

## LSE Research Online

### Lankina, Tomila

Religious influences on human capital variations in imperial Russia

# Article (Accepted version) (Refereed)

#### Original citation:

Lankina, Tomila V. (2012) *Religious influences on human capital variations in imperial Russia.*<u>Journal of Eurasian studies, 3 (1)</u>. pp. 10-19. ISSN 1879-3665 10.1016/j.euras.2011.10.002

© 2012 http://www.elsevier.com/

This version available at: <a href="http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/45364/">http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/45364/</a>

Available in LSE Research Online: August 2012

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

#### Tomila Lankina

#### Paper title: Religious Influences on Human Capital Variations in Imperial Russia

Abstract: Historical legacies, particularly imperial tutelage and religion, have featured prominently in recent scholarship on political regime variations in post-communist settings, challenging earlier temporally proximate explanations. The overlap between tutelage, geography, and religion has complicated the uncovering of the spatially uneven effects of the various legacies. The author addresses this challenge by conducting sub-national analysis of religious influences within one imperial domain, Russia. In particular, the paper traces how European settlement in imperial Russia has had a bearing on human development in the imperial periphery. The causal mechanism that the paper proposes to account for this influence is the Western communities' impact on literacy, which is in turn linked in my analysis to the Western Christian, particularly Protestant, roots, of settler populations. The author makes this case by constructing an original dataset based on sub-national data from the hitherto underutilised first imperial census of 1897.

Key words: Russia, Human Capital, Historical Legacies, Religion

#### 1. Introduction

Does religion matter in accounting for spatially uneven patterns of human capital development in Russia? Religion has featured prominently in the debates on the legacy underpinnings of democratic variations in various settings (Bollen and Jackman, 1985, Landes, 1998, Huntington, 1996, Welzel et al., 2003). However, the substantial overlap between religion and imperial or, in the case of former colonies, colonial, tutelage has complicated the making of sound inferences about the weight of the specifically religious imprint on human capital variations, as opposed to that of the institutional legacies of imperial or colonial tutelage (Fish, 1998). For instance, Christian nations that formed part of the Austro-Hungarian Empire are also largely Protestant or Catholic while those formerly part of the Russian or Ottoman Empires have large Eastern Orthodox and Muslim populations.

One possibility of addressing this dilemma is by conducting sub-national analysis employing the territorial domains of one imperial power as observations. Not only does such an analysis allow to hold imperial tutelage constant, but to also explore the effects of other potentially significant domestic variables in a more systematic way. As a successor to the Russian Empire covering most of its territorial landmass, Russia presents a good laboratory for refining our knowledge of how these respective variables might matter for human capital. However, these a dearth of scholarship on this topic. One apparent reason for this omission is that the predominant "centrecentred" (Snyder, 2001) national-level analyses have forced a Huntingtonian master narrative upon the discipline conceptualising Russia's Christian religious tradition largely in terms of its belongingness to Eastern Orthodoxy (Huntington, 1996, Welzel

et al., 2003, Fish, 1998, Pop-Eleches, 2007). As a result, potentially important factors in long-term human capital development have remained understudied.

I address this omission by tracing how European settlement in imperial Russia has had a bearing on human development in the imperial periphery. The key causal mechanism that I propose to account for this long-term influence of Western populations is the settlers' impact on literacy, which is in turn linked in my analysis to the Western Christian, particularly Protestant, roots, of settler populations. The paper is part of a wider project to study the impact of historical legacies on present-day human capital in territorially large states like India and Russia. Assessing the impact of imperial legacies on post-communist spatial developmental variations is beyond the scope of this paper however uncovering factors influencing human capital variations in the imperial periphery will hopefully constitute building blocks for a more systematic exploration of how these factors may shape present-day spatial developmental variations.

For this study, I constructed an original dataset based on data from the hitherto underutilised first imperial census of 1897. The paper is structured as follows. First, I discuss the literature on historical legacies in formerly communist states and Russian regions. This is followed by an excurse into the history of European settlement and its impacts on human capital development through literacy in Russian provinces. I then present results of statistical analysis. Concluding observations follow.

#### 2. Theorising Legacies

Historical legacies have featured prominently in recent analyses of post-communist developmental variations (Hanson, 1995, Kopstein, 2003, Pop-Eleches, 2007).

Legacy approaches have been advocated over those prioritising more temporally proximate causal pathways to markets, development, and democracy because the latter have arguably failed to account for substantial variations in developmental trajectories of Central and East European states. Scholars have critiqued modernization theories for their failure to explore how industrial growth itself may be linked to historical-cultural contexts (Kitschelt et al., 1999). At the same time, they argued that twentieth century regime legacies of communism, fascism, or authoritarianism could be linked to historically-conditioned forms of institutions and state-society relations that are likely to endure (Hanson 1995: 313; Bunce 1999: 785; Kopstein 2003).

