

**Wolfgang Wagner, Katrin Kello, [Caroline Howarth](#)**  
**"Are they crazy?": social representations,  
conformism, and behavior**

**Article (Accepted version)  
(Refereed)**

**Original citation:**

Wagner, Wolfgang, Kello, Katrin and Howarth, Caroline (2015) "Are they crazy?": social representations, conformism, and behavior. [Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology](#). ISSN 0022-0221

DOI: [10.1177/0022022115600269](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022115600269)

© 2015 The Authors

This version available at: <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/63276/>

Available in LSE Research Online: September 2015

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (<http://eprints.lse.ac.uk>) of the LSE Research Online website.

This document is the author's final accepted version of the journal article. There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

"Are you crazy?"

Social Representations, Conformism and Behaviour

Wolfgang Wagner

University of Tartu, Estonia

Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

Katrin Kello

University of Tartu, Estonia

Caroline Howarth

London School of Economics, UK

RUNNING HEAD: Representations, Conformism and Behaviour

Address for correspondence: Prof Wolfgang Wagner, University of Tartu, Estonia;

Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria; email: w.wagner@jku.at

"Are they crazy?"

### Social Representations, Conformism and Behaviour

At first sight norms are volatile and adaptive bundles of action preferences that are statistically conspicuous. Persons often use such perceived regularities in others' behaviour to shape their own behaviour. This special issue collects a number of contributions that deal with the role and functions of descriptive norms—that is the perceived common behaviours of others—in social life and how they determine the perceivers' behaviours. In other words, research on descriptive norms is basically on the norms-behaviour link, a link that also has been called conformism. But there is much more to say about the embedding of norms in social life and their transmission.

### Dreaming of 'Perchten'

For a beginning imagine this situation: Neighbours of yours have been planning to buy a car. The plan has occupied their mind for months, and they have talked about it each time you have met on the street. Being polite neighbours, you often have short chats. For the last weeks, a used but well looking car has caught your neighbour's attention. They have contacted the seller, they have tried the car out, and yesterday they were supposed to sign the contract. Today you run into them again and polite as you are, you inquire about their purchase. "So you're the happy car-owner now?" you ask. But to your surprise, you see your neighbour shaking head. No, they say, I didn't even go there. Meeting your surprised look, they feel compelled to offer an explanation.

1  
2  
3  
4 Now imagine two scenarios. (a) In one case, the neighbour tells that on the night before,  
5  
6 they dreamt of a 'Percht' who recommended not to buy the car because not only was the  
7  
8 seller a crook, but the car was in fact inhabited by evil spirits. This requires some  
9  
10 explanation: 'Perchten' (plural) are demonic, often dreadful characters of Austro-  
11  
12 Bavarian lore that are thought to rule in the cold nights of January. Assuming that you,  
13  
14 the reader, are not extremely well versed with Austro-Bavarian lore, you are taken  
15  
16 aback by your neighbour's story, and you may even question your neighbour's sanity.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 (b) In the second scenario your neighbour tells that they talked with friends about the  
23  
24 brands of cars that are popular among their acquaintances and that their chosen car is  
25  
26 not among them. This created the prospect of potentially losing status and they  
27  
28 postponed the whole thing.  
29  
30

31  
32  
33 How do you react? "I understand" you will think in scenario (b) and you are unlikely to  
34  
35 harbour second thoughts because you are well acquainted with the phenomena of peer-  
36  
37 pressure and 'descriptive norms', particularly in car brands.  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 How does situation (a) differ from (b)? The superficial difference is the lack of an  
43  
44 accepted grounding of 'getting recommendations while dreaming particularly about evil  
45  
46 spirits' and, second of a 'Percht', a personage you didn't know before and, hence, didn't  
47  
48 understand your neighbour's account.  
49  
50

## Grounding a norm

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

The contributions assembled in this special issue consider communication 'grounding' to consist in clarifying the meaning of a word or set of words akin to the communicators subscribing to the same lexical entry of a word. But there is more to it: Words are not only embedded in a context of pragmatic uses but in a network of meanings, everyday theories, and series of actions. In other words, a word is not understood without knowing the socially constructed representation of an issue against which the word has a 'metric' and meaning (Wagner, Hansen, & Kronberger, 2014). And this applies to norms as well (Staerklé, Clémence, & Spini, 2011).

