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Abstract
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“Acts of violence, oppression, revolt, civil war, and so forth, chequer the history of every African state.” (Fortes and Evans-Pritchard (1940, page 16))

1 Introduction

Understanding the causes and consequences of conflict is now a major issue in studying economic development.\(^1\) However, the relative importance of different factors in generating conflict remains open to debate. Perhaps the most robust observation is that conflicts are most prevalent in poor and weakly institutionalized countries. Many factors which make a country susceptible to conflict are, however, slow moving and the two-way causation between conflict and development creates a Gordian knot which is hard to unpick.

Given the weakness of its economic performance in the post-independence period, determinants of conflict in Africa are of particular interest.\(^2\) Moreover, conflict prevalence in Africa is comparatively high; the Armed Conflict Database (ACD) measure of civil conflict based on a threshold of 1000 battle deaths suggests that around 8.5% of country years in Africa since 1950 are conflict years compared to around 5% of country-years in the rest of the world over the same period.

But Africa’s history does not begin with colonialism and its legacy. The quote at the start of this paper was an assessment made in 1940 by two leading anthropologists venturing into a comparative analysis of African political organization. As emphasized in Nunn (2008) among many others, slavery and its aftermath was a cornerstone of organized political violence in Africa. But standard efforts to secure and maintain territory and people also provided incentives for conflict, particularly among and within Africa’s historical kingdoms. Historical research on Africa between 1400 and 1700, summarized in Brecke (1999) and based on written sources, confirms that conflict between its peoples is far from new.\(^3\)

Prior to being carved up by colonial powers, Africa was divided into a patchwork of tribal structures and proto-states (historical kingdoms) with heterogeneous political systems. Some areas were under forms of territorial control which resembled states.

\(^1\)See Blattman and Miguel (2009) for a comprehensive review.
\(^2\)See Acemoglu and Robinson (2010), Bates (2008a,b), Collier and Gunning (1999) and Easterly and Levine (1997) for discussions of African economic and political development.
\(^3\)See also Reid (2012).
However, other areas were closer to being stateless, some with acephalous forms of political organization. The mantra of colonialism in Africa was “indirect rule”, an attempt to control the hinterland by coopting traditional power structures into colonial administration (see, for example, Lugard, 1922). This ensured a degree of continuity between the pre-colonial and post-colonial eras. That said, some traditional power structures were weakened by colonialism while others were strengthened. The biggest impact on political geography was in the form of well-defined borders, initially between the colonial powers and latterly between newly created independent states.

This paper investigates to what extent the post-colonial period saw a legacy from conflicts that are documented to have occurred in pre-colonial times. We use data from Brecke (1999) to locate 91 conflicts in Africa between 1400 and 1700. We focus on the data in the period before 1700 since we are interested in conflicts which predate major European interest in colonizing Africa. We find robust evidence that patterns of conflict after countries in Africa gained independence are correlated with having had more historical conflicts within their borders. We also find some evidence supporting the view that the mechanism at work may be a diminution in trust, and a weaker sense of national identity. Persistent conflict may also be due to the interaction between geography, natural resources and patterns of settlement which spawn particular institutional arrangements although we find no evidence of a link with weak institutions at a country level. All of these factors are likely to make it more difficult to establish cohesive governance arrangements which resolve conflicts of interest in a peaceful manner. We also use data at the sub-national level on conflicts between 1997 and 2010 to show that having had an historical conflict close by is positively correlated with more recent conflict. We also show that it is correlated with a lower level of economic development. These results are robust to controlling for a wide variety of other historical and geographical features of conflict locations.

This paper belongs to an emerging body of research which traces the historical roots of contemporary economic and political outcomes. Acemoglu, Johnson and Robinson (2001) is the landmark contribution which brought to researchers’ attention the correlation between historical settler mortality and contemporary income levels and institutions around the world.

This paper is particularly related to a number of recent studies which have looked
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4See Murdock (1967) who measures state structures above the local level for different ethnic groups.
5See Herbst (2000, Chapter 2) for discussion.
6See Nunn (2009) for an overview of such findings.
at persistent effects of African history. Nunn (2008) finds a link between patterns of contemporary development in Africa and the location of slave extraction. Nunn and Wantchekon (2011) trace this to modern day attitudes towards trust in the Afrobarometer survey. And Nunn (2010) finds a correlation between the location of Christian missions and modern day outcomes. Exploiting historical data on political geography, Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2011) examine the consequences of how Africa was partitioned between colonial powers and find that partitioned ethnic groups suffered greater conflict compared to those which have not been impacted by the border partition. Gennaioli and Rainer (2007) find a link between the nature of the pre-colonial regime and modern day provision of public goods. Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2013) find a link between pre-colonial political centralization and regional economic development. In common with this paper, they emphasize pre-colonial continuity in the form of political organization in affecting the contemporary performance of African states.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we discuss some background issues including our data and measurement. We then discuss between-country evidence in section 3 and within-country (grid cell level) evidence in section 4. Section 5 concludes.

2 Background

In this section, we first discuss some of the background literature and explanations of conflict. Second, we discuss how this may suggest historical legacies from pre-colonial conflicts. Third, we introduce our historical conflict data and its sources. And fourth, we provide a brief overview of African political organization and conflict in the pre-colonial and colonial periods.

2.1 Political Violence

The standard economic approach to political violence looks for factors that explain the costs and benefits of using violence to achieve specific ends, particular in the form of either remaining in power or mounting an insurgency. On this basis, four main hypotheses are frequently proposed to explain why Africa is conflict prone: (i) natural

\footnote{See Fearon (2008) for an excellent overview of the issues and Bates (2008a) for an interpretation of the prevalence of conflict in Africa and its origins.}
resource dependence, (ii) weak and poorly functioning political institutions, (ii) ethnic fragmentation and polarization and (ii) endemic poverty. We briefly review these four strands of the literature.

Benefits from using violence are frequently couched in terms of capturing resources either directly, as in the capture of territory, or through winning political power. Both of these views motivate exploring the link between violence and natural resource rents as discussed in Ross (2004). Early empirical contributions to the literature on conflict such as Collier and Hoefler (2004) and Fearon and Laitin (2003) find evidence to support this channel.

Since the use of violence is generally thought of as a last resort, civil wars are usually rationalized in terms of commitment and/or information problems. The extent of commitment power depends on the institutional structures in place. Besley and Persson (2011a,b) model this as a constraint on the way that the state can be used for private ends which they refer to as “cohesive political institutions”. This could be the product of formal veto threats enhanced by Parliamentary democracy or by greater trust between groups which foster more cooperative policy outcomes. This places an emphasis on the role of institutions and/or trust in affecting the likelihood of conflict. The way that citizens identify with the common good versus sectional interests could also be important in shaping how institutions function to mitigate conflict risk.

In Africa, much emphasis is placed on ethnicity as the salient cleavage which leads to polarization and conflict. However, the empirical results are somewhat equivocal. Montalvo and Reynal-Querol (2005a) find evidence that ethnic polarization, rather than fractionalization, is positively correlated with conflict. Moreover, this is consistent with the theoretical approaches of Esteban and Ray (1999), Montalvo and Reynal-Querol (2005b) and Besley and Persson (2011b, Chapter 4). Cederman et al (2013) study the impact of cross-border ethnic groups on violent conflict.