Recent legacy scholarship has overwhelmingly focused on Central European states however. Thomas Remington is one of the few scholars of Russian regions who have sought to systematically incorporate pre-communist developmental effects into his analysis of Russian regional developmental variations (Remington, 2010). He has employed 1926 literacy figures as a proxy for pre-communist development in his statistical analysis of political and economic regime variations in Russia's regions and found that it positively correlates with both urbanisation and democracy in the 1990s (Remington, 2009). He suggests that the 1926 census figure is in turn reflective of pre-revolutionary social development legacies before industrialisation, collectivisation, and urbanisation drives of the Stalin and post-Stalin periods. The

study therefore hints at the potentially important mechanism of past legacies of human capital and literacy in particular however, it stops short of extending the causality further to explore factors which account for variations in pre-communist human capital development.

Two other recent studies, albeit not specifically concerned with Russia, have more closely examined the educational component of pre-communist historical legacies. Schooling features as a key explanatory variable in Peisakhin's study of sub-national democratic orientations in formerly Russian and Hapsburg territories of present-day Ukraine however rather than focusing on the human capital component of the *quality* of schooling a more complex argument is proposed which privileges the substance of the curriculum. In a fascinating survey-based attempt to study history's natural experiment Peisakhin shows how the contrasting contemporary "behavioural scripts" in post-communist Ukrainian provinces which share a common legacy of communism, are rooted in the curriculum content that the various communities had been exposed to. These variations could be in turn traced to the institutional legacies of modes of the incorporation of minority ethnic groups going back centuries. Thus, residents of the formerly Russian imperial territories, subjected to a policy of the suppression of their Little Russian identity, only a few miles apart from their formerly Habsburg Ukrainian neighbours where Ruthenian identity was actively encouraged, are far less likely to espouse critical attitudes towards the government and vote. Peisakhin suggests that the imperial-era Church and schooling systems were the key institutional determinants of local identities, nurturing or suppressing ethnic distinctiveness (Peisakhin, 2010).

Likewise, in their cross-national study of post-communist regime trajectories Darden and Grzymala-Busse suggest that pre-communist nationalist curriculum content in the more literate imperial peripheries accounts for variations in the willingness of East European nations to dislodge communist parties (Darden and Grzymala-Busse, 2006). An intriguing question however is what accounts for such stark variations in levels of schooling and specifically literacy before communism. Neither study systematically addresses this question, though Darden and Grzymala-Busse note the importance of variations in levels of socio-economic development among the least literate nomadic societies in Central Asia and their more literate Slavic counterparts and those in more developed Central European provinces. They therefore fall back on the modernisation argument in locating the roots of literacy in the variable levels of modernisation of imperial peripheries.

Given the well-known cultural differences between Central Asian Muslim or Animist, Slavic-Orthodox, and Western Christian societies, this reference to the stark literacy variations among the above societies begs the question of the extent to which modernisation may be itself a product of cultural factors that may need to be disentangled from other variables. Modernisation may shape political value orientations however culture, largely conditioned by the variable religious traditions, may be also endogenous to modernization. Indeed, in the Darden and Grzymala-Busse study, among the top pre-communist literacy achievers with the highest share of non-communist party vote—Slovenia, Slovakia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Western Ukraine, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, Georgia, and Armenia—all but the latter two have been historically exposed to the Latin Church or the Protestant tradition.

Scholars from Montesquieu, to Weber, to Putnam, and Huntington have highlighted the importance for democratic development of the denominational nuances governing the relationships among Church and state and affecting citizen value and political authority orientations (Weber et al., 2002, Montesquieu, 1949, Putnam, 1993, Huntington, 1996). Likewise, religion has been one of the major paradigms employed in post-communist democratisation studies. According to one school of thought, having a pre-communist tradition of a mediated, ritual-based religion rather than that based on minimal ritual and direct relation to God has arguably put a stamp on political cultural pre-dispositions in various parts of communist and post-communist Europe (Jowitt, 1992). The second key strand of theorizing on religion regards the Church as a transmitter of a legal tradition. Thus, states like Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary with a history of association with Roman law through the Latin Church have been juxtaposed to Bulgaria and Romania, with their ties to Byzantium and the Greek Church and comparatively late development of codification of law (Elster et al., 1998). These distinct patterns of deference to political authority and rule of law, as Elster et al. argue, may simply have "hibernated" during the communist episode, only to resurface again to "determine the future of post-communist societies" (Elster, Offe, and Preuss 1998: 36).

These two strands of theorising therefore privilege the attitudinal and the institutional dimensions of a religious tradition. I here propose a complimentary causal mechanism, which focuses on the religious dimension of literacy, specifically on the role of Western Christian tradition in the spread of literacy in Russia's imperial periphery. It is well known that the development of literacy has been central to the Protestant tradition due to the importance of Bible reading in the vernaculars for all

believers. Scholars have also demonstrated how Protestant Christianity in turn spurred intense inter-denominational competition and gradual inter-Church convergence in the provision of mass education, as opposed to that available solely to the higher elite or clergy (Berger, 1969, Bayly, 1989, Frykenberg, 2003, Zhuk, 2004). Thus, in contexts where the Protestant Church had become an important provider of schooling for disadvantaged groups, the Catholic Church has also shown a greater willingness to sponsor education as a means to attract or retain adherents (Trejo, 2009, Woodberry, 2004).

