



THE LONDON SCHOOL
OF ECONOMICS AND
POLITICAL SCIENCE

the latest social science books
reviewed by academics and experts

Home Latest Popular Architecture and Urban Studies Arts and Literature
Development Studies Economics Education Environment Studies Gender Studies
Health and Wellbeing History International Relations Law and Human Rights
Media Studies Methodology and Research Philosophy and Religion **Politics**
Sociology and Anthropology Africa and the Middle East Asia Australasia and Pacific
Britain and Ireland Europe Latin America USA and Canada




Book Review: Don't Take No for an Answer: The 2011 Referendum and the Future of Electoral Reform by Lewis Baston and Ken Ritchie

Tweet 6



*As political scientists and commentators watch and wait for local election results to come in, what many will find most shocking, although not surprising, are the low voter turn out rates. It seems that the British public remain largely disinterested in elections, a problem also encountered in the AV referendum. **Kerwin Datu** reviews this recent book*

on the mistakes and delusions of the Yes campaign, noting that lessons must be learned before we see any new wave of political interest.



Popular



Academic must visit these bookshop in Northumb "You are as likely to stumble across

Don't Take No for an Answer: The 2011 Referendum and the Future of Electoral Reform. Lewis Baston and Ken Ritchie. Biteback Publishing. September 2011.


Find this book: [amazon](#) [Google](#)

As someone who grew up during the failed 1998-99 Australian Republic campaign, which was two years in the making from the constitutional convention to the final referendum, it was bewildering to me how casually the 2011 UK Alternative Vote referendum was conducted, and not at all surprising that it failed, despite polling at around 65% at the beginning of the year.

Attempting to explain why the Yes case lost so badly is *Don't Take No for an Answer: The 2011 Referendum and the Future of Electoral Reform* by [Lewis Baston](#) and [Ken Ritchie](#), who contributed to the Yes campaign through their work in the [Electoral Reform Society](#). Baston and Ritchie go into fantastic detail on several aspects of the reform effort, chronicling the history of the AV agenda, analysing the form of AV presented to the public and the demographics of the votes cast, cataloguing the Yes side's mistakes during the campaign, and presenting and assessing several other potential routes to reform in the future.

On the mistakes made by the Yes side, Baston and Ritchie are particularly critical of some low-hanging fruit, especially the great reluctance to use politicians as spokespersons for the side, missing the chance to help write the referendum question, and the summary failure to take advantage of the free nationwide letterbox drop service provided by public funds, where Yes sent out only 8.6 million leaflets compared to No's 40 million. There are also a lot of valuable insider insights, such as how the Yes side "became rigidly hierarchical and obsessed with secrecy", or how "senior staff" stuck their head in the sand by deciding "not even to show up for the final regional meeting four weeks out from the campaign."

Yet what seems the most important to me, based on my perceptions of the Australian Republic campaign, was what Baston and Ritchie have called the "movement delusion", the idea that the Yes side merely needed to ride the crest of a wave of popular support into victory. As I experienced in Oz, not even overwhelming majority support for the principle of a Republic could be counted on to get voters to commit to the change once inside the polling booths. So how could anyone have counted on the largely disinterested British public to do the same?



an unfamiliar title that is relevant to your academic research as a fictional bestseller

March 9th, 2014

10 must-read books on women's rights, history and achievements for International Women's Day

March 8th, 2013




LSE Review of Books publishes its 1000th book review: here are the numbers behind the reviews

March 7th, 2014



Book Review: Slow Reading in a Hurried Age by David Mikics

March 5th, 2014



Book Review: Feminism and

According to Baston and Ritchie one of the effects of the movement delusion was that the Yes side focused on how to exploit popular support instead of creating it. "The Yes campaign ended up being as much about inspiring its volunteers as appealing to the public." If that's true, then some may find that this book perpetuates the same failing. Addressed entirely at electoral reform lobbyists, providing them with a wealth of ideas for engineering success in future referenda and campaigns, there is a lack of discussion of whether there is real popular will for reform, or how it might be generated and harnessed in the long term.

Baston and Ritchie try to remain optimistic, and describe the advancement of electoral reform as an "incoming tide" that has swept through the devolved assemblies, local government, the election of MEPs, and will soon reach the Houses of Lords and Commons. This may be true, but then why not seek to understand how electoral reform has been embraced by the electorate and their representatives in these smaller constituencies? Surely that will be one of the best lessons to learn for the next wave of the reform agenda.

Kerwin Datu originally trained in architecture, in which he worked in Sydney, Paris and London, before completing the MSc in Urbanisation and Development at LSE. He is now pursuing a PhD on the role of the global city network in international economic development, focused on African cities. As Editor-in-chief of [The Global Urbanist](#), he receives and publishes essays and magazine articles on issues affecting urban development in cities around the world, and is always open to submissions from new contributors. [Read reviews by Kerwin.](#)



May 4th, 2012 | [Biteback](#), [Britain and Ireland](#), [Kerwin Datu](#), [Politics](#)

[book reviews](#) | [0 Comments](#)

Share This Story, Choose Your Platform!

[< Previous post](#)

[Next post >](#)

Popular
Culture:
Investigati
the
Postfemir
Mystique
by
Rebecca
Munford
and
Melanie
Waters


March 7th, 2014



LSE Review of

Like

2,758 people like [LSE Review of](#)



Facebook social plugin

Related Posts



Leave A Comment

Name (required)

Email (required)

Website

Comment...



94928595

Type the text

[Privacy & Terms](#)



POST COMMENT


- ☐ Notify me of follow-up comments by email.
- ☐ Notify me of new posts by email.



See On

Tweets

Follow

 **LSE Review of Books** 1h
@LSEReviewBooks


New review: Introducing Qualitative Research: A Student's Guide 2nd Edition, by
[@barbour_rose](#)
[@SAGE_Methods](#)
ow.ly/uxl3q

Expand

 **LSE Sustainability** 22h
@SustainableLSE

Exciting delivery! Review copy of '9 Elements of a Sustainable Campus'. Look fwd to reading this for [@LSEReviewBooks](#)
pic.twitter.com/dDav2HtVL

Retweeted by LSE
Review of Books




Tweet to
[@LSEReviewBooks](#)



The books that inspired Ellen Helsper: “Most of the current books that really tackle the everyday practices of media are

written by women”



**The books that inspired
Craig Calhoun: "Charles
Taylor's *A Secular Age*
has limits and problems
that make you think
further"**

Follow us




Archive

Select Month ▼

Funded by HEIF 5




Subscribe by email




LSE Review of Books

Like

2,758 people like [LSE Review of Books](#).



Tweets Follow




LSE Review of Books 1h

@LSERevBooks

New review: Introducing Qualitative Research: A Student's Guide 2nd Edition, by [@barbour_rose](#) [@SAGE_Methods](#) ow.ly/uxl3q

Expand




LSE Sustainability 22h

@SustainableLSE

Exciting delivery! Review copy of '9 Elements of a Sustainable Campus'. Look fwd to reading this

Tweet to [@LSERevBooks](#)

Visit LSE Review of Books's profile on Pinterest.



Visit our sister blog:
the LSE British
Politics and Policy
Blog



Visit our sister blog:
the LSE Impact of
Social Sciences
Blog

Copyright 2013 LSE Review of Books



This work by **LSE Review of Books** is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.0 UK: England & Wales**.

⊞