Endemic poverty reduces the opportunity cost of fighting. When there is unemployment and/or low wages it should theoretically make it easier for each side in a conflict to recruit combatants. This ties to the robust finding in the existing empirical literature that there is a negative correlation between income per capita and the prevalence of conflict; see the discussion in Blattman and Miguel (2009) who also address the issue of reverse causation. Conflict can affect development through a variety of channels including incentives to invest in physical and human capital. It may also affect incentives to invest in state capacities to support investments as emphasized in
Most of the existing quantitative research which looks at the link between violence and ethnicity treats the latter as given. However, the question of how individuals identify with groups and are motivated to commit violent acts in the name of furthering that group interest is worthy of explanation and is arguably fluid over time. Recent research has begun to explore the logic of constructivist views of ethnic identity. Ahlerup and Olsson (2011) offer an evolutionary perspective on these issues. Michalopoulos (2011) explains ethnic diversity empirically in terms of variation in regional land quality and elevation. Caselli and Coleman (2011) develop a model of endogenous ethnic identities and conflict. These contributions fit into a nascent interest in the economics of identity pioneered by Akerlof and Kranton (2010). Arguably, the trend over time in many countries has been a move away from identities based on smaller groups such as clans, tribes or ethnicities towards identities forged around nation states. An important issue is to understand how symbolic attachment and ritual can build and sustain attachment to nations or ethnicities (see, for example, Hobsbawm and Ranger, 1983). The role of past conflicts and the way that history portrays them can play a key role in narratives which nurture particular identities.8

This paper contributes to a burgeoning literature that looks at the causes and consequences of conflict in Africa at the sub-national level. One of the earliest contributions in this mode is Deininger (2003) who finds that the distance from infrastructure, asset inequality, cash-cropping and lower levels of education increase the likelihood of civil conflict in Uganda. Akresh and de Walque (2010) examine the magnitude of the Rwandan genocide on school attendance. Rohner et al (2011) study the effect of conflict in Uganda in the period 2002-5 on trust and expressions of ethnic and national identity and Ksoll et al (2010) study the disruptive effect of political violence in Kenya on the flower industry. Focusing on the causes of conflict rather than its consequences, Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2011) show how Africa’s partition by colonial powers affects contemporary patterns of conflict.

Such sub-national studies are attractive since they are able to control for common country-level factors. More generally, they emphasize the need to look carefully at within country heterogeneity and remind us that civil conflict and political violence is often geographically specific (Fearon and Laitin, 2010). Such is the case, for example, with episodes of political violence in advanced countries such as with terrorism in the

---

8For an insightful discussion and critical review of different strands of thinking in this area see Fearon and Laitin (2000).
Basque country or Northern Ireland. Patterns of violence are even quite specific and episodic in weakly institutionalized polities where violence is rife such as Colombia (see Dube and Vargas, 2013).

2.2 Historical Legacies

A key issue in this paper is how events that took place centuries previously could influence in contemporary economic and political outcomes. Figure 1 suggests a schematic conceptual framework drawing on factors outlined in the previous section.

First, historical conflicts themselves have determinants in the social, political, geographic and economic context of regions at the time that the conflicts took place. One of the reasons for persistent conflict may be the interaction between geography, natural resources and settlement; areas that provide moderate climate and natural resources attract human settlement.\(^9\) These settlements organize themselves in ways that relate to the resources around them and create different institutions. These relatively densely settled areas make it easier to organize armed conflict and make it more likely that sufficient people are killed to register this as a conflict in our data. Land disputes are often a particular source of contention in these instances as emphasized in Toft (2003). And many of these such factors are likely to be most important when studying sub-national conflicts due to the localized nature of these factors.

Below, we will seek to control for some of these background factors directly. For example, we will measure physical geography at the grid cell level and we will look at the geography of political organization in the old kingdoms of Africa.

Second, conflicts can affect the evolution of subsequent economic, political and social outcomes which have a bearing on contemporary conflict. For example, historical conflicts could promote distrust among social groups which affect attitudes and identities. They could also have an economic legacy, making regions poorer and could also influence the choice of political institutions. We will attempt to test for some of these channels below. However, it is quite difficult to be sure that all of these proximate determinants of more recent conflicts can be satisfactorily measured. Hence, we will end up with a somewhat “reduced-form” interpretations of the findings. Nonetheless, we will use the framework in Figure 1, to anchor our discussion of the empirical findings.

\(^9\)In tune with this view, Harari and La Ferrara (2012) find convincing evidence of the importance of climatic effects as a determinant of conflict looking at the geographical distribution of conflict in Africa.
and the possible mechanisms at work.

2.3 Data and Measurement

Data on historical conflicts in Africa is from the conflict catalogue of Brecke (1999). For Africa, this is based on three main published sources: Ajaye and Crowder (1985), Freeman-Grenville (1973) and McEvedy (1995). Brekke’s definition follows Cioffi-Revilla (1996) which uses Richardson’s base 10 log scale for violent conflicts – see Richardson (1960).\textsuperscript{10} For each conflict in Africa between 1400 and 1700 defined this way, we have identified the modern country in which it took place as well as the specific geographical location. Where possible, we have cross-checked each case by reading the history of each war. We focus on the data in the period before 1700 since we are interested in conflicts which predate major European interest in colonizing Africa. Even if there are conflicts that have not been documented, we are confident that the catalogue includes the most important conflicts in Africa which have been written about by historians.\textsuperscript{11} However, we are not aware of any similarly comprehensive effort to document historical conflict in Africa with which to compare these data.

Although we focus on Africa, Brecke (1999) covers the universe of documented violent conflicts in which 32 or more people have died at any location in the world since 1400. The data are now being used by a number of researchers to explore the causes and consequences of conflict. For example, Zhang et al (2007) uses them to explore at a macro scale the effects of climate change on the outbreak of war and population decline in the preindustrial era. Iyigun (2008) uses conflict catalogue for Europe between 1401 and 1700 to show that the incidence of military arrangements between the protestant reformers and Counter-Reformists between 1520 and 1650 depends negatively on the Ottomans’ military activity in Europe. Iyigun, Nunn and Qian (2010), use the data over the period 1400 and 2000 to look at the determinants of conflicts. They find, as many recent studies which use data on contemporaneous conflict, a link between economic shocks and violent conflict. Pinker (2011) uses the conflict catalogue for his discussion of violence in human history. This nascent use of the data by researchers means that it will come under increasing scrutiny as a potentially valuable resource to researchers interested in historical conflict.

\textsuperscript{10} The criterion of 32 deaths corresponds to a Richardson score of 1.5.

\textsuperscript{11} Of course, the direction of causation could run in the other direction, from contemporary conflict to uncovering historical examples. A salutary example is the controversy begun by Cobbing (1988) over the historiography of the Mfecane and whether it was used to justify colonial oppression.
The data in the conflict catalogue treat as multi-year conflicts consecutive years in which that threshold of 32 deaths is surpassed. They follow Luard (1987) in using 400AD as the cutoff date since it falls between major dates for the Chinese (1366) and European and American (1492) populations, and demarcates a point before which the quality and extent of data about many parts of the world falls precipitously.

For the between-country analysis, the main variable that we use is the prevalence of violent conflict in a country between 1400 and 1700, specifically the number of years between 1400 and 1700 in which some area within the country is coded as having been in what would now be regarded as an internal conflict. This variable captures the intensity of pre-colonial conflict and has a mean of 5.13 years with a range 0 to 91 years and a standard deviation of 15.17 years. As a blunter measure, we also construct a dummy variable which is equal to one if the country has had any violent conflict between 1400 and 1700, and zero otherwise.

When we study conflict at the sub-national level, we take the 120km×120km grids from the Yale University Geographically Base Economic Dataset (G-econ). Since some grids traverse country boundaries, gives 3546 country-grid cells (hereafter simply “grid cells”) spread across 49 countries in Africa. For each grid cell in the data, we construct a dummy variable which is equal to one if there has been a conflict in that grid cell in the period 1400-1700 and zero otherwise. For the purposes of this exercise, we GIS code each conflict within a modern country the conflict occurred. For years where there are overlapping conflicts, we add these up to get our total years measure. However, there are very few such cases and the results do not change if we treat such years as a single conflict.

We use G-econ 3.1; see http://gecon.yale.edu/ for details on the grid construction and available data. The dataset codifies grids that straddle country borders as separate observations. Such grids are therefore smaller than 120km×120km in size.