By contrast, Eastern Christian traditions, not exposed to conversionary Protestant Christianity, as well as such other religions as Islam, discouraged the development of mass literacy. Many Eastern Christian Churches to the present day continue to rely on such archaic languages as Church Slavonic or Aramaic in liturgy. The Islamic tradition encouraged the development of reading skills for rote memorisation of the Koran, but not writing. Thus, in colonial India, census takers reported problems in distinguishing among literate and illiterate populations because many Indian Muslims could read, but not write (Hutton, 1933). Religious traditions also affected literacy levels by gender: while in the Protestant tradition, both boys and girls were expected to be literate to go through Confirmation, in Islam, women were discouraged from learning, hence the continuing wide gaps in literacy and access to higher education among men and women in traditionally Islamic societies (Fish, 2002).

Assessing the effects of pre-communist literacy on long-term human capital and democratic effects in Russian regions is beyond the scope of this study. However, mapping and explaining spatial variations in pre-communist human capital

development will hopefully be a first step in linking imperial-era variations to present-day disparities in Russia's regional development. Yet, how do we begin to uncover the literacy effects of the various religious traditions given the noted overlap among the religious, institutional, social, and economic legacies in post-communist settings (Pop-Eleches, 2007, Elster et al., 1998, Welzel et al., 2003, Fish, 1999)? Over a decade ago Fish thus formulated the methodological challenge of disentangling these various effects: "Cultural traditions and imperial tutelage legacies may hold some promise as explanations," however "given the overlap between religious tradition, geographical location, and the history of imperial tutelage, it is *impossible* (emphasis added) to separate out the possible weight of these factors" (Fish 1999: 797). "Were societies with various major religious compositions scattered more randomly across geographical space, generalising about the significance of religious tradition per se might be possible," he wrote (Fish 1998a: 223).

Russia could help us more conclusively assess the relative weight of the legacies of religion in human capital development because of the more "random" distribution of an important source of the diffusion of western Christian influence on the imperial periphery, namely 18th-early 20th century European colonies stretching as far East as Siberia. A large share of the populations, roughly two thirds, in these colonies were Protestants, but there were also sizeable communities, close to a quarter of all settlers, belonging to the Catholic faith (Stricker, 1994). The key driving force behind migration were the consequences of religious wars plaguing Europe in the 16th-17th centuries. These largely rural populations in some parts of the Russian periphery formed sizeable populations or enjoyed numerical predominance. The mark that they have left on the socio-economic landscape of hitherto sparsely populated imperial

peripheries has been only recently systematically documented in imperial historiography (Cherkazyanova, 1999, German, 1992, Zhuk, 2004, Wiebe, 2007, Pleve, 1998, Kabuzan, 2003, Vesnina, 1995, Dahlmann, 1996, Schippan and Striegnitz, 1992, Keim, 2006, Neutatz, 1994, Eisfeld, 1992, Fleischhauer, 1986, Shramkova, 2007, Popkova, 2007, Stricker, 1994, Long, 1988); political science scholarship of post-communist national and sub-national political and developmental variations has neglected this topic.

While the settlement of these communities was "random" in the sense of a disassociation between empire, geography, and dominant religion, the intentionality of the choice of specific location of settlement within the Russian empire ought to be also considered. In a recent volume on "natural experiments" in history, Diamond and Robinson flagged the methodological challenge of establishing cause and effect in "perturbed" societies experiencing externally-driven or exogenous change (Diamond and Robinson, 2010). "A question invariably arising in any comparative study that compares perturbed societies or sites with nonperturbed ones concerns the perturbers' 'selection' of which particular sites to perturb," they write (Diamond and Robinson 2010: 262). Thus, European settlers in neo-Europes may have been more driven to "patch selection" in areas already hospitable from climatic or resource point of view; and Napoleonic armies may have well chosen to invade areas in Europe already more developed, which could plausibly account for the better institutional legacies in the invaded areas (Diamond and Robinson, 2010). In the Russian case, we know from historical scholarship on the newly acquired frontier territories that the settlers did not choose where to settle: they were allocated land by imperial fiat in climatically harsh, undeveloped, and otherwise inhospitable areas. In such areas previous attempts at

development by encouraging Russian or indigenous animistic or Muslim inhabitants to cultivate land there had not been very successful (Koch, 1977). What makes this analysis interesting is that settler colonies were often adjacent to, or interspersed with, Russian or non-Russian Asian minorities. Statistics on literacy and other development indicators for the various communities allow us to take stock of the effects of these pre-existing conditions. In the next section, I provide an historical overview of the origin and human capital effects of these communities in Russia's imperial peripheries. I then back this discussion with statistical analysis.

#### 3. European Settlement in Russia and its Impact on Literacy

European mini-colonies had long been a feature of urban life in Russia however until the mid-18th century they had been largely limited to the north-West and West reaches of the Empire. On the imperial frontier mass European settlement, particularly from Germanic lands, occurred by imperial fiat in the mid-18<sup>th</sup> century at the invitation of Catherine the Great, a German Princess. It continued in subsequent waves of colonization until the early 20th century. By the time of the Russian Revolution in 1917, there were some 10,000 settlements in fifty-three regions, and their population exceeded 3.5 million (Zhuk, 2004). On Russia's present-day territory, the first settlements appeared in the 1760s on the Middle Volga; by the time of the first imperial 1897 census the settlers constituted 22.48 percent of the region's population (390,864).

