

The strain of international commitments has led to the erosion of democratic politics in Greece.

May 14 2012

More than a week after Greece's elections, a political stalemate still stands, with no party able to form a stable government. [Nikitas Konstantinidis](#) argues that the demands placed on Greece from international organisations such as the IMF and the EU have strained its political system to a near breaking point. The solutions to Greece's crisis now rest with Paris, Berlin and Brussels rather than Athens.



The results of the May 6 parliamentary elections in Greece have heralded the end of an era in its post-dictatorial democratic politics (metapolitefsi), which were characterized by the alternation in power of two catch-all parties, cartelization of government, and heavy statism. The Greek people have expressed a strong aversion to the established political elites of the center-left PASOK and the center-right New Democracy (whose respective vote shares added up to 32%, less than half of their sum total in the 2009 elections). The rise of SYRIZA, a pre-electoral coalition of left-wing movements, has been the major story so far. However, the existing electoral system – designed by New Democracy in 2006 as a buttress for an entrenched two-party system and stable single-party government – has led to a significant distortion of the people's democratic will in terms of the translation of vote shares into seats (New Democracy gained more than a third of the seats with only 19% of the vote).

Recent developments in Greek politics are giving us a glimpse into its future. The Greek political system has been strained beyond its breaking point by the demands of its international commitments. As a result of increasing fiscal and supply-side conditionality and complex policy interdependence, there is less room for domestic political maneuvering. The scope for unilateral political action has shrunk to such an extent that political rhetoric has been greatly polarized around stark political dilemmas between pro- and anti-Europeanism, pro- and anti-austerity, populism and realism, democracy and technocracy. The electoral shift towards extremist and radical parties (e.g., the extreme right-wing Golden Dawn party witnessed a meteoric rise in its vote share from less than 1% to 7%) provides clear evidence of the radicalization of Greek politics, a natural consequence of the unprecedented economic recession (the country now experiencing its fifth successive year of negative growth).



Credit: Odysseas Gp Creative Commons BY NC SA

The last parliamentary elections in 2009

took place at a time when the full extent of the crisis had not been widely publicized; the Papandreou government had not been voted into office with an explicit austerity mandate. As a result, it resorted to fickle policies and sporadic measures of fiscal adjustment, plagued by a distinct lack of democratic legitimacy and true political will for reform. The waning two-party system was not able to overcome the opposition of deep-rooted institutional actors, client groups, and favored constituencies eager to defend the status quo till its last throes. This paralyzing misalignment of the political system with popular will and the need for a renewed political agenda had to be brought to light and democratically expressed at the ballot.

However, the pro-European reflexes of the Greek electorate cannot be refuted by the latest electoral results. The overall commitment to the Union remains a mainstream political orientation in the country (the Greek Communist Party and the Golden Dawn party being the only ones openly calling for exit from Europe). Impending electoral reforms aimed towards higher proportionality and the democratic purge of entrenched political elites (around 180 out of 300 recently elected MPs are first-timers) seem to usher in a new era of ideologically compact parties, unstable post-electoral coalitions, and ill-defined coalition pacts. The specter of continued ungovernability will tend to force parties into uneasy coalitions with weak reformist mandates, and we can only speculate on Greece's capacity for further reforms.

The polarizing rhetoric of the latest electoral contest gave vent to much popular frustration over a range of issues, such as debt restructuring, unemployment, welfare, and immigration policy, which now fall beyond Greece's problem-solving capacity. All mainstream party platforms evoked the use of supranational policy instruments and rested on dubious assumptions about the outcomes of future intergovernmental negotiations. The people were thus made aware of the limits of their national democratic system in providing sound and credible answers to the hardships of their everyday life. Such deep frustration gave rise to social unrest, civil disobedience, and of late political anti-systemic radicalization.

Greece may thus be viewed as a harbinger of the gradual erosion and delegitimization of national-level democracy in the face of unfettered, crisis-ridden globalization. This vicious cycle of social unrest and political instability may only be broken by agreements and initiatives at the European level, which will essentially change the rules of the game and alter the contours of political debate. By further centralizing policy domains that currently reside within the purview of national governments (immigration, taxation, unemployment, welfare policy etc.), one may thus pull the carpet underneath populist and radical rhetoric and limit its appeal to small pockets of society. All the tools and answers at this time of crisis lie in Berlin, Paris, and Brussels.

[Please read our comments policy before commenting.](#)

Note: This article gives the views of the author, and not the position of EUROPP – European Politics and Policy, nor of the London School of Economics.

Shortened URL for this post: <http://bit.ly/Je2dAP>

About the Author

Nikitas Konstantinidis – LSE Government Department

Dr Nikitas Konstantinidis is a Fellow in Political Science and Public Policy in the Department of Government at the London School of Economics and Political Science. He came to the LSE after spending two years as a post-doctoral researcher at the Institut Barcelona d'Estudis Internacionals (IBEI). His main research interests lie in the areas of comparative and international political economy, applied formal theory, regional integration, international organizations, and European Union politics.



Related posts:

1. [New elections in Greece seem increasingly likely, further damaging the country's credibility](#)
2. [Socio-economic volatility and the rise of anti-establishment politics will characterise Greece's most](#)

[important election in nearly 40 years. The likely outcome is a coalition government, but will it be able to undertake the substantive reforms Greece badly needs?](#)

3. [The Bologna Process on higher education is an unpopular policy decided at the international level but outside the EU framework, circumventing transparent and democratic legislative processes.](#)

This entry was posted in [Nikitas Konstantinidis](#), [South Europe](#), [The Euro](#), [European economics, finance, business and regulation](#) and tagged [coalition](#), [democracy](#), [elections](#), [eu](#), [Eurozone](#), [Greece](#), [Greek elections](#), [IMF](#), [politics](#), [troika](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).