idea is to 218 coordinate the whole fiscal system in order to orient the monetary incentives of economic agents 219 towards low-carbon investment and spending (Green Fiscal Commission, 2009; OECD, 2010). This 220 includes not only implementing carbon taxes, but also phasing out subsidies to fossil fuels and 221 introducing feed-in tariffs in support of renewable energy. The second way to establish a carbon 222 price is to create a cap-and-trade system of emissions allowances (World Bank and Ecofys, 2013). In 223 this case, the quantity of allowable emissions is fixed and the price is freely determined by the 224 market.

The implementation of a price on carbon should be able to correct the market failure related to the exclusion of environmental goods from the market pricing system, which makes it unattractive for the private sector to invest in green sectors. A comprehensive price system, capable of internalizing environmental externalities in economic decisions, should put households, firms and financial institutions in the position of wanting to participate to low-carbon sectors.

However, two categories of complications affect this policy strategy. First, a carbon price may never
 be implemented. Proposals of carbon taxes or carbon markets are likely to encounter strong political
 and social resistance on the grounds that they will harm business and increase energy bills¹⁷. Even if

these policies are introduced, they may not last for long, as the recent events in Australia clearly

show¹⁸, or incur in major execution problems as it happened to European Union Emissions Trading

 $^{^{16}}$ A carbon price is usually defined as the price to be paid for the emission of 1 tonne of $\rm CO_2$ into the atmosphere.

¹⁷ See for instance the large media campaigns run in the United States by organizations as Americans for Prosperity and American Energy Alliance.

¹⁸ Australia introduced a carbon tax in July 2012. However, a new Prime Minister was elected in September 2013 after an electoral campaign strongly centred on repealing the tax. The carbon tax was eventually repealed in July 2014 (see Financial Times, 'Australia abolishes tax on carbon emissions', July 17th, 2014).

Scheme¹⁹ (EU ETS). The uncertainty regarding the long-term policy commitment – which has been amplified by the recent reversal of public policies supporting renewable energy – is a major obstacle for green investment, as even in the presence of the 'right' prices firms may decide to wait to internalize them because they do not believe they will last.

Second, this paper argues that even a stable and credible carbon price may not be sufficient to steer
 the required amount of economic resources to green investment. This is due to the existence of an
 <u>additional</u> market failure, related to the process of creation and allocation of credit, which may lead
 banks and other investors not to react as expected to price signals.

243 This 'credit market failure' lies in the misalignment between the legitimate pursuit of private 244 interests by commercial banks - which create the majority of the money supply - and the 245 development objectives that a society sets to itself, the achievement of which is conditional to the availability of financial resources and a certain degree of monetary stability²⁰. Banks' ability to 246 expand the existing money supply is in fact substantially autonomous. This is particularly true for 247 248 high-income countries, where the desire to keep interest rates stable has led central banks to leave 249 the dynamics of the money supply in the hands of the private banking system (see section 7). Public 250 regulators, to the contrary of what postulated by the 'money multiplier' theory²¹, have therefore very limited control on the amount of credit that is being created, and how this is allocated 251 252 throughout the economic system.

253 As a consequence, the dynamics of money supply is likely to be sub-optimal from a social 254 perspective. In particular, the 'endogenous' fluctuations of the financial system are much larger and 255 more frequent than what would be optimal for a robust and sustained economic development. 256 During phases of economic expansion, banks are willing to create a large amount of credit for the 257 rest of the economy even - or especially - at a high degree of risk, because they are confident that 258 loans are going to be repaid or that they will recoup with the underlying asset. A sort of 'collective 259 euphoria' leads to the formation of excessive debt, which ultimately becomes unsustainable, 260 triggering a financial crisis and a spiral of panic on the credit market (Minsky, 1992). Banks then stop 261 lending to firms even in the presence of potential profitable investments, and become interested 262 only in hyper-secure, highly liquid assets.

263 The current historic period happens to provide a rather clear example of this situation. Banks are currently focusing on reducing their balance sheets and shifting away from risky activities rather 264 265 than making credit available to the productive economy. This means that the supply of credit has 266 been strongly constrained (BIS/NIESR, 2013; Feyen and Gonzalez del Mazo, 2013), as private banks 267 are trying to achieve lighter balance sheets. Credit rationing, together with the weak demand for 268 credit from the private sector, has led to substantially flat credit growth in recent years (BIS, 2013a), 269 which in turn had disastrous consequences for the wider economic system and is still posing a 270 significant obstacle to investment and economic recovery.

The autonomy of the private banking system in determining credit dynamics can be appreciated by looking at the ineffectiveness of the policies put in place by major central banks in the attempt to revive credit creation. At first, they employed their 'traditional' monetary policies based on the

¹⁹ The EU ETS has been afflicted by a range of implementation problems, the most relevant of which currently is the very low price of allowances. A proposal to strengthen the scheme has been rejected by the European Parliament in April 2013 (see The Economist, 'ETS, RIP?', April 20th, 2013).

²⁰ The detachment between banks' private interests and wider social objectives is so pronounced that some authors are arguing for the implementation of a 100% reserve ratio, which would transfer the power of money creation in the sole hands of central banks, leaving private banks with the ability to lend only in presence of backing deposits (Benes and Kumhof, 2012; Jackson and Dyson, 2013).

²¹ According to money multiplier theory central banks are capable of controlling the dynamics of the broad money supply by adjusting the amount of the monetary base (Mishkin, 2011).