We use, in particular, Ade Ajayi and Crowder (1985) and Freeman-Grenville (1973) and use the following rules to locate conflicts:

1. When there is only one place named in the conflict, the conflict is assigned to that place.
2. When there is more than one place named in the description of the conflict but there is information on date at which one group is attacking or defending, we assign the location as the place where the attack is listed as having taken place.
3. If the exact location is not named, we use the name of the conflict (by country, town or group listed as being involved in the conflict). We then search other resources (on line and in libraries) to find the precise location.
4. If the place is listed as a region, we assign the location to be the capital of that region or empire.

A full list of the conflicts that we use and the way we have assigned them to locations is in Appendix table A.8.
plots the 91 conflicts between 1400 and 1700 that we have located precisely and which we will exploit in this analysis. The sizes of the dots reflect the number of conflicts at each location where more than one conflict is recorded at the same location.

We then match these data with information on the location of recent conflicts from the Armed Conflict and Location Event Data (ACLED).\footnote{See http://www.acleddata.com/} These data give a precise GIS code for conflict locations in African countries for the years 1997 to 2010.\footnote{Such precise data is not available for the whole post-colonial period.} The dataset contains information on the date and location of conflict events, the nature of the event, and the groups involved.

The G-econ data provide a number of additional variables which we use as controls. We augment these with data that we have extracted from historical maps which we detail below.

Table 1 gives descriptive statistics for the main variables used in the analysis below both at the country level and the grid-cell level. We do so comparing the sample of countries/grid cells which had an historical conflict to obtain a feel for whether there are obvious cross-sectional differences among these two groups. Looking at the first panel at the country level, Table 1 shows that there is a mean difference in years of post-independence conflict of around 2.4 years between countries that had an historical conflict compared to those that did not. Historical conflicts occur in areas that were more densely populated in 1400. However, there is no obvious difference in mean income and expropriation risk. The second panel, at the grid-cell level, shows a mean difference in conflict between 1997 and 2010 of about 50 percentage points with 84 percent of the grid-cells with an historical conflict having a more recent conflict compared to 39 percent of those among the grid-cells with no historical conflict. Historical conflict appears to occur in areas that are more densely populated areas. Moreover, historical conflict seems more likely to occur in grid-cells where the current capital city is located and where there were cities in 1400. The mean difference in light density across the grid cells with and without an historical conflict is consistent with this.

\section*{2.4 Conflict and Political Development in the History of Africa}

Prior to the scramble for Africa in the late nineteenth century culminating in the Berlin conference of 1884, Africa was characterized by a patch work of heterogeneous political
systems. Some of these were organized authority structures of long-standing including a number of historical kingdoms. Other areas were governed in a relatively stateless fashion. Just as in Medieval Europe, the conflicts that we study were in part a reflection of the process of indigenous state building. This was true, for example, of the Bunyoro-Buganda conflict in modern day Uganda in 1600 or the Songhai-Gourma conflict in modern day Mali in 1488, both of which appear in our data. Civil wars within kingdoms were common too (see, for example, Ben-Amos Girshick and Thornton, 2001). Of course, conflicts in organized political jurisdictions are also more likely to form part of the historical record. Anthropologists who have studied African political history draw a similar conclusion on the importance of territorial war in Africa. For example, Radcliffe-Brown (1940) notes that:

“Amongst the various different kinds of warfare that can be distinguished, what we may call wars of conquest have been important in Africa, as they have been in Europe. When such war is successful it establishes one people as conquerors over another who are thus incorporated into a larger political society, sometimes in an inferior position as a subject people.” (page xix).

This process of territorial consolidation lead, at various points in history, to the emergence of a variety of long-lived African kingdoms whose geographical reach is displayed in the hatched areas in Figure 2. The map identifies 23 historical kingdoms in Africa. Herbst (2000, Chapter 2) argues that these kingdoms found it difficult to consolidate power over wide areas because of the ease with which populations could migrate. Thus, the limits and territorial boundaries of such kingdoms were somewhat porous. Examining the locations of the dots which represent conflict locations, it is apparent that there is link between conflict and belonging to the territory of an historical kingdom; conflicts are twice as likely to occur in grid cells which belong to historic kingdoms compared to those which do not.

As European powers somewhat reluctantly extended their rule into the African hinterland, significant use was made of pre-colonial power structures in efforts at indirect
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17 This is based on maps in O'Brien (1999).
18 These are Zulu, Merina, Monomotapa, Lozi, Malawi, Kilwa, Lunda, Congo, Luba, Rwanda, Buganda, Ashanti, Yoruba, Ethiopia, Axum, Wolof, Ghana, Mali, Kush, Songhay, Kanem, Classical Egypt and Carthage. Some of the kingdoms of West Africa were overlapping geographically but not temporally.
rule. But this process showed little respect for historic territorial boundaries. This too is apparent in Figure 2 where we have shaded countries according to colonial control by World War I which is largely a reflection of the aftermath of the 1884 Berlin conference. The map confirms that patterns of colonial control did not seem to respect the boundaries of historical kingdoms.

One of the most significant organizational changes in Africa from the colonial period onwards was the creation and maintenance of political jurisdictions with clearly defined boundaries throughout the continent. As discussed in Herbst (2000), colonialism also had a significant effect on the way that the proto-state structures that preceded it operated. Much of this actually weakened existing authority structures that had been created in pre-colonial times. And this may help to explain why the positive role of historical conflicts in state building identified by Hintze (1911) and Tilly (1990) to be sources of European state strength are much less important in an African context.

The end of colonialism ushered in an era of mostly contrived nation state boundaries which therefore bore little relation to indigenous political structures, largely as a reflection of the arbitrariness of the boundaries created by the colonial division of Africa. On independence, most countries launched efforts to build nation states inside these well-defined boundaries broadcasting their intentions through national symbols such as flags, currencies and citizenship regulations. The great challenge, which has so often not been met, is to move beyond symbolism to create functional polities within state borders that can deliver public goods and security to their citizens. Referring to the salience of historical conflict in this process, Bates (2008a) remarks that

“past conquests by monarchs and warriors created territorial disputes that reverberate to this day and so shape contemporary politics.” (page 85)

This, he argues, along with migration to secure agricultural land sow the seeds of modern day political tensions behind much contemporary conflict. A similar sentiment about the importance of history is echoed in Reid (2012) which surveys the history of conflict in Africa from BCE to the present day and remarks that

“The past was very much present in the organization of violence, even during the revolutionary upheaval of the nineteenth century and the self-consciously modernist struggles of the mid-twentieth century. States and

\[19\] In fact, there is heterogeneity in the impact depending on initial conditions. It is likely that in the case where societies were initially stateless, colonialism strengthened the state (see, for example, Fortes and Evans-Pritchard, 1940).
societies sought historical precedent and heroic forebears, both for inspiration and solace, and constructed both oral and written narratives .. for the purpose.” (page 10)

It is also clear from his account that a number of historical conflicts are well-documented and potentially salient in modern times.

This point can be illustrated for our data with reference to Figure 2 using the example of the Harari region in Ethiopia. Much of the conflict between 1400 and 1700 was due to the Islamic city of Harar’s efforts to repel the spread of Christian influence and a struggle to control lucrative trade routes. The city of Harar which was dominated by the Harari, known as Ge usu’ (people of the city), was eventually incorporated into the Ethiopian state in 1887. It is now a multi-ethnic region in which the Harari, who comprise less than 10% of the population, have disproportionate political power in coalition with a larger ethnic group (the Oromo). The status of the Harari remains a source of tension within the region.

3 Between-country Evidence

We are interested in whether historical conflict is correlated with the prevalence of civil conflict and other outcomes in a country during its post-independence history. Our basic specification is as follows:

\[ y_j = \alpha + \beta c_j + \gamma x_j + \varepsilon_j \]

where \( y_j \) is the outcome of interest in country \( j \), \( \alpha \) is the intercept, \( c_j \) is the historical conflict variable and \( x_j \) are other controls which we describe as we go. Regional and colonial dummies are included in all specifications.