While Lutherans formed a sizeable proportion of the German Protestant communities —two thirds—there were also adherents of other Protestant denominations (Kappeler, 1994). In 1765, some 20 miles away from Tsaritsyn, at one time Stalingrad, and currently Volgograd, the village of Sarepta was founded by the Moravian Brethren from Saxony. The Brethren, critical of the doctrinal and ritualistic tendencies of other Churches were credited with spreading an individualistic religion and the practice of prayer meetings in the area. In the middle of the 19th century the Mennonites, another radical Protestant group claiming Dutch descent, also settled on the Volga (Epp, 1994). The Mennonites became the key founders of daughter colonies in Omsk in the 1890s (Cherkazyanova, 1999). At that time, the government encouraged new settlements in Siberia due to the construction of the Trans-Siberian railway in 1891. Following land reform, there was another wave of Siberian migration in 1907-09; many Volga settlers sold their land and purchased larger plots around Omsk and Altay (Koch, 1977, Shaydurov, 2002).

These colonies contributed to the proliferation of what Bunce conceptualises as "multiple peripheries" characteristic of empires that often suffer from weak institutional penetration and internal uniformity (Bunce, 2005). Such peripheries vary not just by predominant ethnicity, religion, and levels of economic development, but also "rights, responsibilities, identities, and institutions" (Bunce 2005: 416). The settlers' "pragmatic protection" (Zhuk 2004: 41) was at the outset a matter of policy—there was a substantial degree of imperial toleration of settler self-government, freedom of religion, and education. The colonists had the status of

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Germans constituted 40 percent of all Protestants in Russia, while the remaining 60 percent were largely composed of Latvians and Estonians (Kappeler 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The deep roots of this movement were evident well into the Soviet period when clandestine Brotherhood prayer meetings persisted as an expression of spiritual independence and strength.

peasants, which differed from that of both serfs and state peasants. The colonists had the right to choose their own self-governing authorities on an annual basis, and this right was stipulated in a special charter (Pleve, 1998, Kabuzan, 2003, Neutatz, 1994).

The colonies became known in particular for their institutions of mass schooling, which were highly advanced in the context of the largely illiterate Russian provincial rural settings. On the southern and eastern frontier, as early as the third quarter of the 18th century, it was the European settler who set up the first public schools. Religion was the driving force for the literacy project. The settlers were keen to set up a a school with each congregation which was funded publicly by the settlers themselves (Keim, 2006, Kahle, 1994, Koch, 1977). The settlers' average literacy rates were substantially higher than those of other rural residents. In the early Saratov German colonies, the average male and female literacy rates were 70 and 56 percent, respectively. The Russians' overall average in Saratov was 25 percent (Shaydurov, 2005). Table 1 presents literacy and religion statistics for the *gubernii*.

Both the Catholic and Protestant communities maintained similar institutions of mass schooling linked to the Church and providing literacy and numeracy skills to boys and girls of pre-Confirmation ages. Some German scholars have documented the poorer quality of Catholic schools as compared to those of Protestants in neighbouring Protestant villages. They attributed these variations however to the Catholics' reliance on priests from Poland and the Baltic lands, often dispatched to the "wilderness" because of misdemeanours or incompetence. The latter, who usually did not speak fluent German, were less partial to quality instruction in the German villages as compared to German Lutheran or Mennonite priests. A contributing factor to this

variation was that generally, the quality of the Lutheran or Reformed clergy tended to be higher than that of Catholics because of the expectation of their deeper involvement in parish affairs (Stricker, 1994). Still, both the Catholic and Protestant schools stood out in the sea of Orthodox illiteracy (Kahle, 1994).

The role of the settlers' clergy in the maintenance of the school systems found no parallels in Russian villages. The Orthodox Church was not institutionally associated with primary education provision in the same way that it had been in post-Reformation Europe (Kahle, 1994). Unlike in Europe, the Baltic lands, Poland and parts of Ukraine, where the school systems had come to be linked to the Church, the concept of public schooling was unknown in Russia until the 19th century when the government finally decided to set up basic public education (Luchterhandt, 1994). In Europe, the Reformation accorded a special role to the Church in promoting literacy in the vernaculars. By contrast, the Orthodox Church tradition was that of "restricted literacy" whose practical utility was confined to reading the scriptures and religious texts or performing service in Church Slavonic. It is not by chance that the historian Brook's fascinating book *When Russia Learned to Read* is almost exclusively focused on the 19th century. When the 1917 Revolution broke out, plans for universal rural schooling had been only partially implemented (Brooks, 1985).