274 manipulation of the price of central bank reserves - the reference interest rates - lowering them to 275 unprecedented low levels, very close to zero (BIS, 2013a). However, these price-based policies have 276 been almost completely ineffective in reactivating lending and growth. Central banks then resorted 277 to 'unconventional' monetary policies, focused on quantities rather than prices. These have taken 278 the form of a 'Quantitative Easing' (QE), an expansion of central banks' balance sheets through the 279 creation of new reserves at the disposal of the private banking system - achieved through the simultaneous purchase of financial assets, typically government bonds, from the secondary market -280 in the hope that it would resume its lending to businesses²² (Fawley and Neely, 2013). 281

The effect of the QE measures have been ambiguous (Bridges and Thomas, 2012; Ryan-Collins et al., 282 283 2013). Figure 3 compares the recent dynamics of narrow and broad money for the Euro Zone, the 284 United States and the United Kingdom. The effect of QE on the monetary base is evident, especially 285 for the US and the UK: the amounts of central bank reserves rose steeply as a result of the Fed and Bank of England interventions on the markets. However, the dynamics of broad money in these 286 287 countries remained substantially flat, indicating that the banking system did not respond as hoped to 288 regulators' policies and is, to the contrary of what textbook economic knowledge would imply, 289 ultimately autonomous in its lending decisions²³.

290 [FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE – File name: "Fig 3 – Quantitative easing"]

[CAPTION: Monetary base and broad money in the Euro Zone (EZ), United States (US) and United
 Kingdom (UK). August 2008 = 100.²⁴]

293 At the moment, banks are just not willing to lend, whatever the price of central bank reserves is, and 294 despite the presence of potential profitable investments. Two factors concur in generating this 295 result. First, the dire economic situation contributes to deteriorate the risk/return profile of the 296 majority of investments by increasing potential risks. Second, during slumps the risk aversion of 297 banks increase, often beyond what would be 'reasonable'. Economic agents - in the financial 298 markets above all - tend in fact to exhibit irrational conducts and herd behaviour (Shiller, 2000), 299 which may result in an overestimation of investment risks and an exceptionally high demand for 300 ultra-secure assets like US government bonds. Under similar circumstances, a carbon price may not 301 be sufficient to stimulate credit creation in favour of low-carbon activities. Or, to put it differently, 302 the carbon price that would be required to overcome the endemic lack of confidence present on 303 financial markets would be so substantial to be politically infeasible, or highly detrimental for the 304 economic system²⁵.

²² Quantitative Easing measures were also aimed at achieving other objectives rather than just stimulate credit creation. For instance, the purchase of sovereign bonds has effectively helped in calming the markets, especially in the case of the Euro Zone. This is testified by the very low interest rates on sovereign debt titles in the US, the UK, Germany, and by the decrease of interest rates for other economies after the 2011 spikes. In the case of US, additional benefit was given by the fact that corporate mortgage-backed assets were also purchased by the Fed, thus getting rid of a vast amount of 'toxic titles'.

²³ This notion is usually referred to as the 'endogenous money theory', which argues that private banks decide how much credit to create - that is, how many loans to grant - independently of how many reserves they have. Only afterwards, they ask for reserves to the central bank, which, unless it wants to cause a credit crunch and a financial crisis, will satisfy any demand for reserves coming from the private banking system. The causation process is thus completely reversed with respect to the money multiplier theory. See Lavoie (2003), Benes and Kumhof (2012), Disyatat (2011), Kydland and Prescott (1990).

²⁴ Monetary base is defined as: cash and reserves (UK); monetary base (US); base money (EZ). Broad money is defined as: M4 (UK); M2 (US); M3 (EZ). Sources: European Central Bank for the Euro Zone; Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) for the US; Bank of England for the UK.

²⁵ Fay et al. (2013) develop a similar analysis, arguing that the potential inability to change prices and the potential ineffectiveness of price signals at triggering the desired change call for the implementation of 'green

For all the reasons above, hedging the risk of non-implementation by creating a portfolio of policies with the same objective – that is, increase low-carbon investment - would represent the most prudent course of action, as some may be more easily implementable or effective than others (Rozenberg et al., 2014). Certainly, policies come at a cost: each policy must be designed, implemented, enforced, monitored and evaluated. However, putting all hopes on a single policy carbon pricing – could result in massive costs in the unfortunate event that a carbon price is never implemented, or insufficiently so, and no back-up plan has been put in place.

5. Macroprudential regulation and its repercussions on low-carbon investment

Banking regulators have been recently trying to correct the credit market failure by reducing the autonomy of private banks in creating credit. This attempt has been motivated by the desire of avoiding a repetition of the 2007 financial crisis, which was triggered by an uncontrolled growth of bank credit. The set of policies under discussion has taken the name of 'macroprudential regulation' (Galati and Moessner 2011).

318 The main effort in this direction has been the 'Basel III' Accord, which introduces stricter standards 319 for banks on both the liquidity of their assets and the robustness of their capital (BIS, 2013a, b). In a 320 nutshell, liquidity rules require banks to satisfy two conditions: 1. hold enough liquid assets – that is 321 vault cash, central bank reserves and other highly liquid assets as sovereign bonds - to face a 322 prolonged funding stress scenario (LCR – Liquidity Coverage Ratio); 2. match long-term assets – that 323 is, with maturity over a year - with similarly long-term liabilities (NSFR – Net Stable Funding Ratio). 324 The regulation regarding capital on the other hand introduces a range of ratios to be respected 325 between the banks own capital and the stock of assets, which in some cases are adjusted according 326 to their degree of risk (see Figure 2). The objective in this case is to prevent excessive leverage by the 327 banking system, as their ability to create credit, if uncontrolled, can pose systemic risks to the 328 functioning of economies.