Core Results The main cross-country results are reported in Table 2. The outcome variable in columns (1) and (2) is the prevalence of civil war between independence and 2007 measured using the Armed Conflict Database (ACD). Column (1) controls only for regional and colonial dummies and finds a positive and significant correlation between the intensity of historical conflicts within a country and more recent experience. For each additional year of an historical conflict, the country suffers an extra 0.12 years (or about 2 months) of additional conflict in the post-independence period.
Put another way, comparing a country with no history of conflict in the period 1400 to 1700 to one with 60 years of conflict over this period, then our point estimate predicts an additional 10 years of post-independence conflict.

In column (2), we add additional controls: GDP per capita in 1970, latitude, longitude, minimum rainfall, maximum humidity, low temperature, the log of the length of the coastline, a dummy for whether a country is an island, measures of natural resource abundance,\textsuperscript{20} legal origin, ethnic polarization,\textsuperscript{21} proportion of the population that is Muslim, a dummy for yellow fever, and the ruggedness of the terrain. We lose two country observations due to missing data. However, the correlation between post-independence conflict and historical conflict prevalence is essentially the same.

The next four columns in Table 2 are motivated by the study of political violence in Besley and Persson (2011a). They argue that, from a theoretical point of view, government repression and conflict are two sides of a coin and should be studied jointly. We follow them and measure repression using Banks’ (2005) measure of the extent of purges – i.e., the removal, by jailing or assassination, of opponents considered undesirable by the incumbent government. Column (3) shows that countries with a prior history of conflict are more likely to suffer from this form of political violence too. And this result is robust to adding additional controls (column 4). In columns (5) and (6) we run some ordered logits where the ordered variable takes on the value zero when there is no violence, a value of one if there is repression and a value of two if there is conflict. The results also show that there is a significantly higher prevalence of political violence when a country has a history of conflict and that this is robust to including controls.

In column (7), we include the slave trade variable from Nunn (2008) along with population density in 1400.\textsuperscript{22} The former is included to guard against the possibility that the between-group breakdown in trust that slavery may have caused is not driving

\textsuperscript{20}We use the log of diamond, gold and oil production per head of population from Nunn (2008).

\textsuperscript{21}Unlike Nunn (2008), we use ethnic polarization rather than ethnic fractionalization. This is because Montalvo and Reynal-Querol (2005a) have found that ethnic polarization rather than fractionalization is correlated with civil conflict. Like them, we use the index first introduced for religious polarization in Reynal-Querol (2002). For \( N \) ethnic groups with population share \( \pi_i \), it is:

\[
1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{0.5 - \pi_i}{0.5} \right)^2 \pi_i.
\]

Esteban and Ray (1994) is the first attempt to provide a precise definition of polarization.

\textsuperscript{22}This variable comes from Nunn and Puga (2012). They construct the data using historical population estimates from McEvedy and Jones (1978).
our result. While slavery is positively correlated with subsequent civil conflict, it does not disrupt the correlation with war prevalence between 1400 and 1700. Including population density in 1400 as a control addresses the possibility that the correlation between historical war prevalence and recent civil war is confounded by a country with more historical conflicts having been more densely populated at the time. In fact, there is no significant correlation between population density in 1400 and recent conflict. Adding these controls also leaves our results on purges and the ordered conflict variable robust as columns (8) and (9) demonstrate.\textsuperscript{23}

Taken together these results paint a robust picture linking the legacy of conflict in a country in the period 1400-1700 with recent conflict and political violence.\textsuperscript{24} Moreover, this effect survives the inclusion of a wide variety of controls.

**Income Levels and Institutions** Table 3 begins our exploration of possible mechanisms as sketched in Figure 1. The theoretical literature on conflict identifies low income as a risk factor and also emphasizes how conflict may reduce incentives to invest and can destroy assets.\textsuperscript{25} Columns (1) through (3) in Table 2 explore whether historical conflict is correlated with low income in the post-colonial period. In all three columns, there is a negative correlation between the historical conflict variable and income per capita but it is only significant (at a 10\% level) in column (2). The magnitude of the point estimate suggests that a country with a history of pre-colonial conflict at around its mean will have a 5\% lower level of per capita GDP in 2000, compare with a country that has had no historical conflicts between 1400 and 1700.\textsuperscript{26} The coefficient is similar in size and significance when other controls are included, such as the slave extraction variable of Nunn (2008). This provides some weak evidence that there may be a channel through economic effects but it is quite possible that this is simply a reflection of the fact that the higher prevalence of post-colonial conflict identified in Table 1 is also having a negative effect on the economy rather than via a direct effect from historical conflict.

In columns (4) and (5) of Table 3, we look to see whether historical conflict is

\textsuperscript{23}The results are also robust to other measures of violence including a dummy for whether a country has any conflict since independence and the extent of casualties – see the on-line Appendix Table A.1.

\textsuperscript{24}The results are also robust to including the country-level pre-colonial centralization variable of Gennaioli and Rainer (2007).

\textsuperscript{25}For evidence on the negative impact on economic activity, see for example, Abadie and Gardeazabal (2003), Besley and Mueller (2012), Blomberg and Hess (2002), Collier (1999), Goldin and Lewis (1975) and Zussman, Zussman and Orregaard Nielsen (2008).

\textsuperscript{26}The mean of the left hand side variable is 5 years so the effect is calculated as $5 \times (-0.01 \times 100)$. 


correlated with two popular contemporary measures of the quality of the institutional environment: expropriation risk and the strength of checks and balances. In column (4), the dependent variable is the ICRG measure of expropriation risk which Acemoglu, Johnson and Robinson (2001) argue is the channel through which settler mortality affects modern day per capita income. We find no significant correlation between this variable at the country level and historical conflict. Column (5) chooses the extent of checks and balances from the PolityIV data which Besley and Persson (2011) argue is a plausible way of capturing institutional cohesiveness. Here, we use a cut-off value of five and above on the executive constraints scale of one through seven. Again, we find no significant correlation between this variable and historical conflict at the country level. While the measures of institutions here are quite crude, these findings are not particularly encouraging to the view that historical conflict creates a problematic institutional legacy.\footnote{The on-line Appendix shows that these findings are robust to using different versions of the Penn World Tables and measuring GDP at different dates. Tables A.2, A.3 and A.4 use two vintages of the Penn World Tables as well as different dates for measuring GDP. We also explore the use of a wide range of measures of institutions that have been suggested by various authors. There is no evidence of a significant correlation between them and historical conflict at the country level.}

**Trust and Identity**  
Table 4 uses the Afrobarometer survey to look at whether there is an historical legacy of conflict in shaping contemporary attitudes. This could well be the case if the way that conflicts are reported across generations affects feelings towards particular groups due to historical rivalries. It may also, for the same reason, weaken attachments to nation states whose boundaries were largely created as a legacy of colonialism. Such things are likely to matter in affecting the way that institutional arrangements for peaceful resolution of conflict operate. Given any formal rules of the game, beliefs and the way that they affect a willingness to trust or cooperate may assist in generating a negotiated outcome rather than resorting to violence.

The Afrobarometer is a household level survey which explores a host of attitudinal questions among the citizens of African countries. The data that we use here are those collected for 2008 (round 3 of the survey). These surveys are conducted for a total of 25397 respondents in 18 countries: Benin, Botswana, Cape Verde, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe.\footnote{Nine of these countries had at least one historical conflict: Benin, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Mali, Nigeria, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe.} Each survey tries to be representative
of the population and interviews are conducted in local languages. However, the survey is not administered in areas with on-going conflicts.

