Executive summary

EU Kids Online coordinates and stimulates investigation into the way children use new media, with a particular focus on evidence about the conditions that shape online risk and safety.

“EU Kids Online has been hugely important for European stakeholders as the key provider of trusted evidence to help us make the internet a better place for kids.”

European Commission Vice President Neelie Kroes, Commissioner for the Digital Agenda

Welcome and overview from the project director, Professor Sonia Livingstone.
Click on the image to play the video.

Some videos I see on the internet make me scared. Filthy images don’t frighten me! But they make me feel bad. Because I don’t like them. And frightening things stay in my mind.
(boy, 10, Spain)

She wrote to me that I am a bitch and so on … then she came to me with an older friend, I think she was seventeen or so, they shouted at me and just kept writing ugly things.
(girl, 12, Czech Republic)

A stranger said that he liked me and that I was pretty. This was creepy, and I felt uncomfortable and weird about this.
(girl, 11, Belgium)

My dad knows my Messenger and Facebook passwords. He sometimes checks to see if I’ve spoken with strangers after the cases they’ve heard of …
(girl, 12, Romania)
EU Kids Online recent research findings, methods and recommendations

Updating and analysis of our 25-country pan-European survey. In-depth interviews with 9- to 16-year-olds in nine countries. Expansion of the open access, searchable European evidence database.

European 9- to 16-year-olds say they are now:

- more likely to say they were upset by something seen online in 2014 (13% to 17%)
- more likely to be exposed to hate messages (9% to 20%)
- more likely to be exposed to pro-anorexia sites (7%)
- more likely to be exposed to self-harm sites (7%)
- more likely to be exposed to cyberbullying (11%)

Research toolkit of our methods to guide researchers and research users.
Active dialogue with stakeholders to ensure policy has a robust evidence base.

eukidsonline.net
eukidsonline@lse.ac
#EUKIDSONLINE
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive summary</td>
<td>2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EU Kids Online network has been funded by the EC Better Internet for Kids programme</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children are going online more, younger, and in more diverse ways</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The digital age raises some key questions</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explaining risks and opportunities:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EU Kids Online model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using the model to explain online risks and opportunities</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do children do online?</td>
<td>10-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What bothers children online?</td>
<td>12-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many children encounter online risks?</td>
<td>15-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is children’s exposure to online risk changing?</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>But not all risk results in self-reported harm</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do children cope with online risks?</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hear from the researchers</td>
<td>21-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explaining change</td>
<td>24-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How parents support their children’s internet use</td>
<td>26-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social networking sites bring particular opportunities and risks</td>
<td>28-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 countries compared for children’s online opportunities, risk of harm and parenting</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towards evidence-based policy</td>
<td>31-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU Kids Online has worked in 33 countries</td>
<td>35-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The European Evidence Base</td>
<td>38-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our research toolkit</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EU Kids Online network at work</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU Kids Online policy impacts</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What’s next?</td>
<td>43-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact us</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The EU Kids Online network has been funded by the EC Better Internet for Kids programme*

From 2006-09, as a thematic network of 21 countries, EU Kids Online identified and evaluated the findings of nearly 400 research studies to draw out substantive, methodological and policy implications.

From 2009-11, as a knowledge enhancement project across 25 countries, the network surveyed 25,000 children and parents to produce original, rigorous data on online opportunities and risk of harm.

From 2011-14, the network expanded to 33 countries to conduct targeted analyses of the quantitative survey and new qualitative interviews with children.

It happened in our school. Someone took a picture of someone in a pose and then they edited the picture making a small comment and then...my schoolmate was pretty sad about it, then I told everyone to delete the photo because I just asked them, if that was you, how would you feel, so they deleted the photo and everything's fine now.

(boy, 11, UK)

*Originally, Safer Internet Programme
Children are going online more, at younger ages, and in more diverse ways

Children are using the internet in more places in their daily lives.
They go online on more personal and mobile devices, making it hard for their parents to guide their online activities – See our report and video.

Ever younger children are gaining access to internet-enabled devices, with largely unknown consequences – See our report and video.

The ‘ladder of opportunities’ is still too steep: most children do not reach the level of creative, collaborative or civic activities online.
The digital age raises some key questions

How can children’s online opportunities and digital skills be further developed and more strongly supported?
See our report and video.