The new Basel III regulation is thought to be negatively affecting the already problematic access to finance of low-carbon sectors (Liebreich and McCrone, 2013; Spencer and Stevenson, 2013). For instance, imposing liquidity requirements would most likely produce a reallocation of investments towards liquid shorter-term assets, while low-carbon initiatives typically require long-term credit. In general, banks would tend to shy away from whatever they consider to be too risky, preferring to invest in very liquid standardized assets such as sovereign bonds rather than in projects characterized by a range of technological, financial and policy uncertainties as the low-carbon ones.

336 The new rules concerning capital would also be likely to have a negative impact on green activities, 337 as they would tend to reduce bank lending across all productive sectors, including the low-carbon 338 ones. There are in fact only two strategies available to banks for which the capital requirement ratio 339 is not respected: the first one is to increase their capital by issuing new shares or retaining profits; 340 the second is to reduce the expansion of their balance sheet by constraining new credit creation or 341 by selling their assets. For those capital ratios where assets are weighted according to their risk, 342 banks can also improve their situation by reallocating their portfolios towards less risky assets, as 343 they are already currently doing. None of these eventualities is likely to be beneficial for low-carbon 344 sectors.

However, it is unclear to what extent this flight to liquid low-risk short-term assets is taking place because of financial regulation, or just as a market-driven reaction of the banking system to the current economic situation. As a matter of fact, banks seem to be finding no particular problem in respecting the new rules (Cohen, 2013). However, even if Basel III was not currently acting as a 349 constraint on banking behaviour, it could do so in the future, once the deleveraging process350 terminates and private agents start to borrow and spend again.

Hence, the crucial question becomes: <u>can</u> banking requirements act as a constraint on credit creation, either now or when the economy will be in the next expansion period? This is important because if requirements were indeed able to act as a constraint, then easing the constraints for specific destinations of lending – say, low-carbon productive activities - would give the banking system an incentive to create a proportionally larger amount of credit for the chosen sectors.

356 6. Green macroprudential regulation

The idea of easing public requirements for banks lending to low-carbon activities seems to have attracted some interest. Rozenberg et al (2013), for instance, argue for the introduction of differentiated reserve ratio requirements directed in favour of green sectors. Reserve ratio requirements relate the amount of reserves that banks possess - either in the form of cash kept in their vaults or as deposits held at the central bank – to the stock of their clients' deposits (see Figure 2). The reserve ratio is thus a form of liquidity requirement and gives an indication of how resilient a bank would be to an unexpected withdrawal of funds from its clients' deposits.

Differentiating reserve requirements means to impose different reserve requirements to different banks, depending on the destination sector of lending. In the case of green differentiated reserve requirements, the reserve ratio that banks have to satisfy would be lower than average for loans directed towards low-carbon sectors. Given that banks obtain their profits from lending, and that a lower reserve ratio expands the potential amount of credit that a bank can create, this policy should give an incentive to banks to direct a larger amount of lending towards green investment.

In Rozenberg et al. (2013), the mechanism would work as follows (Figure 4). A firm is interested in investing in low-carbon activities - for instance, producing energy from wind. It presents the details of the project to an independent monitoring unit - e.g. an agency of the Ministry of Environment that calculates the amount of polluting emissions that will be cut thanks to the project, and issues a corresponding amount of certificates. The firm then applies for a loan and, if the loan application is accepted, it hands the certificates to the bank. Finally, the bank can then use the certificates at the central bank as part of its reserve requirement.

- 377 [FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE File name "Fig 4 Green reserve requirements"]
- 378 [CAPTION: Green differentiated reserve requirements in Rozenberg et al. (2013)]

379 A similar scheme called 'National Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Action' (NEEREA) has 380 been recently implemented in Lebanon (Banque du Liban, 2010; PWMSP, 2011). The scheme aims at 381 providing cheap credit to the private sector for projects related to renewable energy production and 382 energy efficiency in buildings. If the commercial bank decides to accept the loan request, the firm 383 presents a technical study of the project, which is assessed by the Lebanese Center for Energy 384 Conservation (LCEC), an agency affiliated to the Lebanese Ministry of Energy and Water. If the 385 project is approved, the Lebanese Central Bank – Banque du Liban (BDL) - provides its support by 386 reducing the bank's obligatory reserve requirements by an amount equal to 100-150% of the loan.

An analogous proposal involves setting differentiated <u>capital</u> requirements; that is, imposing different capital adequacy ratios according to the characteristics of the banking institute and the type of lending they provide. Capital requirements are likely to be more effective than liquidity ones in constraining bank lending, as even creating new central bank reserves would not change the capital ratio, or at least not in the way banks desire²⁶. Therefore, implementing a regulatory

²⁶ In the case of central bank reserves being created simultaneously to a purchase of sovereign bonds from the banking system, there would be no expansion of the banking balance sheet, but just a change in the

framework where banks that lend to low-carbon (or other socially useful) sectors are required to respect looser requirements could fruitfully manage to direct larger flows of new credit creation towards them. A similar proposal involves calibrating the computation of Basel III risk-weighted capital ratios in a way that low-carbon activities would exert a lower pressure than alternative investments.