We look first at inter-group trust where the survey asks how much the respondent trusts people from other groups. Answers are given on a four point scale where zero is "not at all", one is "just a little", two is "somewhat" and three is "a lot". We use this categorical variable as our dependent variable. The result is shown in column (1) of Table 4 where there is a negative correlation between trust and historical conflict. The specification that we use controls for a wide range of personal and country characteristics which are listed in the notes to the Table. We also control for colonial and regional dummies as well as GDP per capita at the country level. The standard errors are clustered by village (the survey cluster for the data collection).\(^{29}\)

In columns (2) and (3), we look at a different variable from the Afrobarometer which reports self-ascribed identity. We create two dummy variables from the survey: the first is equal to one if an individual reports only having feelings about their ethnic identity compared to all other feelings of identity and a second dummy variable which takes the value one if an individual expresses only a sense of national identity.\(^{30}\) The results of regressions using these dummies as dependent variables are reported in Table 4. Column (2) shows that there is a positive and significant correlation between having only a sense of ethnic identity and the extent of historical conflict within a country. Column (3) shows a weaker sense of national identity which is simply the flip side of column (2) since it is derived from the same ordered variable as the ethnic identity variable. Once again, we control for a wide variety of personal characteristics, and all control variables that we use in table 1 and 2. These results are consistent with the idea that ethnic and national identities are in part constructed by salient historical events.

Taken together, the results in columns (1) to (3) in Table 4 give credence to the view that having had historical conflicts reduces trust between groups as well as affecting citizens’ sense of identity. That said, it is possible that is mostly a reflection of contemporary rather than historical conflict, especially given that we have already shown that such conflicts are positively correlated. To gain some reassurance that the effect is due to documented historical conflicts, columns (4), (5) and (6) repeat the

\(^{29}\)The results are robust to using an ordered logit specification. Similar results are found for trust in the local council, neighbors, family and within-group.

\(^{30}\)The underlying variable takes on five possible values: ethnic identity only, ethnic identity more than national identity, national and ethnic identities equally, national identity more than ethnic identity and national identity only.
specifications in columns (1) through (3) while including the left hand side variables from Table 1 (the prevalence of post-colonial civil conflict) as a right hand side variable in explaining trust and identity. The historical conflict variables remain significant and of similar magnitude while contemporary conflict is not significant. These results provide some suggestive evidence that historical conflicts may play a role in shaping attitudes more than contemporary conflict even though the latter is correlated with historical conflict. However, there is the usual concern with cross-country variation that the variable of interest is correlated with omitted variables.\footnote{The on-line Appendix (Table A.6) calculates ratios as suggested in Altonji et al (2005) to assess this. They range from 2 to 6.5 in the cross country results, and between 1.15 and 4 in the within country analysis.}

**Summing Up** These results provide some encouragement to the view that historical conflict in the period 1400-1700 has a legacy at the country level both in terms of conflict and attitudes. In terms of the framework suggested in Figure 1, we find evidence of a link mediated through a changing sense of national identity rather than economic outcomes or choice of institutions.

### 4 Within-country evidence

We now turn to looking at evidence which exploits the more precise location of recent and historical conflicts. Specifically, we will exploit only within-country variation and include a country fixed effect to capture common country-level factors such as the level of development or national political institutions. We will look at the a correlation between historical conflict and two outcomes: (i) more recent conflict and (ii) the level of economic development across geographic areas within a country.\footnote{We cannot use the Afrobarometer data to look at trust and identity at the grid level that we use since these surveys explicitly avoid conflict areas and hence we would not get a representative picture for our purposes.}

For this exercise, we use the grid cells whose construction we described in section 2.2 above. We construct a dummy variable that captures whether the grid cell had a conflict located in it between 1400 and 1700. Our core empirical specification is:

\[
y_{j\ell} = \mu_j + \beta d_{j\ell} + \gamma x_{j\ell} + \varepsilon_{j\ell} \tag{1}
\]

where \(y_{j\ell}\) is the outcome measure in grid cell \(\ell\) in country \(j\), \(\mu_j\) is a country fixed effect,
$d_{j\ell}$ is either our old conflict dummy which is equal to one if there was an historical conflict in grid cell $\ell$ in country $j$, and $x_{j\ell}$ are other grid cell controls. Standard errors are clustered at the country level. Throughout, we use the log of population density in a grid cell as a control since both of our outcome measures are likely to be strongly related to this.\textsuperscript{33} Other control variables in $x_{j\ell}$ are described as we introduce them with their sources/construction documented in the text and/or in footnotes.

We use two different outcome measures. The first is a dummy variable which is equal to one if grid cell $\ell$ in country $j$ is the site of a conflict between 1997 and 2010 as reported in the ACLED data. The second captures the level of economic development in a grid cell. Since good data on income per capita are difficult to find at the grid cell level, we follow Henderson, Storeygard and Weil (2011) and use luminosity at night as a proxy for development. Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012) provide numerous cross-validation checks of the luminosity variable showing that it correlates well with various proxies of development across regions in Africa. The satellite night light data are available from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.\textsuperscript{34} Specifically, we use these data to calculate the natural log of the average luminosity at night per $km^2$ at the grid cell level.\textsuperscript{35}

**Core Results** The core results are reported in Table 5. Column (1) shows that having a conflict in the grid cell makes it 15% more likely that there was a conflict in the same grid cell between 1997 and 2010. Population density, as we would expect, is positively correlated with conflict.\textsuperscript{36} Column (2) show that this finding is robust to including some additional basic geographic and climate controls from the G-econ data:

\textsuperscript{33}An earlier version of the paper reported similar results by showing how the effect varies with distance by constructing a series of dummy variables based on the percentiles in the distance distribution from an historical conflict: 0-10%, 10-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, 75-90% and 90-100%. (Having a conflict in the grid itself would register on this measure as being at 0% in the distance distribution.) In this case, the omitted category in the results below will be furthest distance away from the historical conflict (90-100%). However, these results are somewhat weaker when we control for population density.

\textsuperscript{34}These data has been used recently by Rohner, Thoenig and Zilibotti (2011) and Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012).

\textsuperscript{35}The variable is measured in 2007. We use the data on average visible stable lights and cloud free coverages, exploiting the “cleaned “ and “filtered” version of the data which contains the lights from cities, towns, and other sites with persistent lighting, including gas flares. Ephemeral events, such as fires are not used. The background noise is identified and replaced with values of zero. The variable that we use ranges from 1-63. Using ArcGIS we calculate the light density at night for each grid cell in our data.

\textsuperscript{36}The correlation with between historical and contemporary conflict holds even if population density is not used as a control.
distance to coast, elevation, ruggedness, average temperature, average precipitation and area. However, the point estimate on the historical conflict variable falls to 10%. The coefficients on the additional controls (not reported) show that conflict is more prevalent where there is rough terrain, possibly because rebel forces are better able to mount insurgencies in such locations.

Column (3) of Table 5 gives the core specification with our proxy for economic development as the outcome. Here, we find that having an historical conflict in the grid cell reduces the (log of) the average light density in the grid cell by 8%. This is interesting since Table 1 showed that, on the whole, grid-cells with more historical conflict had on average higher light density at night. But here we are controlling for population density. Column (4) shows that this result is robust to including our core controls.

Columns (2) and (4) present two sets of standard errors. The right hand number in parentheses is adjusted for spatial correlation using the GMM method in Conley (1999). The reader will see that these are essential the same as those which cluster at the country level.

Thus, we have a positive correlation between historical conflict and contemporary conflict and a negative correlation with economic development.

Robustness We now explore the robustness of the core findings by including a number of different controls. Many of these relate to concerns about other variables that historical conflict could be correlated with while others reflect recent findings from research explaining patterns of economic development in Africa. We report symmetric sets of results where conflict is an outcome (Table 6) and light density at night is an outcome (Table 7). In both tables, we include the log of population density and the basic geographic and climate controls from G-econ which were included in columns (2) and (4) in Table 5.