What are the implications of these changes for the risk of harm facing children online and offline?
Are some children using the internet to excess?
See our report and video.

What actions are needed from parents, schools, industry, children’s organisations and governments to maximise online benefits and to minimise harm?

Are all countries facing similar challenges? If not, which differences really matter?
Are all families facing similar challenges?
See our report on disadvantaged children and on vulnerable children.

How can research and policy anticipate the further changes that lie ahead?
Explaining risks and opportunities: The EU Kids Online model
Many factors account for children’s online experiences, which is why there are no ‘one size fits all’ policy solutions. Our model shows the factors we have investigated and how they intersect with each other.

5 key findings

1. The more children use the internet, the more digital skills they gain, and the higher they climb the ‘ladder of online opportunities’ to gain the benefits.

2. Not all internet use results in benefits: chance of a child gaining the benefits depends on their age, gender and socio-economic status, on how their parents support them, and on the positive content available to them.

3. Children’s use, skills and opportunities are also linked to online risks; the more of these, the more risk of harm; thus as internet use increases, ever greater efforts are needed to prevent risk also increasing.

4. Not all risk results in harm: the chance of a child being upset or harmed by online experiences depends partly on their age, gender and socio-economic status, and also on their resilience and resources to cope with what happens on the internet.

5. Also important is the role played by parents, school and peers, and on national provision for regulation, content provision, cultural values and the education system.

The relations among these variables are examined in our recent book. The chapter summaries show how the model predicts positive and negative outcomes.
What do children do online?
Since the **EU Kids Online 2010 survey of 25 countries**, our sister project **Net Children Go Mobile** updated the survey in seven countries in 2014.*

Data from 11- to 16-year olds in 2010 and 2014 (for Belgium, Denmark, Italy, Ireland, Portugal, Romania and the UK) shows what they do online on a daily basis.

While they are indeed doing more than before, the ladder of opportunities is as steep as ever.

Children are most likely to engage with social network sites, instant messaging, YouTube and gaming.

They are much less likely to create or upload content, read the news online or participate in virtual worlds.

---

*Net Children Go Mobile* surveyed 9- to 16-year olds in Belgium, Denmark, Italy, Ireland, Portugal, Romania, UK.
What bothers children online?
We asked the children to tell us in their own words what bothers or upsets people their age on the internet, if anything. Nearly 10,000 children told us of their concerns and their responses were very diverse.

5 key findings

1. Pornography tops children’s online concerns.

2. Violent, aggressive, cruel or gory content came a close second – although violence receives less public attention than sexual material.

3. What particularly upsets them is real (or realistic) rather than fictional violence, and violence against the vulnerable such as children or animals.

4. Children see video-sharing websites as most linked with violent, pornographic and other content risks.

5. Boys express more concern about violence than girls, while girls are more concerned about contact risks.

Children’s concern about online risks rises markedly from 9 to 12 years old. Younger children are more concerned about content risks, and as they get older they become more concerned about conduct and contact risks.
Here’s what the children told us bothers them online

- When strangers message me on the internet, sex sites that open without me clicking on them. (boy, 10, Austria)
- Animal cruelty, adults hitting kids. (girl, 9, Denmark)
- Those things that show other people's suffering or torment as a funny thing. (boy, 14, Hungary)
- Showing images of physical violence, torture and suicide images. (girl, 12, Slovenia)
- A mate showed me once a video about an execution. It was not fun, but insane. I get scared. (boy, 12, Poland)
- Violence against women and children and perverted humiliations and cruelty. (girl, 14, Germany)
- Propositions to meet from people whom I do not know. (boy, 10, Portugal)
- See people having sex or naked people. (boy, 10, Portugal)
- To take a photo of me without my knowledge and upload it to an inappropriate website. (girl, 10, Bulgaria)
- Facebook shows scary things even if you click on something that does not look or sound scary. (girl, 9, UK)
- I was shocked seeing a starving African child who was going to die and a condor waiting to eat him. (girl, 13, Turkey)
- Scary things - I saw something at my friend's house and I can't get it out of my head. (boy, 11, Ireland)
- When strangers message me on the internet, sex sites that open without me clicking on them. (boy, 10, Austria)
How many children encounter online risks?