We argue that the force of descriptive norms on influencing behaviour depends on the shared grounding of the frame, supporting ideas and everyday theories that is the comprehensive representational field by which a norm is being defined. Consider the example of 'being influenced by a Percht in a dream': Agreeing to this idea means not only to know the literal meaning of a 'Percht'—like checking a dictionary—(as alluded by Gao, Qiu, Chiu, & Yang, forthcoming, p.4f), but at least also to know what the background folklore is, why they appear in the cold nights of January, and that in dreams one can 'receive' advice from others. This latter part has a long tradition in several religious mythologies and was reconstructed in Freud's well-known 'Traumdeutung' (Freud, 1900). So, in a way, accepting your neighbour's surprising account presupposes a well-developed representation of European culture. Without this background, the neighbour just appears 'crazy'.

1  
2  
3  
4 In case (b), your neighbour offers an explanation that refers to the norm of conformism  
5 and status. Existence of such practices is part of everyday knowledge in these societies.  
6  
7  
8 This account appeals to conformism and has a place in a culture where conformism is  
9 valued. There are, however, also cultures where non-conformism is valued. By being  
10 conformist in such a culture, your neighbour would violate the over-arching norm of  
11 non-conformism, a conundrum.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 Summarising, social norms only make sense against a background of a representation  
20 that embraces the respective behaviour, and the explanation respectively justification of  
21 that behaviour (cf. Moscovici, 2008, p.8; Wagner, 2015). The isolated norm does not  
22 make much sense outside of this contextual behaviour-justification system and will  
23 even be unintelligible to the un-initialized and it doesn't come into being 'just so' (e.g.  
24 Morris & Liu, forthcoming). This goes as far as making conformism—which is implied  
25 in the norm-behaviour link—an issue that is a culturally relative norm that respects  
26 veridicality before norm adherence (Hodges & Geyer, 2006; see also Moscovici &  
27 Nemeth, 1974).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

#### 42 Transmission and change

43  
44  
45  
46 Processes of language acquisition by children do not only imprint children with the  
47 language spoken in their surroundings, but also convey the deep characteristics of local  
48 culture (e.g. Howarth, 2002; Lloyd & Duveen, 1992; Tomasello, 2003). Later in a  
49 child's life parents may utilize their instrumental knowledge and convey 'useful' norms  
50 to their offspring (Tam, forthcoming). Also, novel developments and particularly cross-  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 cultural channels of culture diffusion will enrich people's repertoire of norms to a  
5  
6 certain degree (Howarth, Wagner, Magnusson, & Sammut, 2014). However, two points  
7  
8 require mention: First, to a large part norms are not arbitrary but are built upon deep-  
9  
10 seated necessities, most of which can be twisted only so much: These are all norms that  
11  
12 derive from humankind's eusociality (e.g. Crespi & Yanega, 1995) such as norms  
13  
14 allowing group structures, overlapping generations, brood care, and a division of labour  
15  
16 into reproductive and non-reproductive sections.  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 Second, diffusion of cultural artefacts and consequent norms by electronic mass media  
22  
23 has an impact on dominant norms in societies and shapes cultural preferences (e.g.  
24  
25 Fiske, 1987; Hartley, 1996; Zafar, Mirza & Syed Qamar, 2013). The spread of  
26  
27 scientific literacy in everyday life, for example, brings new representations that  
28  
29 supersede old knowledge. This does not mean in most cases that the old representations  
30  
31 and behavioral norms disappear but that their claim on validity changes and the new and  
32  
33 old attain different situational values, which is called cognitive polyphasia (Provencher,  
34  
35 2011; Wagner, Duveen, Verma, & Themel, 2000). In one of our studies, for example,  
36  
37 we show that traditional cultural thinking about mental illness is not replaced by  
38  
39 Western psychiatric knowledge when the latter is attained through education. Instead,  
40  
41 both are legated to being used in different circumstances and situations: Traditional  
42  
43 explanations appear in the context of family conversations, while scientific psychiatric  
44  
45 discourse is used with strangers and in the public (Wagner, Duveen, Themel, & Verma,  
46  
47 1999).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Outlook

We think that the social psychological investigation of norms, values and everyday knowledge needs to consider the place of norms in overarching social representations. Only as expressions of social representations these notions attain cultural meaning and shared understanding that includes the possibility to interact and co-construct local worlds (Wagner, 2015).