We begin with conflict as an outcome. In column (1) of Table 6, we add an additional control to reflect a concern that conflicts in history were mainly around urban centres and hence historical conflict is proxying for this. It may also be the case that such conflicts were more likely to have been recorded. To look at this, we include a dummy variable which is equal to one if the grid cell contained a city in 1400.37 This variable is positive and significant increasing the probability of there

---

37This is a dummy variable which is equal to one if there was a city with a larger population of 20,000 in 1400, and zero otherwise. The underlying data are from Chandler (1987).
being a recent conflict in the grid cell by 14%. The specification in column (1) of Table 6 also includes dummies for whether grid cells are located in the domain of an historical African kingdom where violent conflict was also more likely to have been organized and documented. To investigate this, we used the historical maps of pre-colonial African kingdoms in the period 1500-1800 to locate each grid cell in an historical kingdom. Thus, we are able to calculate whether each grid cell is inside the area of influence of an historical African kingdom and use this to construct a dummy variable which is equal to one if the grid cell is located in a particular historical kingdom and zero otherwise. The historical kingdom dummies are strongly significant with a $p$-value of 0.000. However, the core correlation between having an historical conflict in the grid cell and more recent conflict remains.

Another natural concern is that our core correlation is confounded by omitting ethnic diversity. To examine this, we include a dummy variable which is equal to one if there is more than one ethnic group within a grid cell level and zero otherwise using data on ethnicity from Murdoch (1959). Column (2) shows that there is no correlation between the probability of conflict and this measure. But importantly, given our focus, the core correlation with having an historical conflict in the grid cell remains of similar size and significance.

Column (3) adds a measure of slave extraction at the grid cell level using the data in Nunn (2010). This is positively correlated with recent conflict as we also found in Table 2, although it is not statistically significant at the grid cell level. The core correlation with having an historical conflict in the grid cell remains.

Column (4) addresses the concern that conflicts may be predominantly near to the

---

38 Positive and significant dummies are found for Zulu, Kilwa, Luba, Rwanda, Buganda and a negative and significant effect for Merina, Malawi, Lunda, Axum, Kush. The remaining dummies are not significant different from zero, i.e. not different from parts of Africa that are not classified as parts of any historical kingdom.

39 Murdoch (1959) is based on the geographical location of ethnic groups on 1800. For these data, we make use of the GIS coding of the data by Nathan Nunn available at: http://www.economics.harvard.edu/faculty/nunn/data_nunn The results are similar if we use data from the Geo-referencing of Ethnic Groups (GREG) data which geo-references ethnic groups around the world (see Weidmann et al, 2010.)

40 To construct slave extraction at the grid level, we combine information on the distribution of ethnic groups from Murdoch (1959) with the information on slave exports, the number of people taken as slaves by each ethnicity between 1400 and 1900, from Nunn and Wantchekon (2010). We first calculate slaves per km$^2$ for each ethnic group. Since Murdoch provides information on the geographical location of the ethnic groups, we then calculate for each grid the km$^2$ of the grid belonging to each ethnic group. For each grid cell, we can calculate the total slaves exported multiplying the total km$^2$ of the grid belonging to an ethnic group by the slaves per km$^2$ of this ethnic group.
current capital city so that having a conflict in the grid cell is really just a proxy for this. When we include whether the capital city is in the grid cell, we find that having a more recent conflict is 15% more likely. And being closer to the capital in the distance distribution also predicts being more likely to have a conflict in the grid cell. However, even after introducing these controls, the correlation between contemporaneous and historical conflict at the grid cell level remains positive and significant, with the size of the effect being similar.

Column (5) of Table 6 includes the jurisdictional hierarchy variable used in Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012) averaged at the grid cell level. This variable, based on data in Murdock (1967), measures the strength of political organization above the local level in the pre-colonial period; it is an ordered variable with range between 0 and 4.\textsuperscript{41} Once again the core correlation between historical conflict and more recent conflict remains and is similar in both size and significance to the core result.

Column (6) in Table 6 includes additional economic controls. From the G-econ data, we include the share of income generated from minerals. To get a handle on more historic economic activities, we use the historical maps to classify regions according to their principal economic activities in the 1500-1800 period.\textsuperscript{42} This addresses the concern that the historical conflict variable proxies for persistent economic differences between areas which provoke conflict (the left hand arrow in Figure 1). We include these economic activity dummy variables in column (6). Finally, we include the value of light density at night in 1992 as a right hand side variable. This is measured prior to the period for which we are measuring contemporary conflict. The historical economic activity variables are strongly jointly significant with a $p$-value of 0.000.\textsuperscript{43} The size of the coefficient on having an historical conflict remains of similar magnitude.

Finally, column (7) includes all of the controls from columns (1) through (6) simul-

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{41} A value of 0 means a stateless society; a value of 1 denotes petty chiefdoms and 2 paramount chiefdoms. Values 3 and 4 denotes large states. Murdock (1967) measures this for 1270 ethnic groups. We use the data from Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012) whose painstaking work matches 534 ethnicities to 490 ethnic homelands. To construct a grid-country level measure, we use the fraction of the grid belonging to each ethnic group matched by Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012). Given that data on some ethnicities are missing, we assume that all groups for which we have no information are stateless. The results are robust to normalizing instead so that the weights on populations for which we do have data sum to one.
\item \textsuperscript{42} The activities are growing/producing/mining bananas, barley, camels, cattle, coconuts, copper, cotton, donkeys, ensete (a type of banana), fish, goats, gold, honey, horses, iron, ivory, leather, millet, palm oil, plantain, raffia cloth, rice, salt, sorghum, timber, wheat, and yams.
\item \textsuperscript{43} A positive and significant effect is found for regions producing barley, goats, honey, gold, ivory, plantain, and timber with a negative and significant effect for camels, cattle, fish, leather and raffia cloth.
\end{itemize}
taneously. Having a conflict in the grid cell now makes it 8% more likely that there was some form of conflict in the 1997 to 2010 period.\footnote{We also conducted three other unreported robustness checks using available historical variables. The main aim with these was to check that these additional variables are not potentially omitted variables which are correlated with historical conflict. First, we checked whether our main finding is robust to controlling for the number of Christian missions in the locality using data from Nunn (2010). He identifies three types of missions: Protestant, Catholic and British and Foreign Bible Society Missions, showing historical persistence in conversion to Christianity. We use Nunn’s map to locate missions in our grid cells and include whether there is any mission in a grid cell as a control in explaining the location of contemporary conflicts. Only Protestant missions are (positively) correlated with recent conflict and the core result is robust. Second, we used data on early European explorer routes between 1768 and 1894 used in Nunn and Wantchekon (2011). Here we use the map to locate exploration routes within our grids to have a sense of which conflicts are in more or less historically remote locations. We created a dummy variable if any early explorer route passed through the grid and include this in the regression explaining conflict at the grid level. We found no significant correlation between this variable and contemporary conflict. However, our core result is robust. We have also explored interaction terms across old kingdoms and using distance to the coast but these are not significant. Finally, following Fearon and Laitin (2010), we looked to see whether conflicts are more likely to arise on the borders of countries and whether the correlation with historical conflict is stronger in such areas. While we confirm the idea that conflict is more likely near borders, there is no heterogeneous effect with respect to historical conflict.} The on-line Appendix (Table A.5) reports some further results based on codifying conflicts between 1700 and 1820 using the same method as we did for the period 1400 to 1700. We have also tried to separate between those that had some kind of European involvement from those which did not. Two interesting findings emerge. First, non-European conflict between 1700 and 1820 is correlated with contemporary conflict. Second, conflict between 1400 and 1700 is positively correlated with non-European conflict between 1700 and 1820.

Table 7 runs through a similar array of robustness checks for our economic development outcome measure. The core finding from Table 4 is robust to all of the additional controls from Table 5; having an historical conflict in the grid cell reduces light density at night by around 10%.