In 2010, we surveyed 25,142 internet users aged 9-16 and their parents in 25 countries*.

* Exact phrasing for risk measures and all findings click here.
How many children encounter online risks?

We only asked the 9- to 10-year-olds about a few of the possible risks, for ethical reasons. In the previous year, 5% of internet-using 9- to 10-year-olds said they had seen sexual images online, 3% had been sent bullying (nasty or hurtful) messages, 13% had met a new contact online and 2% had met an online contact offline.

We asked more questions of children aged 11-13 and 14-16.

Key findings

1. The most common risk is making contact online with someone that the child does not know face to face. This finding – while meriting the efforts of awareness-raisers in relation to safety – illustrates the gap between risk and harm, for while many children make such contacts, only a subset go on to meet such a person online and nearly all of them report that the meeting turned out well (see our full findings).

2. Next most common is seeing sexual images and receiving sexual messages. Exposure to pornography was more reported by boys and older children, and some (though not all) found this upsetting or intrusive or inappropriate. In comparing across countries, exposure to sexual risks made a significant difference, being more typical of countries that we labelled ‘supported risky explorers’ (Nordic countries and the Netherlands) where parents are more laissez-faire and children more free to explore the opportunities and risks online.

3. Third come a set of risks related to user-generated content (UGC). These are likely to become more common as children increasingly engage with UGC, and yet these risks receive little attention from policy makers. This is partly because, other than blocking YouTube, Facebook and other UGC sites entirely, it is difficult to produce tools that filter such content. It is also because parents and educators seem reluctant to talk to children about such content. Thus it is important to note that a fair number have seen hate content, pro-anorexia content (especially teenage girls) and sites that discuss drug use, self-harm and suicide.

4. Finally, being cyberbullied is reported by a small minority of 11- to 16-year-olds. However, this risk is the most likely to result in harm – half of these youngsters report being fairly or very upset by receiving nasty or hurtful messages online.
How many children encounter online risks? continued

CONTENTS

- Had contact with someone not met face to face before
- Seen sexual images online
- Received sexual images online
- Seen websites where people publish hate messages that attack certain groups or...
- Seen websites where people promote eating disorders (such as being very skinny, anorexic or...
- Met online contact offline
- Seen websites where people talk about or share their experiences of taking drugs
- Seen websites where people discuss ways of physically harming or hurting themselves
- Been cyberbullied
- Seen websites where people discuss ways of committing suicide

14-16 yrs

- Had contact with someone not met face to face before: 43
- Seen sexual images online: 23
- Received sexual images online: 20
- Seen websites where people publish hate messages that attack certain groups or...: 17
- Seen websites where people promote eating disorders (such as being very skinny, anorexic or...: 13
- Met online contact offline: 14
- Seen websites where people talk about or share their experiences of taking drugs: 10
- Seen websites where people discuss ways of physically harming or hurting themselves: 10
- Been cyberbullied: 8
- Seen websites where people discuss ways of committing suicide: 6

11-13 yrs

- Had contact with someone not met face to face before: 23
- Seen sexual images online: 10
- Received sexual images online: 9
- Seen websites where people publish hate messages that attack certain groups or...: 7
- Seen websites where people promote eating disorders (such as being very skinny, anorexic or...: 7
- Met online contact offline: 5
- Seen websites where people talk about or share their experiences of taking drugs: 4
- Seen websites where people discuss ways of physically harming or hurting themselves: 5
- Been cyberbullied: 5
- Seen websites where people discuss ways of committing suicide: 3
Is children’s exposure to online risk changing?

We again compared our findings from the 2010 survey with Net Children Go Mobile 2014 survey findings*. The graph shows data only for seven countries, for 11- to 16-year-old internet users.*

Children’s exposure to online risk is changing in key ways

Children are now more likely to be exposed to hate messages (from 13% to 20%), pro-anorexia sites (from 9% to 13%), self-harm sites (from 7% to 11%) and cyberbullying (from 7% to 12%).

Children are now less likely to make contact online with someone they don’t know face to face (from 28% to 11%): possibly awareness-raising efforts about ‘stranger danger’ are proving effective. However, they are slightly more likely to meet an online contact offline.