397 An alternative strategy is the one employed by the Chinese Central Bank – People's Bank of China 398 (PBC). The PBC exerts a sort of soft pressure - called "window guidance" - on the banking system, for 399 instance by holding monthly meetings with commercial banks to make sure that the allocation of 400 credit across sectors follows the Central Bank's strategic plans. The Chinese window guidance 401 framework has focused extensively on low-carbon sectors, which are considered one of the most 402 important priorities for the country's development (Zadek and Chenghui, 2014). PBC (2013), for 403 instance, states that "financial institutions were guided to intensify support (..) to sectors crucial for 404 economic and social development such as (..) energy conservation and emissions reduction» and 405 that «credit support to industries with high energy consumption and high emissions and industries 406 with an overcapacity needs to be controlled.". The China Banking Regulatory Commission (CBRC) 407 also published a document presenting the 'Green Credit Guidelines', in which it is stated that 408 "banking institutions shall promote green credit from a strategic height, increase the support to 409 green, low-carbon and recycling economy, fend off environmental and social risks, and improve their 410 own environmental and social performance." (CBRC, 2012).

411 **7. Would green reserve requirements work?**

412 Among all the policies and policy proposals presented in the previous section, green differentiated 413 reserve requirements seem to be the policy most seriously considered. However, would such a 414 policy actually work? The answer depends on where the policy would be implemented. In many 415 high-income countries, reserve ratios are in fact not likely to be effective as a constraint on bank 416 lending behaviour, for at least two reasons. First, availability of reserves is currently far from being a 417 problem for banks since central banks have inundated the interbank market with new liquidity 418 through the Quantitative Easing policies presented in section 4. Additionally, and most importantly, 419 in most modern banking systems, central bank reserves are not capable of acting as a constraint, 420 even in non-extraordinary circumstances. This is due to the fact that in modern economies money 421 does not have to be backed by any other asset. Central banks can potentially create reserves ad 422 libitum, according to their objectives, simply by adding a new entry in their ledger accounts (Gray, 423 2011). Thus, reserves can become a constraint on banks behaviour only if the central bank – or more 424 precisely, the monetary policy framework that the central bank has put in place – allows and wants 425 them to act as such.

426 During the past decades, however, central banks in advanced economies have preferred to use as 427 their main monetary policy instrument, the price of reserves – that is, the reference interest rate -428 rather than their quantity. The manipulation of the reference interest rate helps the central bank to 429 have a better control on the interbank lending rate, which is the interest rate at which banks lend to 430 one another. The two policies - a stable interbank interest rate and the use of reserves as a 431 constraint – are incompatible with one other: if a central bank's desire is to keep the price of money 432 in the interbank market around a certain range – as the European Central Bank, the Fed, the Bank of 433 England, the Bank of Japan and many others do - then they have to satisfy any demand of reserves 434 coming from the banking market. Denying new reserves to banks in moments of liquidity stress 435 would automatically put pressure on the price of reserves on the interbank market, putting the 436 interest rate out of the control of the central bank. Therefore, in advanced economies, reserve

composition of its assets. In the case of bonds being purchased from other holders – say, institutional investors – then both the asset side (new reserves) and the liabilities side (new deposits of institutional investors) would expand by the same amount, thus deteriorating the capital ratio.

requirements can't act as a constraint because central banks guarantee to satisfy any demand ofreserves at the price they fix - the reference interest rate.

439 Not all countries, however, adopt the same monetary policy framework. For instance, the People's 440 Bank of China is strongly involved in the management of credit allocation and employs a wider range 441 of monetary policy tools other than the interest rate, including reserve requirements and other 442 quantitative instruments (Ma et al., 2013; Porter and Xu, 2009; Turner et al., 2012). The PBC is able 443 to make reserves act as a constraint by accepting a higher volatility of the interbank market interest 444 rate: in periods of liquidity shortage, instead of depending on the unlimited reserves creation by the 445 central bank as in advanced economies, banks will borrow from the interbank market affecting the 446 rate they apply to each other²⁷.

447 Both China and a number of other emerging economies have used reserve requirements as a monetary policy tool in recent years²⁸ (Ma et al., 2013). This contrasts with advanced economies 448 central banking practices, in which reserve requirements - made ineffective by the focus on the 449 450 interest rate as sole monetary instrument – have been gradually reduced to very low levels, and in some cases abolished²⁹. Emerging economies also provide a wide range of other examples of 451 452 macroprudential quantitative policies aimed at mitigating systemic risk, giving central banks the capability of orientating credit creation towards the sectors considered as strategic for country 453 development.³⁰. A non-exhaustive list of policy tools include liquidity and capital requirements, caps 454 455 on the loan-to-value ratio, caps on debt-to-income ratio, ceilings on credit growth, restrictions on 456 profit distribution, and many others (Lim et al., 2011).

The PBC is also using so-called "dynamic" differentiated reserve requirements (Ma et al., 2013; Morgan Stanley, 2011; PBC, 2013): the reserve ratio is not fixed at the same level for every institution but can differ according to their size, their financial conditions – for instance, their capital adequacy ratio – and the sector they operate in. Figure 5 shows how from 2008, a wedge has been introduced between the reserve ratio requirements for small and large banks. A similar approach could be used to steer the creation of credit towards low-carbon productive activities, as Rozenberg et al. (2013) propose.

464 [FIGURE 5 ABOUT HERE – File name: "Fig 5 – Chinese reserve ratios"]

²⁷ However, the freedom of the central bank to let the interbank rate fluctuate is not limitless, as the recent 'cash crunch' illustrates. In June 2013, a range of circumstances created pressure on the Chinese interbank liquidity, causing the interbank interest rates to increase. The PBC initially decided not to intervene, refusing the injection of reserves that some banks needed to respect their reserve requirements. This behaviour was also apparently motivated by the desire to send a signal to domestic financial institutions, considered to have created an excessive and undesired amount of loans (hence the need for reserves). However, the dangerous spike in the interbank market interest rates, with the repo rate reaching 30%, eventually forced the PBC to provide the additional liquidity requested. A similar episode took place in December 2013. See The Economist, 'What caused China's cash crunch?', July 4th 2013.