**Summing Up** This within-country evidence paints a picture which is consistent with the collective wisdom of the literature linking conflict and economic outcomes.\footnote{See the discussion in Blattman and Miguel (2009).} Taken together, Tables 6 and 7 suggest that our core findings on historical conflicts and their correlation with contemporary conflict and economic development is a robust one.

Returning to the schematic outline of possible channels in Figure 1, the results suggest the possible importance of an economic channel in addition to that working through trust and identity which identified in Table 4. However, we are unable to test
further for this in these disaggregated findings due to the fact that the Afrobarometer does not collect data in conflict areas. As we mentioned above, persistent conflict may be due to the interaction between geography, natural resources and patterns of settlement which spawn particular institutional arrangements. Some of these factors are controlled for directly, the results suggest that what remains and provides our stable and robust correlation may well be due to the persistence of such hard-to-measure institutional factors.

The fact that we find results when we look within countries is not inconsistent with the findings in Table 3 since the source of within-country variation means that we are controlling for a whole host of country level differences.\(^{46}\) Our efforts to control for other historical factors makes us more confident that we are not just seeing an effect coming through from persistent initial conditions such as slavery and pre-colonial political centralization.\(^{47}\)

\section{Conclusions}

This paper has shown that there is a correlation between historically recorded conflicts in Africa between 1400 and 1700 and the more recent experience of civil conflict (and political violence). This relationship is robust to exploiting between-country and within-country variation as well as to including a wide variety of controls. Country level attitudes also appear to have been influenced by conflict. We have also found evidence that the regional pattern of development within countries is correlated with the pattern of historical conflict. Hence the findings are consistent with the view that historical conflict has both a political and economic legacy.

The paper has used a comparatively unexplored source of data on recorded conflicts which we think has the potential to inform future studies of conflict and will doubtless receive more scrutiny as it becomes more frequently used. However, even if the historically recorded conflicts on which we base the empirical analysis is incomplete, it seems likely that the bias is towards conflicts which are among the most salient to current citizens. Moreover, this might explain why such recorded historical conflicts are negatively correlated with trust and positively correlated with identifying most

\(^{46}\)This source of variation is also exploited in Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2013).
\(^{47}\)On-line Appendix Table A.7 reports ratios based on Altonji et al (2005) and find that unobservables would have to be 1.2 to 4 times greater than observables for unobserved heterogeneity to be problematic for the interpretation of these results.
strongly with an ethnic group. This follows a long tradition in social science which sees identities as important social categories with real consequences. More generally, the findings add further fuel to the idea that some phenomena that we see in the world today are, at least in part, the product of historical legacies. Moreover, the results are consistent with other recent research on the persistence of civil war. Fearon and Laitin (2012) show that extra-state wars before 1945 are strongly related to civil war after 1945.

One reaction of the findings could be to create a sense of despair that much of what we see is historically determined and hence not easily amenable to manipulation. But that conclusion is too bleak. To the extent that there are headwinds in the face of progress which are due to historical legacies, it is better to understand them than to ignore them. The need to embed our theories of institutional change in an understanding of social structures shaped by history opens up many possibilities for research and for providing policy advice which is appropriately tailored to the particular circumstances in which it is given.
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FIGURE 2: Map of Conflicts, Colonial Rule and Historical Kingdoms
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Number of observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historical Conflict</td>
<td>Historical Conflict</td>
<td>Historical Conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full sample</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil war prevalence (years)</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purges (average number of riots between 1960-2004)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict (ordered variable: 1 if purges &gt;0 and 2 if civil war &gt;0)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War prevalence 1400-1700 (years)</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave trade (natural log of the total number of slaves exported between 1400 and 1900)</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of Population Density in 1400</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of GDP per capita in 2000 (PWT 6.2)</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expropriation Risk (scale 1-7; average value for years 1983-97)</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checks and Balances (average by country for years 1960-1999 for which the PolityIV variable xconst &gt; 4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1 (continued):