Overall, online risk affects a significant minority, but by no means a majority of young internet users. The challenge of addressing negative UGC is, however, of increasing importance.

*Net Children Go Mobile surveyed 9- to 16-year-olds in Belgium, Denmark, Italy, Ireland, Portugal, Romania and the UK in 2013-14
But not all risk results in self-reported harm

In our 2010 survey of 25 countries, 12% of 9- to 16-year-olds said that, in the past year, something had bothered or upset them on the internet.

This question was asked again by the Net Children Go Mobile survey in 2014, in seven countries.

Key findings

1. Fewer than 1 in 5 9- to 16-year-olds say they were bothered or upset by something online in the past year. This figure has risen slightly since 2010 (from 13% to 17%).

2. The increase is striking among girls and among teenagers – this matters, since much public anxiety centres on boys (or excessive or violent use) and young children (for being vulnerable).

3. There is a real need to target safety resources on girls and teenagers. It is also vital to understand why these girls and teenagers are increasingly likely to experience harm linked to internet use.

4. Overall, the incidence of harm online is less than many panicky media reports would suggest.

5. Nor is the increase as great as one might expect given the rise of frequent, personalised internet use. Possibly the many safety and awareness-raising initiatives are proving effective.
How do children cope with online risks?

In 2010, using qualitative methods, we interviewed nearly 400 children aged 9- to 16-years-old, individually and in groups, in nine countries*.

Again we heard a lot about children’s experiences of risk of harm online.

While adults distinguish between contact (adult strangers) and conduct (peer-to-peer) risks, children didn’t find this so easy: people communicating with them, whether more or less known to them, of varying (and often unknown) ages, presented both an opportunity and a risk to them.

This led to lots of discussion among the children about what was or wasn’t safe in different situations.

One important theme was about the difficulty of responding to inappropriate material that has been produced by their friends or peers – adults often talk about ‘risks’ as if they come from far away, but for children they can arise in their everyday chat with people from school, and because this is so close to home it can be much harder to deal with.

Encouragingly, they had lots of ideas and strategies for how to respond to online risk.

Children were keen to discuss how they try to avoid risky online problems and how they reflect on situations when they go wrong so as to be better prepared another time. They prefer to discuss these situations with peers because parents tend to criticise children for getting into the situation in the first place. They fear parents will invade their privacy or limit their online freedoms.

See our full report and video

See our related reports on children’s approaches to preventive measures and coping strategies

*Belgium, the Czech Republic, Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal, Romania, Spain, and the UK.
Hear from the researchers

Children’s online opportunities and risks are different in each country. Watch how we explain our findings in 32 different countries and languages.

Click on the image to play the video in English, or the button below for the native language version.
Explaining change

Is online risk increasing? Isn’t everything changing fast as the internet changes? How can families, communities and policy makers keep up?

We have already seen that children’s online activities are increasing year on year. Yet those same findings showed that the patterns don’t change so much. Age differences persist. Socio-economic inequalities still matter. Most children still don’t reach very high on the ladder of opportunities.

The same may be said for the risk of harm. Research suggests that the more online activities, the more risk as well as more opportunities. Yet those same findings show that the patterns aren’t changing much. It seems that the effort on the part of policy makers matters: policy initiatives can slow or halt increases in more familiar risks, yet new risks continue to emerge.

Our report on explaining change – which analyses available longitudinal results over time – goes a step further. It shows how change in children’s lives depends on more than technological or policy change.

How children engage with the internet: Sonia Livingstone at TEDxExeter

Click on the image to play the video.
Also important are:

1. Increasing access to ever more online services, resulting in structural change in the online population.

2. Ongoing societal practices of incorporating and adjusting to new technologies within everyday contexts.

3. New cohorts (or ‘digital generations’) of parents and children, with changing knowledge and expectations.

Some of these changes occur rather slowly and gradually (e.g. the gain in parental experience and expertise regarding the internet, or changes in the school curriculum to teach digital skills). Some may be rather sudden (e.g. the take off of a new social networking service or a fashionable practice among youth).

The mass media’s barrage of headlines claiming that everything is new may usefully call attention to the need for public awareness and resources, but it is misleading in terms of more fundamental processes of change.