The colonists influenced the spread of literacy among Russians both directly through introducing their Russian labourers to the rudiments of reading and numeracy, and indirectly, through encouraging conversion to Protestant Christianity. Russian labourers preferred the better paying German to Russian employers (Brandes, 1994, Neutatz, 1994, Zhuk, 2004, Shaydurov, 2005, Brandenburg, 1974). Mennonite

employers were also known to provide labourers with housing, schools, and places of worship (Brandes, 1994). After the serfs were emancipated, there was greater contact with Russian peasants. Many Russian peasants worked as labourers on German and Dutch farms, and their numbers increased when they migrated to the south and Siberia in search of employment. There are records of conversion to Protestant Christianity as a result of such contact with European households (Nesdoly, 1986, Zhuk, 2004). During the first decades of European settlement, active proselytising among Russian peasants was uncommon. This changed during the mid-19 century Protestant revival, when such conversionary movements as Stundism, Millenarianism, and Separatism germinated in the periphery, often in clandestine settings (Zhuk 2004). The settlers, more exposed to European religious and intellectual currents, became transmitters of the new teachings to the local populations despite surveillance and obstruction by the authorities (Tuchtenhagen, 1994, Zhuk, 2004). The Mennonites in particular, on grounds of religious conscience flouted the imperial ban on proselytising among Russian Orthodox populations.<sup>3</sup> The historian Zhuk documents how exposure to Protestant congregational activity among Russian peasants led to important lifestyle changes, such as sobriety, and, most notably, the acquisition of reading, writing, and numeracy skills (Zhuk 2004). Literacy in turn had profound implications for social uplift. Even basic literacy was often sufficient for a peasant to acquire employment as shop assistant, coachman, or clerk in the zemstvo bodies. After peasant emancipation, literate peasants were in the best position to profit from the availability of rural commune investment capital funds (Brooks, 1985).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This explains the staggering growth of Mennonite communities in Russia – by 1914, a fifth, or nearly 100,000, of all Mennonites in the world resided in Russia (Brandenburg 1974). Brandenburg, H. (1974). *The Meek and the Mighty: The Emergence of the Evangelical Movement in Russia*. London: Mowbrays.

The settlers are also associated with the development of more advanced forms of learning. As in the American mid-West, which became host to similar waves of German migration from Europe in the 18-19 centuries (Turner, 1962), German settlers are associated with the establishment and development of superb higher educational institutions (Smirnova, 2006). Saratov, the centre of Volga German colonies, acquired nation-wide reputation as a centre of progressive education on a par with Moscow and St. Petersburg (Popkova, 2007). Settler schooling during the earlier phase of settlement was largely at the primary level, was focused on preparing the children for Confirmation, and was limited to settler pupils. In 1869, the colonists-controlled Kamyshin District zemstvo petitioned the Ministry of Public Education to introduce compulsory primary education in the entire district, covering both the colonists and non-colonist Russian peasants, but did not receive state authorisation to do so. The desire for more sophisticated secular education and social advancement in the wider Russian society eventually led the colonists to found institutions of higher learning for Germans, but with Russian language instruction (Long, 1988). This in turn led to an influx of Russian pupils into these public and private institutions (Shramkova, 2007). Thus, in the D. F. Hesse private college for boys in 1883, thirty-four students were Protestants, eighteen were Orthodox, and three were Catholics. In another private school founded by the theologian Gustave Schoemburg, in 1884, out of the eightyeight pupils, sixty were Protestants, twenty-six were Orthodox, and two were Catholics. The colonists' models of elementary and advanced public schooling and teacher training colleges, pioneering for the frontier "barren of public enlightenment," were replicated outside of the colonies (Cherkazyanova, 1999, Koch, 1977).

The settlers had been also leaders in girls' schooling, thereby contributing to the social uplift of women. As late as in 1894, when progressive *zemstvo* schooling reforms had been long under way, only 8291 out of a total 39567 of the Russian pupils in Saratov, or 20.9 percent, were girls. By contrast, among settler pupils, there were 13198 girls out of the total number of 27246, or 48.5 percent (Popkova, 2007). It became increasingly common for Russian girls to attend German private schools where both Russian and German were taught. Thus, one of the most prestigious gymnasia for girls, founded in 1865 by the German teacher Pauline Zemmering as Saratov's first private school for girls, in 1883 had 152 female pupils, 117 of which were Russian Orthodox, thirty were Protestants, and four were Catholic (Shramkova, 2007). The mid-19 century Protestant revival gave further impetus to the social elevation of women (Zhuk, 2004). Contemporary observers commented on the prominent role of travelling female "agents" representing Baptist and other Protestant sects, who were literate and well-read and worked to satisfy the craving among female peasants for basic literacy (Brooks, 1985).

Finally, European populations also contributed to the development of schooling through their involvement on the *zemstva* local government bodies. The *zemstva* local government bodies were set up in the context of Russia's political liberalisation in the 1860s. Despite arbitrary and often massive state interference in their affairs, the *zemstva* had the most freedom of manoeuvre in two areas in which their reliance on police and peasant officials was the weakest, namely public health and education. In 1890, the government promulgated a new *zemstvo* statute. Contrary to the original intention of the Minister of Internal Affairs D. A. Tolstoi, the key official in charge of the reform, the *zemstva* retained their overall relative autonomy from both regional

governors and state officials, germinating into islands of political opposition to the autocratic tsarist regime. At the same time, the new mechanisms that were introduced to improve coordination in public services among the *zemstva* and other bodies, as well as to encourage participation for the educated middle classes in the hitherto gentry-dominated bodies, resulted in spectacular improvements in public healthcare and education in the provinces. In the three year period between 1895 and 1898, the *zemstva* built over 3,300 schools, which surpassed the total number constructed in the six year period between 1878 and 1894. Between 1896 and 1901, the *zemstva* spent on average 38,200 roubles annually on education, a figure that by far exceeded that of 5,900 roubles in the 1881-1890 period. For the first time since the inception of these bodies in the 1860s, they also started collecting data on peasant illiteracy (Pearson, 1989).