²⁸ In some emerging economies, including China, the increase in reserve requirements has been mainly aimed at limiting the macroeconomic consequences of their foreign exchange stabilization policies: to avoid an undesired appreciation of their currency as a result of their strong trade balances, many central banks have started purchasing foreign currency at a fixed rate, so as to prevent their households and firms from exchange by central banks is financed by the creation of new reserves. In order to limit the amount of liquidity created, central banks then increase the required reserve ratios so to freeze the excess liquidity. See Duncan (2012).

^{(2012). &}lt;sup>29</sup> Australia, New Zealand and United Kingdom are among the countries where no reserve ratio is applied (Gray, 2011).

³⁰ Credit control is particularly frequent in Eastern Asia - China, Thailand, Singapore, Korea, Malaysia - and Eastern Europe - Bulgaria, Romania, Russia, Serbia (Lim et al. 2011).

465 [CAPTION: Reserve ratio requirements in China, 2001-2013 (Source: Ma et al. 2013; Bloomberg)]

These policies may appear very far from the usual central banking practice in high-income countries. 466 467 However, the vast majority of advanced economies have implemented some form of 468 macroprudential policy at some point in the past. Elliott et al. (2013) review the long history of 469 macroprudential instruments employed by the United States throughout the last century to promote 470 or curb credit growth, often with specific sectors in mind (housing, for instance). These included 471 underwriting standards, reserve requirements, deposit rate ceilings, credit growth limits, supervisory 472 pressures and other policies, which have helped public authorities in their attempt of moulding the 473 shape of the American economic system. The deregulation process during the '80s has been the 474 main factor causing the gradual disappearance of these policies, which left the Federal Reserve with 475 the manipulation of the interest rate as its sole monetary policy tool. A similar process was 476 experienced by Japan, where the central bank conducted policies that resembled the current 477 Chinese monetary framework until the deregulation and financial liberalization during the late '80s 478 has made it impossible to continue (Fukumoto et al., 2010).

479 Furthermore, in the wake of the recent financial crisis and recession, a number of central banks have 480 started to experiment new 'unconventional' measures, which often go beyond their traditional 481 mandates. For instance, the central banks of high-income regions - Fed, European Central Bank, 482 Bank of England and Bank of Japan - all began a policy of 'forward guidance', through which they aim 483 to influence market expectations by expressing commitments regarding the future dynamics of 484 interest rates. Sometimes these expressed intentions are linked to the achievement of some policy objective – such as a certain unemployment rate 31 – thus explicitly expanding central bank mandates 485 beyond price stability to include wider macroeconomic considerations. 486

487 8 Merits and limitations of public development banks

The analysis in the previous sections focused on public policies aimed at inducing a large creation of credit by the private banking system towards the low-carbon sectors. However, public regulators also have the additional option to lend <u>directly</u> to the sectors they consider strategic. This can be achieved through public development banks, financial institutions devoted to supporting the process of national economic development³².

493 National development banks include, to cite some of the largest, the China Development Bank 494 (CDB), the German Kreditanstalt fur Wiederaufbau (KfW) and the Brazilian Banco Nacional do 495 Desenvolvimento (BNDES). Development banks can also be incorporated in multilateral institutions 496 such as European Investment Bank (EIB), the International Bank for Reconstruction and 497 Development (IBRD) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB). Both multilateral and national 498 development banks are able to provide credit to companies on terms more favourable than those of 499 the market and lend to sectors that commercial banks are unwilling to finance. They also usually 500 provide technical assistance to the projects and facilitate dialogue with political institutions.

Public development banks can play an important role in delivering finance to the low-carbon economy, and many of them have already set up specific lending programs. In the 2007-12 period, at least \$425bn have been provided by development banks to projects on renewable energy production, energy efficiency and other environmental-related activities (BNEF, 2013). In 2012, investments reached \$109bn, growing 19% from the previous year and thus in contrast with the negative trend of green investments in the same period (see section 2). Among national

³¹ For instance, in August 2013 the Monetary Policy Committee of the Bank of England "agreed its intention not to raise Bank Rate from its current level of 0.5% at least until the Labour Force Survey (LFS) headline measure of the unemployment rate had fallen to a 'threshold' of 7%".(Bank of England, 2013a).

³² For a detailed survey of national development banks, see de Luna-Martinez and Vicente (2012).

507 development banks, KfW has been by far the most active institution, followed by the China 508 Development Bank. Multilateral development banks have also been the most active promoters of 509 the diffusion of 'green bonds', which have strong potential for driving financial resources towards 510 low-carbon sectors, especially if issued in large amounts and in a standardized fashion. The market is 511 in a phase of rapid expansion, and the outstanding amount of green bonds is now valued at around 512 \$346 billion (CBI, 2013).

An even more targeted experiment has been started in the United Kingdom through the creation of the Green Investment Bank (GIB), a development bank aimed at helping the country to meet its environmental targets by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, increasing the production of energy from renewable sources, improving energy efficiency and reducing waste (GIB, 2013). The GIB has been founded in 2012 with an initial allocation of £3bn by the government (now at £3.8bn), and has

518 since shown a promising capacity of crowding in private investments³³.