While up-to-date research is extremely valuable, we must also consider the many factors that shape long-term trends over time. Recalling the EU Kids Online model (see page 8), the outcomes for children depend on a host of individual, social and cultural factors, all influencing each other, and all changing according to different time scales.
Our report comparing these approaches found that:

- ‘Active mediation’ (#1) is associated with lower online risk of harm, as well as children enjoying more online opportunities and gaining more digital skills.
- ‘Active safety mediation’ (#2) is more often used after a child has experienced something upsetting online, to prevent further problems.
- ‘Restrictive mediation’ (#3) is also associated with lower online risk of harm, but also lower online opportunities and digital skills, because children are less free to explore, learn and become resilient.
- Use of ‘parental filters’ (#4) was not found to reduce online risk.

We found that:

Parents are more likely to use filtering if they are confident users of the internet themselves, or if they are worried about their child online, or if their child is young and inexperienced in using the internet.

There is a correlation such that more parental filtering is linked with less online risk. But when we control statistically for the child’s age, this correlation disappears. It seems that parents more often apply filters for younger children and, separately, younger children encounter less risk since they use the internet less. There’s no statistical link between parental filtering and level of risk after controlling for age.

The main ways that parents support their children’s internet use are:

1. Actively talking to or sitting with children or sharing online activities.
2. Actively talking to children or advising them on safety strategies.
3. Setting rules and restrictions on how children can use the internet.
4. Using technical filtering or parental control tools or interpersonal monitoring strategies.
How parents support their children’s internet use continued

Are parents changing their approach? As the graph comparing these findings with Net Children Go Mobile findings shows, according to children the answer is – not very much.

But parental mediation varies across Europe (see on page 19).
Social networking sites bring particular opportunities and risks
Our report on social networking sites (SNSs), age and privacy revealed that age restrictions applied by social networking sites (SNS) are ineffective, and that children often do not understand the privacy and safety features provided by these sites. But when parents ban their children from using SNSs, this is often effective among 9- to 12-year-olds though less so for teenagers.

Our report on risky communication online revealed that nearly a half of 11- to 16-year-olds say it is easier to be themselves on the internet than with people face to face. This is more common among teenagers who have problems with their peers.

Comparing EU Kids Online 2010 findings with Net Children Go Mobile 2014 findings in seven countries reveals, as shown in the graph opposite that:

- SNS use has increased a little (from 61% to 68%) but the proportion of that use which is on Facebook has increased substantially (from 7 in 10 to 9 in 10).
- The number of ‘under-age’ children on SNSs has not changed much but it remains substantial – with 22% of 9- to 10-year-olds and 53% of 11- to 12-year-olds on Facebook.

Our report to the European Commission’s CEO Coalition for a better internet for children showed further that:

Only one in seven of those upset by an online risk used the reporting tools provided.

Children are more likely to have a public profile if they cannot understand or manage SNS privacy settings or if they have psychological difficulties.

More efforts are needed to make privacy settings and reporting tools user-friendly for children.
25 countries compared for children’s online opportunities, risk of harm and parenting

Using measures of online activities, risk of harm and parental mediation, we identified four country clusters (see the map).

- Unprotected networkers
- Protected by restrictions
- Semi-supported risky gamers
- Supported risky explorers

There are more differences within countries than between countries. Thus, online opportunities, risk and parenting vary more within a country than across countries.

What’s useful about pan-European similarities is that it makes sense for policy makers in one country to learn from the best practice in another.

But since there are big differences within each country, many factors must be taken into account in developing policy and practice initiatives.

Cross-national differences are greatest in the incidence of sexual content risks. Children who are bullied or who give away personal data are evenly distributed across Europe.

There are grounds for concern in the protected by restrictions countries where parents are so cautious about safety that their children may lack online opportunities.

There are also grounds for concern in the unsupported networkers country where children may receive little parental mediation and so are unprepared for online risks.

See the report and video information on each country.
Towards evidence-based policy

It’s important that policy developments are firmly grounded in evidence. It’s also important that policy makers and practitioners should seek to maximise children’s opportunities to benefit from the internet as well as trying to minimise harm.