There remained however substantial regional variations in *zemstva* institutional performance, their ability to enforce local taxation, and their choice of expenditure priorities (Pearson, 1989). From the outset of *zemstvo* reform in 1864 the colonists were given the right to participate in *zemstvo* self-government (Brandes, 1994). The settlers had come to form majorities in two of the forty of the 360 county *zemstva* with majority rural representation, Kamyshin in the Volga area, and Akkerman in Bessarabia. In Kamyshin, the head of the *zemstvo* from 1866 to 1899 was the settler Peter Louck, "effusively lauded for his excellent management of the zemstvo budget, vigorous promotion of public education, successful organization of village granaries stocked with grain in case of crop failures, initiation of the zemstvo insurance program, and maintaining harmonious relations within the zemstvo by reconciling and mediating the interests and concerns of the Russian peasantry and Volga Germans"

(Long 1988: 163-64). After 1890, the number of *zemstva* board and committees increased and the so-called "third element" of doctors, teachers, agronomists and representatives of other middle class professions were invited to sit on these bodies without necessarily being elected *zemstvo* members. The colonists became famously active on the *zemstva* committees. These boards were also significant in that for the first time the non-settler peasants and colonists were to jointly administer local affairs. The *zemstva* in which the colonists had a strong influence either because they formed elected majorities or because they had been active on the various unelected committees, became among the most progressive in Russia. Until 1901, the colonists-dominated Novouzensk district *zemstvo* led all of the Samara Province districts in the share of expenditure on education, while other *zemstva* with a large share of colonists sitting on the various bodies became known for assigning education reform their highest priority (Long, 1988).

#### 4. Statistical Analysis

The imperial period part of the analysis is based on an author-constructed dataset with data from the first Imperial Census of 1897 (Troynitskiy, 1905). After excluding imperial administrative territories that do not form part of the current territory of the Russian Federation, the dataset for Russia has forty-six observations corresponding to the forty-six *gubernii*. The independent variables employed in the first part of the analysis are as follows. The main independent variables are Protestants and Catholics as measured by the percentage share of these groups in the population. Additional

religion and ethnicity control variables of Russian Orthodox, ethnic Russian, and Old Believer populations are also employed in the analysis. Even a brief glance at imperial statistics reveals that Russians, themselves far behind in literacy as compared to Western settler populations, had far better human capital indicators than those of some of the conquered nomadic steppe Muslim and Animist populations. Some peripheral areas also had particularly high concentrations of Old Believer Populations, dissident communities who had been likened to Protestants in their denial of predominant religious doctrine and distinct lifestyle. Including the above variables enables us to control for the effects of these religious and cultural factors on human capital outcomes in the *gubernii*. The control variables for modernisation are the percentage share of populations belonging to the category of "peasants of all titles"; and the percentage share of the population belonging to the census category of *meshchane*, which could be roughly translated as the bourgeoisie.

The variable of population share of those residing outside of the region in which they were born ("outsiders") is also included. This variable enables us to control for the legacies of serfdom in the *gubernii*. Scholars have hypothesised that these legacies may have an impact on human capital and democratic orientations in post-communist settings. By the mid-19 century, substantial regional variations in the practice of the institution of serfdom had developed. In some regions in Siberia, the Middle Volga, and Southern Russia with a history of European settlement a high proportion of the population was composed of escaped or freed serfs who, even before serfdom was abolished, moved from the Black Earth areas of Central Russia that historically had a high association with serfdom. It is also well known that, decades after the abolition of serfdom, many peasants remained financially bonded to their landlords, thereby

limiting their mobility. So, including this variable also provides confidence that our Europeans variable does not proxy for that of the contrasting legacies of serfdom in the various *gubernii*. The dependent variables are population percentage share of literates, female literates, and literates among Russians. All variables in the analysis have been logged. For the first part of the analysis, I hypothesise that *having a larger share of Western settler, particularly Protestant, populations positively affects* literacy and female literacy in particular and that in regions with a higher share of settlers the Russian populations are also more likely to be literate.

Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression analysis was conducted testing several models. In Model 1, overall population literacy is postulated to be a function of the agrarian-urban structure, as well as of specifically cultural variables of Protestant and ethnically Russian populations. The variable of population share of those residing outside of the region in which they were born ("outsiders") is also included. There is a positive and high statistical correlation between share of Protestants and Catholics due to the territorial overlap in the settlement of these mostly European communities.

These two variables therefore could not be included at the same time.