For Peer Review



## References

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Crespi, B. J., & Yanega, D. (1995). The definition of eusociality. *Behavioral Ecology*,  
11 6(1), 109-115. doi: 10.1093/beheco/6.1.109  
12  
13  
14 Fiske, J. (1987). *Television Culture*. London: Routledge.  
15  
16 Freud, S. (1900). *Die Traumdeutung* (II, III) (The interpretation of dreams, German).  
17 Frankfurt am Main: S. Fischer Verlag.  
18  
19  
20 Gao, W., Qiu, L., Chiu, C.-Y., & Yang, Y. (forthcoming). Diffusion of opinions in a  
21 complex culture system: Implications for emergence of descriptive norms. *Journal*  
22 *of Cross-Cultural Psychology*.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Hartley, J. (1996). *Popular Reality: Journalism, Modernity, Popular Culture*. London:  
28 Arnold.  
29  
30  
31  
32 Hodges, B. H., & Geyer, A. L. (2006). A Nonconformist Account of the Asch  
33 Experiments: Values, Pragmatics, and Moral Dilemmas. *Personality and Social*  
34 *Psychology Review*, 10(1), 2-19. doi: 10.1207/s15327957pspr1001\_1  
35  
36  
37  
38 Howarth, C. (2002). Identity in whose eyes? The role of representations in identity  
39 construction. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 32(2), 145-14+.  
40  
41  
42  
43 Howarth, C., Wagner, W., Magnusson, N., & Sammut, G. (2014). 'It's Only Other  
44 People Who Make Me Feel Black': Acculturation, Identity and Agency in a  
45 Multicultural Community. *Political Psychology*, 35(1), 81-95. doi:  
46  
47 10.1111/pops.12020  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 Lloyd, B., & Duveen, G. (1992). *Gender Identities and Education - The Impact of*  
53 *Starting School*. New York: Harvester-Wheatsheaf.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 Morris, M. W., & Liu, Z. (forthcoming). Psychological functions of subjective norms:

1  
2  
3  
4 Reference groups, moralization, adherence and defiance. *Journal of Cross-*  
5  
6 *Cultural Psychology*.

7  
8  
9 Moscovici, S. (2008). *Psychoanalysis - Its Image and Its Public*. Cambridge, UK: Polity  
10  
11 Press.

12  
13 Moscovici, S., & Nemeth, C. (1974). Social influence: II. Minority influence. In C.  
14  
15 Nemeth (Ed.), *Social psychology: Classic and contemporary integrations*. Oxford  
16  
17 England: Rand McNally.

18  
19  
20 Provencher, C. (2011). Towards a better understanding of cognitive polyphasia. *Journal*  
21  
22 *for the Theory of Social Behavior*, 41(4), 377-395.

23  
24 Staerke, C., Clemence, A., & Spini, D. (2011). Social Representations: A normative  
25  
26 and dynamic intergroup approach. *Political Psychology*, 32(5), 759-768.

27  
28  
29 Tam, K.-P. (forthcoming). Understanding intergenerational cultural transmission  
30  
31 through the role of perceived norms. *Journal of Cross-cultural Psychology*.

32  
33 Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language*  
34  
35 *Acquisition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

36  
37  
38 Wagner, W. (2015). Representation in action. In G. Sammut, E. Andreouli, G. Gaskell,  
39  
40 & J. Valsiner (Eds.), *Handbook of Social Representations* (pp. 12-28). Cambridge,  
41  
42 UK: Cambridge University Press.

43  
44  
45 Wagner, W., Duveen, G., Themel, M., & Verma, J. (1999). The modernisation of  
46  
47 tradition: Thinking about madness in Patna, India. *Culture and Psychology*, 5,  
48  
49 413-446.

50  
51  
52 Wagner, W., Duveen, G., Verma, J., & Themel, M. (2000). "I have some faith and at  
53  
54 the same time I don't believe"--cognitive polyphasia and cultural change in India.  
55  
56 *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 10(4), 301-314.

1  
2  
3  
4 Wagner, W., Hansen, K., & Kronberger, N. (2014). Quantitative and qualitative  
5  
6 research across cultures and languages: Cultural metrics and their application.

7  
8 *Integrative Psychological & Behavioral Science*, 48(4), 418-434. doi:

9  
10 10.1007/s12124-014-9269-z

11  
12 Zafar A., Mirza J. & Syed Qamar, B. (2013). Role of electronic media in changing

13  
14 value system in Pakistan. *The International Asian Research Journal*. 1(1), 59-65.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review