Based on our research findings, we offer evidence-based recommendations for each of the following groups:

- Families – for children and for parents
- Educators, awareness raisers and media
- Government and industry

See our full policy report for how these recommendations are evidence-based.

See also our report on policy influences and country clusters for the different policy contexts across Europe.

The policy can be obtained here
All the chapters are summarised here
CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE are encouraged to:

Maximise the benefits that the internet affords through diverse activities that expand their digital skills to more participative and creative uses.

Share responsibility for online safety and welfare of others, particularly in contexts of online bullying and harassment where as bystanders or participants they can have decisive impact.

Respect age limits for online services and seek advice from parents and teachers about the suitability of services and content they would like to access.

Develop proactive coping strategies such as deleting messages, blocking unwanted contacts and using reporting tools.

Seek help from a parent, trusted adult or friend if they have been bullied or encounter something problematic online.

Review online privacy settings on a regular basis; share personal information only with friends; and never post other's personal information, including pictures, without consent.

PARENTS should:

Support children’s exploration of the internet from an early age and inform themselves about the benefits and the risks that the internet offers.

Focus on enhancing children’s opportunities, coping skills and resilience to potential harm.

Think less about risk and focus instead on engaging, fun activities and positive content.

Communicate regularly with children about what they may find problematic online.

Be clear about expectations and rules relating to online behaviour.

Treat media coverage concerning online risks critically.
Educators, awareness raisers and media

**EDUCATORS** should:

Promote positive, safe, and effective use of technology by children in all educational contexts including homework, using public libraries, computer clubhouses, ICT workshops etc.

Integrate online safety awareness and digital skills across the curriculum.

Ensure the benefits of digital technologies reach all children.

Ensure provision of ICT and digital skills development for teachers, supported by awareness raising about risks and safety for young people online.

Develop whole-school policies regarding positive uses of technology as well as protocols to deal with instances of online bullying and harassment.

Form partnerships with trusted providers and sources of expertise in the delivery of internet safety education.

**AWARENESS RAISERS AND MEDIA** should:

Increase parental understanding about the risks young people face online without being alarmist or sensationalist.

Focus first on the many opportunities and benefits that the internet affords and only secondly the risks to be managed and harm to be avoided.

Represent and present young people's perspectives about online experiences in ways that respect their rights and their privacy. Ensure reporting and awareness raising is based on reliable evidence and robust research.
**Government and industry**

**GOVERNMENT should:**

- Coordinate multi-stakeholder efforts to bring about greater levels of internet safety and ensure there is meaningful youth participation in all relevant multi-stakeholder groupings.
- Review adequate legislative provision for dealing with online harassment and abuse.
- Ensure provision for youth protection in traditional media can also support online safety provision.
- Continue efforts to support digital inclusion of all citizens while providing support for socially disadvantaged parents and households.
- Promote positive online content, encouraging broadcasters, content developers and entrepreneurs to develop content tailored to the needs of different age groups.

**INDUSTRY should:**

- Ensure ‘safety by default’ and enable customisable, easy-to-use safety features, accessible to those with only basic digital literacy.
- Promote greater standardisation in classification and advisory labels to guide parents.
- Ensure age limits are real and effective using appropriate methods of age verification where possible and accompanied by sufficient safety information.
- Implement tools so that under-18s can remove content that may be damaging to their reputation and/or personal integrity.
- Ensure commercial content is clearly distinguishable, is age-appropriate, ethical and sensitive to local cultural values, gender and race.
- Support independent evaluation and testing of all specified safety tools and features.
- Develop a shared resource of standardised industry data regarding the reporting of risks.
EU Kids Online has worked in 33 countries

On the following 2 pages are 33 country buttons that link to key information, usually in English and in the national language.
EU Kids Online has worked in 33 countries
Find out more:

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Republic

Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece

Hungary
Iceland
Ireland
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
EU Kids Online has worked in 33 countries

Find out more:

Luxembourg  Malta  Netherlands  Norway  Poland  Portugal

Romania  Russia  Slovakia  Slovenia  Spain  Sweden

Switzerland  Turkey  UK  Australia  Brazil
The European evidence base

This database contains the available research on children and the internet and mobile technologies in Europe. It was created for researchers, research users, students, and anyone who wants to know the findings on children’s internet use.