The results are presented in Table 2. Model 1 shows that, as expected, Protestants have a positive and statistically significant effect on literacy, as do "outsiders" and *meshchane*. The share of Russian populations does not appear to have a statistically significant effect on literacy. The coefficient for the key independent variable suggests that for every one percent increase in the share of Protestant populations, there is a .22 percent increase in the share of literacy. The R square for this model indicates that 66 percent of the variation is explained. In Model 2 when I substitute

Protestantism for Catholicism, we see that the effects for Western Christianity still hold and the coefficient for Catholicism is almost identical to the one for Protestants in Model 1, while the R square, at 68, is slightly higher than that in Model 1. Next, in Models 3 and 4, I ascertain the effects of western Christianity on female literacy and find that the results for the effects of Catholics and Protestants are not substantially different with the coefficients identical, but the R square at 63 is slightly higher in the model for Protestants as compared to Catholics (61).

Next, in Models 5 and 6, I explore the effects of diffusion of literacy from amongst settler populations to ethnic Russians. In this model I also include the variable of Old Believers to ascertain the extent to which literacy was more prevalent among dissident, non-Orthodox Russian communities. Because of the high correlation between meshchane and Protestant share, this measure of urbanisation is sensitive to model specification and wipes off the effect of Protestantism and Catholicism in the Russian literacy models (regressions not included in the tables). I therefore substitute it with the alternative measure of peasant share in the population. The models show that Western Christianity has a positive and statistically significant effect on literacy among Russians. In particular, in the Protestant Model 5, for every one percent increase in the share of Protestants, there is a .27 percent increase in literacy among Russians; and for every one percent increase in the share of Catholics, there is a slightly lower, .21 percent, increase in literacy among Russians. As expected, peasant share has a negative and statistically significant effect on literacy among Russians; there are also more literates in areas with a weaker historical legacy of serfdom. At the same time, Russian Orthodoxy has a negative and statistically significant effect on literacy among Russians. Belonging to the dissident community of old Believers does

not have a statistically significant effect on literacy. The R square, at 42, is slightly lower in Model 6 that includes Catholics as compared to Model 5 with the Protestants variable, where the R square figure is 44.

### Table 1. Literacy and religion in pre-communist regions (gubernii)

## Top 20 gubernii, literacy – gubernii also in the top 20 Protestants and Catholics table highlighted

| Literacy   |       | Female literacy |      |  |  |
|--|-------|-----------------|------|--|--|
| St. Peter. cit                                       | 62.6  | St. Peter. cit  | 51.5 |  |  |
| Moscow city  | 56.3  | St. Petersburg  | 43.8 |  |  |
| St. Petersburg                                       | 55.1  | Moscow city     | 42.3 |  |  |
| Moskovskaya  | 40.2  | Moskovskaya     | 25.5 |  |  |
| Yaroslavskaya  | 36.2  | Yaroslavskaya   | 24   |  |  |
| Vladimirskaya  | 27    | Samarskaya      | 14.1 |  |  |
| Sahalin isl  | 26.8  | Saratovskaya    | 13.6 |  |  |
| Olonetskaya  | 25.3  | Vladimirskaya   | 13.4 |  |  |
| Amurskaya  | 24.8  | Sahalin isl     | 12.5 |  |  |
| Primorskaya  | 24.7  | Kostromskaya    | 12.3 |  |  |
| Tverskaya  | 24.5  | Amurskaya       | 11.9 |  |  |
| Kostromskaya   | 24    | Tverskaya       | 11.9 |  |  |
| Saratovskaya   | 23.8  | Arkhangelskaya  | 11.7 |  |  |
| Arkhangelskaya                                       | 23.3  | Ufimskaya       | 11.7 |  |  |
| Novgorodskaya  | 23    | Orenburgskaya   | 11.4 |  |  |
| Donskogo voysk                                       | 22.4  | Nizhegorodskaya | 11.1 |  |  |
| Samarskaya   | 22.1  | Kazanskaya      | 11.1 |  |  |
| Nizhegorodskaya                                      | 22    | Permskaya       | 10.8 |  |  |
| Tulskaya   | 20.7  | Novgorodskaya   | 10.7 |  |  |
| Orenburgskaya  | 20.4  | Olonetskaya     | 10   |  |  |
| Top 20 gubernii, share of Protestants and Catholics* |       |                 |      |  |  |
| Protestants  |       | Catholics       |      |  |  |
| St. Petersburg                                       | 12.68 | Sahalin isl     | 6.56 |  |  |
| St. Peter. cit                                       | 7.85  | St. Peter. cit  | 4.24 |  |  |
| Samarskaya   | 6.26  | St. Petersburg  | 3.1  |  |  |

