

## Is it time to make kids a higher budget priority?

*The children's share of the federal budget is scheduled to decline from 10% of the federal budget in 2013 to 8% in 2024, despite 1.4 trillion in new annual government spending. Spending on children's education, tax provisions, income security, and nutrition will decline, and the only federal expenditures on children's health will increase. **C. Eugene Steuerle** argues that the next decade presents the opportunity to invest in children by carefully reassessing our current budget priorities.*



When it comes to how we spend our money, we seldom dwell on what we're not buying. But money spent in one place cannot be spent in another. With the release of *Kids' Share 2014*, the eighth in an annual series, my fellow Urban Institute researchers and I assess what share of the federal budget goes for kids and what shares go to other priorities. The word "share" is chosen deliberately: it forces us to recognize that a larger piece of the pie for someone must mean a smaller piece for someone else.

One major conclusion: despite several years of modest economic recovery and some budgetary successes for kids in previous decades, our elected officials—Democrats and Republicans, conservatives and liberals alike—have decided that kids must take it on the chin for the foreseeable future. Meanwhile, the rest of us will continue to gain, mainly when we hit older ages. Our retirement and health benefits will continue to grow, and we will continue to keep our taxes too low to pay for these benefits and the rest of government, no matter how well the economy is doing. Not that we or our elected officials would ever say this directly: you'll be lucky to hear any discussion of these choices in any 2014 campaign.

No one votes formally to cut the kids' share of the pie. They simply allow other shares to increase, driven by laws set in motion years and decades ago. Our priorities mainly revolve around ever more money for health, retirement, and tax subsidies, along with taxes so low that our children also get left with those bills and the higher interest costs that accompany them.

Let's be clear: this scheduled hit on the kids' budget does not derive from living in an age of austerity, an idea vying for first place on the list of really stupid interpretations of our current circumstances. We live in an age of extraordinary opportunity, not austerity. Despite the Great Recession, our total GDP per household (over \$140,000) has never been higher. Ditto for measures of our national wealth, though those are perhaps inflated by current monetary policy. And there's more to come. Within a little over a decade, despite lower economic growth, the budget offices project an increase in GDP per household of another \$25,000 or so and increases in total government spending and tax subsidies of more than \$10,000 per household.

And the kids? Well, they get close to none of it. Actually, less than none if you count out their very modest share in the large growth in health care spending.


It doesn't have to be that way. For over twenty years, a consensus of sorts has developed that early educational and similar interventions, if done well, are a solid investment in our future. Yet progress here has been extremely slow. Child advocates are told that even \$20 billion a year is out of reach in our "time of austerity." But \$20 billion is only about 1/40th of the expected growth in annual spending on Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid (excluding the children's share) by 2024. There's also a growing consensus on creating a budget more oriented toward mobility and opportunity, but it's still mainly rhetoric.

The simple question I'd like to ask is whether the numbers below, taken from *Kids Share 2014*, represent the direction that you want the government to take with the total increase in spending scheduled by 2024, a large share of which is made possible by economic growth. You can up that total or reduce it, depending upon your view of the optimal size of government. But either way, consider how you would assign the shares over the next 10 years or so. My guess is that almost none of you would allocate them this way.

**Table 1 – Share of Projected Growth in Federal Outlays from 2013 to 2024 Going to Children and Other Major Budget Items (billions of 2013 dollars, except where noted)**

Major budget items	2013	2024	Growth, 2013–2024	Share of growth
Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid	1,472	2,259	+787	58%
Interest on the debt	221	714	+493	36%
Children	351	377	+26	2%
Defense	633	590	-43	-3%
All other outlays	777	881	+104	8%
Total federal outlays	3,455	4,821	+1,366	100%

**Figure 1: Federal Expenditures on Children as a Share of GDP, by Category, 2013 and 2024**



Source: Urban Institute, 2014. Authors' 2013 estimates based on the Budget of the U.S. Government Fiscal Year 2015 and past years; authors' 2024 estimates based on CBO's Updated Budget Projections: 2014–24 and the Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model.

A version of this article appeared on the blog [The Government We Deserve](#). It is posted here with explicit permission from the author and is not part of USApp content available for reuse under a Creative Commons license.

Featured image credit: [Nelson de Witt](#) (Flickr, [CC-BY-SA-2.0](#))

[Please read our comments policy before commenting.](#)

Note: This article gives the views of the author, and not the position of USApp—American Politics and Policy, nor of the London School of Economics.

Shortened URL for this post: <http://bit.ly/1wNvc4J>

---

## About the author

### **C. Eugene Steuerle**– *The Urban Institute*

Eugene Steuerle is Institute Fellow and Richard B. Fischer Chair at the Urban Institute. Previous positions include Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for Tax Analysis, President of the National Tax Association, chair of the Technical Panel advising Social Security on its methods and assumptions, Vice-President of the Peter G. Peterson Foundation, advisor to President Obama's National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform (the Simpson-Bowles Commission) and a member of the Peterson-Pew Commission on Budget Reform. He is a co-founder of the Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center, the Urban Institute Center on Nonprofits and Philanthropy, and ACT for Alexandria, a community foundation. His writings include an annual series, *Kids Share*, which show how children fare in the budget, and his most recent book, *Dead Men Ruling*.



- CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 2014 LSE USAPP