519 The amount of finance made available from national and multilateral development banks is thus far 520 from negligible. However, it must be noted that their range of action is strongly limited by the fact 521 that public development banks lack one of the most crucial characteristics of banks: the ability to 522 autonomously expand their own balance sheets. The power of creating credit through the act of 523 lending is in fact forbidden to development banks, which have to limit their lending to the amount of 524 finance they are able to raise on the secondary markets through the issuance of, for instance, green 525 bonds. The case of the Green Investment Bank is even more problematic, as the bank not only lacks 526 the power to create new credit ex nihilo, but also the ability to borrow from the markets. The UK 527 Treasury has frozen this possibility until at least 2015-16 to avoid the further expansion of the 528 country's public debt. Consequently, the GIB will not be able to lend anything more than the 529 endowment granted by the government, thus strongly limiting potential emission reductions.

530 Overcoming these obstacles is going to prove very challenging. For instance, the Bank of England 531 could purchase debt securities issued by the Green Investment Bank, which could then lend the 532 funds to low-carbon activities - a sort of 'green' quantitative easing (Murphy and Hines, 2010). This 533 would probably prove to be extremely controversial under the current macroeconomic setting, as it 534 would be similar to public credit creation by the Central Bank. However, as unconventional this 535 proposal may appear, it is not unprecedented. At the end of World War II, the Canadian Central 536 Bank created an Industrial Development Bank (IDB) aimed at supporting the small and medium 537 enterprise sector. The IDB – which in its 31 years of operations lent money to approximately fifty 538 thousand businesses – was entirely financed by the Central Bank, which purchased the whole 539 amount of bonds issued by the IDB through the creation of new reserves (Ryan-Collins et al., 2013).

Despite their inability to leverage, which limits the effectiveness of their interventions, public development banks are likely to play a relevant role in the transition to a low-carbon society. Their developmental approach makes them the financial institutions most suitable to provide credit to sectors judged socially useful. Having development banks as more solid actors in the global credit system would help to increase the volume of resources to low-carbon sectors, expand the market for green bonds and act as a catalyst for the private sector investors.

546 9. Conclusions and further research

547 The climate change challenge will require a transition to a low-carbon economic system, 548 characterized by the production of energy from renewable resources, high efficiency and a smart

³³ In their first 5 months of operations, the total amount of finance raised by GIB was approximately £2.3bn, of which 635 million was committed by the GIB itself, and the rest by private investors. The average mobilisation ratio was thus around 3:1 (GIB, 2013).

use of ecological resources. Investment in low-carbon sectors is, however, still far from what would
be needed according to estimates, and investment in fossil fuel energy capacity still too high.

551 One of the main obstacles to filling the investment gap is the market failure related to the exclusion 552 of ecological and common goods from the market pricing system. Introducing a carbon price, either 553 through the fiscal system or via the creation of a carbon market, is thus a necessary precondition to 554 induce private investors to be interested in green sectors.

1000 private investors to be interested in green sectors.

555 However, a carbon price may not be enough. In order to carry out their activities, low-carbon firms 556 necessitate credit. Under certain economic conditions, of which the post financial crisis period represents the most recent realization, banks may lack the confidence to create new credit even in 557 558 the presence of right prices and profitable investments. This credit market failure, together with the 559 deep uncertainties surrounding the future implementation of a carbon price, makes the case for 560 considering a wider portfolio of policies. Examples include green differentiated reserve and capital 561 requirements, modifying the risk weights for computing capital requirements in favour of low-562 carbon assets and other quantitative macroprudential policies aimed at easing lending conditions for 563 low-carbon firms.

As unconventional as these policies may seem, they are far from unprecedented. Macroprudential regulation is currently implemented in a large number of emerging economies, and has been frequently employed in advanced economies in the past. However, the employment of these policies requires moving beyond current central banking practice in high-income countries, which in past decades have been using reference interest rates as their sole policy tool. Despite the wave of new financial regulation and the current reshaping of central bank mandates, adopting measures aimed at controlling credit allocation is going to prove challenging and controversial.

571 For this reason, much work remains to be done on the research side. In particular, the discussion of 572 how to finance the transition to a low-carbon society would benefit from being founded on a well-573 developed and reliable set of economic theories. In particular, a stronger theoretical connection 574 needs to be developed between two areas of research that have traditionally been separate: the 575 economics of sustainability - the multidimensional analysis of how societies interact with their 576 natural environment – and monetary and banking economics. The connection between these two 577 spheres must be studied from both a policy and an economic theory perspective in order to reach a 578 systemic understanding of how the transition – or the lack thereof - could affect the future dynamics 579 of our economies. In particular, the role of banks and the wider financial system in facilitating the 580 achievement of a sustainable economy constitutes a promising and relatively unexplored area of 581 research that could shed light on the multiple layers of macroeconomic systems management.

582 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Alex Bowen, Baran Doda, Louise Kessler, Elena Kfoury, Guonan Ma, Nicola Mastrorocco, Armon Rezai, Serban Scrieciu, Gemma Wearing, Dimitri Zenghelis and two anonymous referees for useful comments and data. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under grant agreement n° 282846 (LIMITS). All errors are exclusively my own.