(Grid Cells)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Number of observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full sample</td>
<td>Historical Conflict</td>
<td>Historical Conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict 1997-2010 (dummy)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old conflict in grid (dummy)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City in 1400 (dummy)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic diversity dummy</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave trade (natural log of the total number of slaves exported between 1400 and 1900)</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital City in the grid (dummy)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdictional Hierarchy</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Log of light density at night 2007</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Log of 1+ light density at night 1992</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Population Density in 1990</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining Share (the share of the gross product produced by minerals in 1990)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Sources as stated in the text.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>(1) Civil war incidence</th>
<th>(2) Civil war incidence</th>
<th>(3) Purges</th>
<th>(4) Purges</th>
<th>(5) Conflict (ordered variable)</th>
<th>(6) Conflict (ordered variable)</th>
<th>(7) Civil war incidence</th>
<th>(8) Purges</th>
<th>(9) Conflict (Ordered variable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>War prevalence 1400-1700</td>
<td>0.12***</td>
<td>0.13**</td>
<td>0.002***</td>
<td>0.002***</td>
<td>0.07***</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10**</td>
<td>0.002***</td>
<td>0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.0005)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.0005)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other controls</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave exports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.86***</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.08*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.32)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.63)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density in 1400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.23)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(1.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonial and region dummies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.4211</td>
<td>0.7843</td>
<td>0.4800</td>
<td>0.4847</td>
<td>0.8440</td>
<td>0.9132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-R²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1419</td>
<td>0.1699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses (*** 1% significant, ** 5% significant, * 10% significant). Sample is all African countries for which data is available. Civil war incidence is the number of years that the country has been in civil war since independence. War prevalence 1400-1700 is the number of years in which the country was involved in an historical conflict. Historical conflicts which happened the same years but in different regions are counted separately. Other controls are: GDP per capita in 1970, latitude, longitude, minimum rainfall, maximum humidity, low temperature, the log of the length of the coastline, a dummy for whether a country is an island, regional variables, measures of natural resource abundance, legal origin, ethnic polarization, proportion of the population that is Muslim, a dummy for yellow fever, and the ruggedness of the terrain. From columns (7) through (9) we include slave trade variable from Nunn (2008) along with population density in 1400 from Nunn and Puga (2012).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita in 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War prevalence 1400-1700</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01*</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other controls</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave exports</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.07*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density in 1400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonial and region dummies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.4265</td>
<td>0.8947</td>
<td>0.9127</td>
<td>0.9358</td>
<td>0.6694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses (*** 1% significant, ** 5% significant, * 10% significant). Sample is all African countries for which data is available. GDP per capita in 2000 comes from PWT6.3. The expropriation risk variable is from ICPRG. Checks and Balances comes from the PolityIV dataset. War prevalence 1400-1700 is the number of years in which the country was involved in an historical conflict. Historical conflicts which happened the same years but in different regions are counted separately. Other controls are: GDP per capita in 1970, latitude, longitude, minimum rainfall, maximum humidity, low temperature, the log of the length of the coastline, a dummy for whether a country is an island, regional variables, measures of natural resource abundance, legal origin, ethnic fractionalization, proportion of the population that is Muslim, a dummy for yellow fever, and the ruggedness of the terrain. Column 3 includes slave trade variable from Nunn (2008) along with population density in 1400 from Nunn and Puga (2012).
### TABLE 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Inter group</th>
<th>Ethnic Identity</th>
<th>National Identity</th>
<th>Inter group</th>
<th>Ethnic Identity</th>
<th>National Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>War prevalence 1400-1700</td>
<td>-0.01*** (0.004)</td>
<td>0.001* (0.007)</td>
<td>-0.02*** (0.002)</td>
<td>-0.02*** (0.005)</td>
<td>0.002** (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.02*** (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil war prevalence</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.003 (0.002)</td>
<td>-0.0001 (0.007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonial dummies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>17419</td>
<td>17564</td>
<td>17564</td>
<td>17419</td>
<td>17564</td>
<td>17564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.1095</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td>0.1095</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Robust standard errors clustered by village in parentheses (* 1% significant, ** 5% significant, * 10% significant). The dependent variable are individual responses to trust, ethnic identity and national identity from the Afrobarometer. Regressions are individual level and control for age, age squared, gender, education, occupation, religion, living conditions, district level ethnicity. We also include all of the country controls used in Tables 1 and 2. War prevalence 1400-1700 is the number of years in which the country was involved in an historical conflict. Historical conflicts which happened the same years but in different regions are counted separately. Civil war prevalence is the number of years that the country has been in civil war since independence (the dependent variable used in Table 2).
### TABLE 5:
Conflict and Light Density in Grid Cells: Core Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical conflict in grid</td>
<td>0.15*** (0.04)</td>
<td>0.10*** (0.04)</td>
<td>-0.08** (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.08** (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lpopdensity</td>
<td>0.08*** (0.01)</td>
<td>0.09*** (0.02)</td>
<td>0.06*** (0.01)</td>
<td>0.06*** (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic and climate controls</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country dummies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>3496</td>
<td>3378</td>
<td>3388</td>
<td>3282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.3942</td>
<td>0.4478</td>
<td>0.3844</td>
<td>0.4151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Robust standard errors clustered by country in parentheses (*** 1% significant, ** 5% significant, * 10% significant). Variable descriptions are explained in text. The dependent variable is equal to 1 if there has been at least one conflict in the cell according to ACLED during the period 1997-2010. Log of light density in 2007 is the natural log of the average luminosity at night per km2. Historical conflict in grid is a dummy variable which is equal to one if there was a conflict in the grid cell between 1400 and 1700. Geographical and climate controls are: distance to coast, elevation, ruggedness, average temperature and average precipitation, and area from the Yale University Geographically Base Economic Dataset (G-econ). All columns include country dummies and log population density from G-econ. The alternative standard errors on the right hand side in columns (2) and (4) adjust the standard errors for spatial correlation using the GMM method of Conley (1999).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Conflict in Grid</th>
<th>0.10*** (0.04)</th>
<th>0.10*** (0.04)</th>
<th>0.10*** (0.04)</th>
<th>0.09*** (0.04)</th>
<th>0.08** (0.04)</th>
<th>0.08** (0.04)</th>
<th>0.08** (0.04)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City in 1400</td>
<td>0.14*** (0.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-colonial kingdom dummies</td>
<td>Yes (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic diversity dummy</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave exports</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003 (0.006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital City in Grid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15**** (0.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 0-10%</td>
<td>-0.10* (0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 10-25%</td>
<td>-0.14*** (0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 25-50%</td>
<td>-0.12** (0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 50-75%</td>
<td>-0.07** (0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 75-90%</td>
<td>-0.006** (0.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdictional Hierarchy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.007 (0.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002 (0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of Light density in 1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12* (0.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral sahare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.09 (0.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic activity dummies(p-value)</td>
<td>Yes (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country dummies &amp; Table 4 Controls</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>3377 3275 3183 3378 3275 3249 3160</td>
<td>3275 3183 3378 3275 3249 3160</td>
<td>3275 3249 3160</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>3249</td>
<td>3160</td>
<td>3160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.4605 0.4504 0.4413 0.4525 0.4499 0.4607 0.4658</td>
<td>0.4504 0.4413 0.4525 0.4499 0.4607 0.4658</td>
<td>0.4413 0.4525 0.4499 0.4607 0.4658</td>
<td>0.4525</td>
<td>0.4499</td>
<td>0.4607</td>
<td>0.4658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Robust standard errors clustered by country in parentheses (*** 1% significant, ** 5% significant, * 10% significant). Variable descriptions are in the text. The dependent variable is a dummy which is equal to one if there has been at least one conflict in the grid cell according to ACLED during the period 1997-2010. Historical conflict in grid is a dummy variable.
that captures whether the grid cell had a conflict located between 1400 and 1700. Geographical and climate controls are as in Table 5: distance to coast, elevation, ruggedness, average temperature and average precipitation, and area from the Yale University Geographically Base Economic Dataset (G-econ). All columns include country dummies and the log of population density from G-econ. Column (1) includes a dummy variable which is equal to one if the grid cell contained a city in 1400. It also includes dummies for whether grid cells are located in the domain of an historical African Kingdom. Column 2 includes a dummy that has value 1 if there is more than one ethnic group in the grid cell. Slaves is a measure of slave extraction at the grid cell level using the data in Nunn (2010). Capital City in the grid is a dummy that has value 1 if the grid cell contains the capital city. Distance to capital at x%, is a dummy that has value 1 if the grid cell has a distance to the capital which is in the percentile x. Jurisdictional hierarchy variable is the one used in Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012) averaged at the grid cell level. Log of light density in 1992 is the natural log of the average luminosity at night per km2. Mineral share is the share of income generated from minerals from G-econ. Economic activity dummies, are dummy variables for the different economic activities between 1500-1800.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical Conflict in Grid</td>
<td>-0.09**</td>
<td>-0.08**</td>
<td>-0.086**</td>
<td>-0.11***</td>
<td>-0.09**</td>
<td>-0.11***</td>
<td>-0.11***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City in 1400</td>
<td>0.22**</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.09)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-colonial kingdom dummies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-value)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic diversity dummy</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave exports</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital City in Grid</td>
<td>0.23***</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 0-10%</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 10-25%</td>
<td>-0.10*</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 25-50%</td>
<td>-0.10**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
<td>-0.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 50-75%</td>
<td>-0.10***</td>
<td>-0.07***</td>
<td>-0.07***</td>
<td>-0.07***</td>
<td>-0.07***</td>
<td>-0.07***</td>
<td>-0.07***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to capital 75-90%</td>
<td>-0.06**</td>
<td>-0.05***</td>
<td>-0.05***</td>
<td>-0.05***</td>
<td>-0.05***</td>
<td>-0.05***</td>
<td>-0.05***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdictional Hierarchy</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of light density in 1992</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral share</td>
<td>-0.21***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic activity dummies(p-value)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country dummies &amp; Table 4 Controls</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>3281</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>3183</td>
<td>3282</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>3249</td>
<td>3160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.4538</td>
<td>0.4143</td>
<td>0.4194</td>
<td>0.4402</td>
<td>0.4180</td>
<td>0.7182</td>
<td>0.7430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Robust standard errors clustered by country in parentheses (** 1% significant, * 5% significant, * 10% significant). Variable descriptions are explained in text. The dependent variable is the natural log of the average luminosity at night per km2 in 2007. The dependent variable is a dummy which is equal to one if there has been at least one conflict in the grid cell according to ACLED during the period 1997-2010. Geographical and climate controls are as in Table 5: distance to coast, elevation, ruggedness, average temperature and
average precipitation, and area from the Yale University Geographically Base Economic Dataset (G-econ). All columns include country dummies and log population density from G-econ. Column 1 includes a dummy variable which is equal to one if the grid cell contained a city in 1400. It also includes dummies for whether grid cells are located in the domain of an historical African Kingdom. Column 2 includes a dummy that has value 1 if there is more than one ethnic group in the grid cell. Slaves is a measure of slave extraction at the grid cell level using the data in Nunn (2010). Capital City in the grid is a dummy that has value 1 if the grid cell contain the capital city. Distance to capital at x%, is a dummy that has value 1 if the grid cell has a distance to the capital which is in the percentile x. Jurisdictional hierarchy variable is the one used in Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2012) averaged at the grid cell level. Log of light density in 1992 is the natural log of the average luminosity at night per km2 in 1992. Mineral share is the share of income generated from minerals from G-econ. Economic activity dummies, are dummy variables for the different economic activities between 1500-1800.