EU Kids Online has reviewed 1500 recent studies – in many languages.

Relevant details are entered in this online, open access, fully searchable database.

We created short summaries of main findings for many of these studies.

We hope you will search for studies that interest you - by country, topic, keyword, etc.

If you know of other studies in this area, do please tell us so we can update the database.

* There may be more studies to be found for recent years and these will be added to the database in due course.
The database reveals key research gaps for the future agenda:

Uneven coverage by age, especially young children, despite the rapid rise in their access to online devices.

A focus on the fixed internet, to the neglect of mobile, convergent and emerging technologies.

More on risk than on opportunities, with too little known about which children are developing skills or how they are gaining real benefit from the internet.

Gaps regarding exposure to the full range of online risks, with too little known of which children are particularly vulnerable to harm.

Not enough on the role of parents and teachers and other mediators: which of their strategies really work to empower children online?

Gaps in certain countries – while we can sometimes generalise across countries, for many purposes, national and context-specific research is needed.

- Full report
- Video
Our research toolkit

Rigorous quantitative and qualitative methods are vital to sustain evidence-based policy. This raises crucial questions of reliability, validity, comparability and research ethics.

The EU Kids Online network has worked hard on developing its research toolkit. We are keen that our insights and our methods are useful for researchers and research users internationally.

Anyone may use these resources: we just ask you to credit EU Kids Online as the source, and keep us in touch with your results.
The EU Kids Online network at work

Over the years we have worked with many stakeholders, and we have presented our research findings and recommendations at a host of international and national meetings.

**Highlights include regular presentation of findings at:**

- European Commission’s annual Safer Internet Forum in Brussels.
- European Commission’s CEO Coalition meetings.
- Insafe – European network of internet safety awareness raisers.
- Family Online Safety Institute’s conferences in Washington DC.
- International Governance Forum.
- Diverse meetings of governments, industry stakeholders, educators, researchers, parenting groups and the public.

**Key roles:**

- Sonia Livingstone chaired the European Commission’s Positive Content Competition.
- Brian O’Neil conducted the independent review of Europe’s ICT Coalition.
- Elisabeth Staksrud and Bojana Lobe evaluated the European Safer Social Networking Principles.
EU Kids Online policy impacts

Policy impacts – our work is widely read, sought after, cited and used.


- **OECD (2011)** The Protection of Children Online: Risks faced by children online and policies to protect them, OECD Digital Economy Papers, No 179.


- **EC (2011)** Digital Agenda: Commission to step up efforts to safeguard children online.


We thank everyone who has worked with us, advised us and listened to us – especially the thousands of children who took part in our research!

Thanks also to our **international advisory panel**. All the network members who contributed to this effort are listed [here](#).
What’s next?

Both children and the internet continue to change, posing new challenges all the time. Thus – now more than ever – more research is needed!

Here’s our upcoming research agenda for the coming years. We regard digital skills, literacies and coping strategies as crucial in whether activities turn out to be beneficial or harmful. It’s now important to understand how these operate in relation to socio-technological changes in online and offline environments. These environments can be conceived in terms of specific domains of children’s lives and relevant contexts of internet use, as below:

Key domains of children’s lives:

• Children's rights to provision, protection and participation
• Information, education and informal learning
• Health, advice and well-being, with a focus on children with special needs or vulnerabilities
• Identity and relationships
• Creative, collaborative and civic engagement
What’s next? continued

Relevant contexts of internet use:

Parenting and communicative dynamics within families
Social networks, peer support and digital citizenship
Schools, teachers, and places of informal learning
Industry’s strategies and offer, including positive content, classification, tools and safety by design
Technological developments, including ‘the internet of things’, smart homes, wearable sensors, and more
Societal norms and values, communication cultures and regulatory frameworks

We will pursue projects on these topics using qualitative and quantitative methods. We also have hopes of an updated pan-European survey in 2016 or 2017. We hope you’ll stay in touch with us as we work to meet these challenges.

visit: eukidsonline.net
The EU Kids Online network has received funding from the European Commission Safer Internet Programme. The authors are solely responsible for the contents of this report. It does not represent the opinion of the Community and nor is the Community responsible for any use that might be made of information contained in it.
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