588

589 590

Bibliography

- 591 Bank of England, 2013a. Monetary policy trade-offs and forward guidance. Bank of England, London.
- 592 Bank of England, 2013b. Trends in Lending October 2013. Bank of England, London.
- 593 Bank of England, 2014. Trends in Lending January 2014. Bank of England, London.
- 594 Banque du Liban, 2010. Intermediate Circular 236. Banque du Liban, Beirut.
- 595 BDRC Continental, 2014. SME Finance Monitor Q4 2013: The year in review. BDRC Continental, 596 London.
- Benes, J., Kumhof, M., 2012. The Chicago Plan revisited. International Monetary Fund, WashingtonDC.
- 599 Bernardo, G., Campiglio, E., 2014. A simple model of income, aggregate demand and the process of 600 credit creation by private banks. Empirica 41, 381-405.
- 601 BIS, 2013a. 83rd Annual Report. Bank of International Settlements, Basel.
- 602 BIS, 2013b. Basel III: The Liquidity Coverage Ratio and liquidity risk monitoring tools. Bank of 603 International Settlements, Basel.
- 604 BIS/NIESR, 2013. Evaluating changes in bank lending to UK SMEs over 2001–12 ongoing tight 605 credit? Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, London.
- BNEF, 2013. Development banks Breaking the \$100bn-a-year barrier, White Papers. BloombergNew Energy Finance.
- 608 BNEF, 2014. Global trends in clean energy investment Q2 2014 fact pack.
- Bowen, A., Campiglio, E., Tavoni, M., 2014. A macroeconomic perspective on climate change
 mitigation: Meeting the financing challenge. Climate Change Economics 5.
- 611 Bridges, J., Thomas, R., 2012. The impact of QE on the UK economy some supportive monetarist 612 arithmetic. Bank of England Working Paper Series 442.
- 613 CBI, 2013. Bonds and climate change The state of the market in 2013. Climate Bonds Initiative,614 London.
- 615 CBRC, 2012. Notice of the CBRC on issuing the Green Credit Guidelines. China Banking Regulatory616 Commission, Beijing.
- 617 Ceres, 2014. Investing in the Clean Trillion: Closing the clean energy investment gap. Ceres, Boston.
- 618 Cohen, B.H., 2013. How have banks adjusted to higher capital requirements?, International banking
- and financial market developments. Bank of International Settlements, Basel, pp. 25-41.
- 620 CPI, 2013. The global landscape of climate finance 2013. Climate Policy Initiative, Venice.
- de Luna-Martinez, J., Vicente, C.L., 2012. Global survey of development banks, Policy Research
 Working Paper. World Bank, Washington D.C.
- Della Croce, R., Kaminker, C., Stewart, F., 2011. The role of pension funds in financing green growth
- 624 initiatives, OECD working papers on finance, insurance and private pensions. Organisation for 625 Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris.
- Disyatat, P., 2011. The bank lending channel revisited. Journal of money, Credit and Banking 43, 711-734.
- Duncan, R., 2012. The New Depression. The Breakdown of the paper money economy. John Wiley &Sons, Singapore.
- ECB, 2012. Corporate indebtedness in the Euro area, ECB Monthly Bulletin. European Central Bank,Frankfurt.
- EDHEC-Risk Institute, 2010. Adoption of green investing by institutional investors: A European
 survey. EDHEC-Risk Institute, Nice.
- Eickmeier, S., Gambacorta, L., Hofmann, B., 2013. Understanding global liquidity, BIS Working
 Papers. Bank of International Settlements, Basel.
- 636 Elliott, D.J., Feldberg, G., Lehnert, A., 2013. The history of cyclical macroprudential policy in the 637 United States. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- 638 Fawley, B.W., Neely, C.J., 2013. Four Stories of Quantitative Easing. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

639 Review 95, 51-88.

- Fay, M., Hallegatte, S., Vogt-Schilb, A., 2013. Green Industrial Policies: When and How, PolicyResearch Working Paper. World Bank Washington D.C.
- Feyen, E., Gonzalez del Mazo, I., 2013. European bank deleveraging and global credit conditions,
 Policy Research Working Paper. World Bank, Washington DC.
- 644 Frisari, G., Hervè-Mignucci, M., Micale, V., Mazza, F., 2013. Risk gaps: A map of risk mitigation 645 instruments for clean investments. Climate Policy Initiative, Venice.
- FS-UNEP, BNEF, 2013. Global trends in renewable energy investment 2013. Frankfurt School UNEP
 Centre / BNEF, Frankfurt.
- Fukumoto, T., Higashi, M., Inamura, Y., Kimura, T., 2010. Effectiveness of Window Guidance and
 Financial Environment In light of Japan's experience of financial liberalization and a bubble
 economy, Bank of Japan Review. Bank of Japan, Tokyo.
- 651 GIB, 2013. Annual Report 2013. Green Investment Bank, Edinburgh.
- 652 GIIN, 2013. Perpectives on progress. The impact investors survey. Global Impact Investing Network 653 and J.P.Morgan.
- Gray, S., 2011. Central bank balances and reserve requirements, IMF Working Paper. InternationalMonetary Fund, Washington D.C.
- 656 Green Fiscal Commission, 2009. The case for green fiscal reform. Final report of the UK Green Fiscal 657 Commission. Green Fiscal Commission, London.
- HSBC, 2014. The HSBC guide to green bonds, Climate Change & Fixed Income. HSBC Global Research.
- 659 IEA, 2012. Energy technology perspectives 2012. International Energy Agency, Paris.
- 660 IMF, 2013. World Economic Outlook Database April 2013.
- Jackson, A., Dyson, B., 2013. Modernising Money: Why Our Monetary System is Broken and How itCan be Fixed. Positive Money, London.
- Koo, R.C., 2014. It is private, not public finances that are out of whack. German Economic Review 15,166-190.
- Kydland, F., Prescott, E., 1990. Business cycles: Real facts and a monetary myth, Quarterly Review.
 Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, pp. 3-18.
- 667 Lavoie, M., 2003. A primer on endogenous credit-money, in: Rochon, L.P., Rossi, S. (Eds.), Modern
- theories of money: The nature and role of money in capitalist economies. Edward Elgar Publishing,pp. 506-543.
- Liebreich, M., McCrone, A., 2013. Financial regulation biased against clean energy and greeninfrastructure?, Clean energy White Paper. Bloomberg New Energy Finance.
- Lim, C., Columba, F., Costa, A., Kongsamut, P., Otani, A., Saiyid, M., Wezel, T., Wu, X., 2011.
 Macroprudential Policy: What Instruments and How to Use Them? Lessons from Country
 Experiences, IMF Working Paper. International Monetary Fund, Washington D.C.
- 675 Ma, G., Yan, X., Liu, X., 2013. China's evolving reserve requirements. Journal of Chinese Economic 676 and Business Studies 11, 117-137.
- 677 McCollum, D., Nagai, Y., Riahi, K., Marangoni, G., Calvin, K., Pietzcker, R., van Vliet, J., van der Zwaan,
- B., 2014. Energy investments under climate policy: a comparison of global models. Climate ChangeEconomics 4.
- 680 McKinsey&Co, 2010. Impact of the financial crisis on carbon economics: Version 2.1 of the Global681 Greenhouse Gas Abatement Cost Curve. McKinsey & Company.
- McLeay, M., Radia, A., Thomas, R., 2014. Money creation in the modern economy, Quarterly
 Bulletin. Bank of England, London, pp. 14-27.
- 684 Minsky, H.P., 1992. The financial instability hypothesis, Working Paper. The Levy Economics Institute.
- Mishkin, F., 2011. The economics of money, banking and financial Markets, 9th Edition. Pearson,Boston.
- 687 Morgan Stanley, 2011. Dynamic differentiated RRR adjustement to become the primary monetary 688 policy tool, China Economics. Morgan Stanley Research Asia/Pacific.
- 689 Murphy, R., Hines, C., 2010. Green Quantitative Easing: Paying for the economy we need. Finance for 690 the Future, Norfolk.

- Nelson, D., Pierpont, B., 2013. The challenge of Institutional investment in renewable energy.Climate Policy Initative.
- Nelson, D., Shrimali, G., 2014. Finance mechanisms for lowering the cost of renewable energy inrapidly developing countries. Climate Policy Initiative.
- Nordhaus, W., 2013. The Climate Casino: Risk, Uncertainty, and Economics for a Warming World.Yale University Press, New Haven.
- 697 OECD, 2010. Taxation, innovation and the environment. Organisation for Economic Co-operation 698 and Development, Paris.
- 699 OECD, 2013. Taxing energy use A graphical analysis. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and700 Development, Paris.
- PBC, 2013. China Monetary Policy Report Quarter One, 2013. Monetary Policy Analysis Group of the
 People's Bank of China, Bejing.
- 703 Porter, N., Xu, T., 2009. What drives China's interbank market? International Monetary Fund.
- PWMSP, 2011. Country Report Lebanon Benchmarking of existing practice against EU norms,
 Benchmarking Reports. Paving the Way for the Mediterranean Solar Plan.
- Rozenberg, J., Hallegatte, S., Perrissin-Fabert, B., Hourcade, J.-C., 2013. Funding low-carbon
 investments in the absence of a carbon tax. Climate Policy 13, 134-141.
- Rozenberg, J., Vogt-Schilb, A., Hallegatte, S., 2014. Transition to clean capital, irreversible investment
 and stranded assets, Policy Research Working Paper. World Bank, Washington D.C.
- Ryan-Collins, J., Greenham, T., Werner, R., Jackson, A., 2011. Where does money come from? (2nd
 edition). New Economics Foundation, London.
- Ryan-Collins, J., Werner, R., Greenham, T., Bernardo, G., 2013. Strategic quantitative easing:
 stimulating investment to rebalance the economy. New Economics Foundation, London.
- Schularick, M., Taylor, A.M., 2012. Credit Booms Gone Bust: Monetary Policy, Leverage Cycles, and
- 715 Financial Crises, 1870-2008. American Economic Review 102, 1029-1061.
- 716 Shiller, R.J., 2000. Irrational exuberance. Princeton University Press.
- Spencer, T., Stevenson, J., 2013. EU low-carbon investment and new financial sector regulation:what impacts and what policy response? IDDRI, Paris.
- Turner, G., Tan, N., Sadeghian, D., 2012. The Chinese Banking System, RBA Bulletin. Reserve Bank ofAustralia, pp. 53-64.
- 721 UNEP, 2011. Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty
 722 Eradication. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.
- 723 UNFCCC, 2009. Report of the Conference of the Parties on its fifteenth session.
- 724 WEF, 2013. The green investment report. World Economic Forum, Geneva.
- Weitzman, M., 2014. Can Negotiating a Uniform Carbon Price Help to Internalize the Global
 Warming Externality?, Discussion Paper. Harvard Project on Climate Agreements, Cambridge, Mass.
- 727 World Bank and Ecofys, 2013. Mapping carbon pricing initiatives. World Bank, Washington DC.
- Zadek, S., Chenghui, Z., 2014. Greening China's financial system. An initial exploration. International
 Institute for Sustainable Development
- 729 Institute for Sustainable Development.
- 730 Zenghelis, D., 2012. A strategy for restoring confidence and economic growth through green
- investment and innovation, Policy Brief. Grantham Institute on Climate Change and the Environment- London School of Economics, London.
- London School of Economics, Londor733