| Saratovskaya   | 5.61 | Samarskaya     | 2.09 |
|----------------|------|----------------|------|
| Pskovskaya     | 2.32 | Primorskaya    | 1.65 |
| Moscow city    | 2.1  | Moscow city    | 1.46 |
| Sahalin isl    | 1.75 | Saratovskaya   | 1.45 |
| Simbirskaya    | 1.27 | Eniseyskaya    | 1.11 |
| Donskogo voysk | 1.19 | Irkutskaya     | 0.82 |
| Stavropolskaya | 1.05 | Moskovskaya    | 0.73 |
| Terskaya       | 1.05 | Terskaya       | 0.61 |
| Novgorodskaya  | 1    | Astrahanskaya  | 0.58 |
| Kubanskaya     | 0.98 | Smolenskaya    | 0.56 |
| Moskovskaya    | 0.97 | Tobolskaya     | 0.51 |
| Eniseyskaya    | 0.69 | Pskovskaya     | 0.49 |
| Olonetskaya    | 0.67 | Tomskaya       | 0.46 |
| Arkhangelskaya | 0.59 | Kubanskaya     | 0.4  |
| Amurskaya      | 0.51 | Donskogo voysk | 0.39 |
| Primorskaya    | 0.48 | Dagestanskaya  | 0.39 |
| Astrahanskaya  | 0.48 | Novgorodskaya  | 0.35 |

Note: census figures likely to be substantially lower than actual share of adherents because of reluctance of Slavic converts to identify with non-Orthodox faith (Zhuk 2004).

**Table 2. Settler effects on literacy** 

| Literacy   | Model 1    |         |         | Model 2 |         |      |
|------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Variables  | Coeff.     | T       | Sig.    | Coeff.  | T       | Sig. |
|            | (stand. B) |         |         |         |         |      |
| Protestant | .227       | 2.087   | .043    |         |         |      |
| Russian    | .156       | 1.483   | .146    | .161    | 1.516   | .137 |
| Meshchane  | .369       | 3.282   | .002    | .416    | 3.952   | .000 |
| Outsiders  | .476       | 4.694   | .000    | .494    | 4.933   | .000 |
| Catholics  |            |         |         | .219    | 2.190   | .034 |
| R sq.      | .66        |         |         | .68     |         |      |
| Constant   |            | 3.579   | .001    |         | 3.414   | .001 |
| Female     |            | Model 3 |         |         | Model 4 |      |
| Literacy   |            |         |         |         |         |      |
| Variables  | Coeff.     | T       | Sig.    | Coeff.  | T       | Sig. |
|            | (stand. B) |         |         |         |         |      |
| Russian    | .146       | 1.335   | .189    | .162    | 1.393   | .171 |
| Meshchane  | .393       | 3.367   | .002    | .454    | 3.942   | .000 |
| Outsiders  | .399       | 3.799   | .000    | .403    | 3.681   | .001 |
| Protestant | .269       | 2.390   | .022    |         |         |      |
| Catholic   |            |         |         | .200    | 1.829   | .075 |
| R sq.      | .63        |         |         | .61     |         |      |
| Constant   |            | 114     | .910    |         | 590     | .559 |
| Russian    | Model 5    |         | Model 6 |         |         |      |
| literacy   |            |         |         |         |         |      |
| Variables  | Coeff.     | T       | Sig.    | Coeff.  | T       | Sig. |

|                  | (stand. B) |        |      |      |        |      |
|------------------|------------|--------|------|------|--------|------|
| Protestant       | .271       | 2.177  | .035 |      |        |      |
| Orthodox         | 411        | -3.013 | .004 | 401  | -2.715 | .010 |
| Outsiders        | .529       | 3.238  | .002 | .553 | 3.108  | .004 |
| Old<br>Believers | 083        | 583    | .563 | 020  | 138    | .891 |
| Peasants         | 477        | -2.999 | .005 | 472  | -2.618 | .013 |
| Catholics        |            |        |      | .219 | 1.626  | .112 |
| R sq.            | .44        |        |      | .42  |        |      |
| Constant         |            | 8.049  | .000 |      | 7.039  | .000 |

#### 5. Discussion

The statistical analysis shows how European settlers in the imperial periphery, while politically marginal, were instrumental in building human capital through mass literacy. Furthermore, rather than being limited to settlers themselves, there was also diffusion of grammar and numeracy as well as more formal schooling to non-European Orthodox Russian and other populations. The settlers were also instrumental in establishing the institutional foundations for the inter-temporal transmission and development of education through their *gubernii*-wide involvement in the setting up of schools. Brooks' work demonstrates how literacy in turn spurred the processes of social class mobility from peasant to the petty bourgeois, adding dynamism to the rural economy, while also facilitating movement away from villages to towns (Brooks, 1985).

Contrary to the initial hypothesis about the significance of the Protestant literacy tradition, only slight variations are observed between the Protestant and Catholic imprints on literacy. These results correspond to classic theorizing in the sociology of religion and recent empirical findings on the homogenizing education effects of interdenominational competition in post-Reformation Western and non-Western settings experiencing conversionary Protestant Christianity (Berger, 1969, Trejo, 2009, Woodberry, 2004). Protestants are however associated with somewhat higher levels of female literacy and literacy among Russians in this study.

The paucity of religion data complicates the making of assessments about the legacy of European settlement in present-day Russian regions. Because of massive Stalin-era population resettlement, ideally we would want to employ data on religious composition in the regions during the late communist and post-communist periods. These data could be useful for exploring the inter-generational transmission of the values and practice of educational attainment characteristic of settler populations despite the overall sovietised context; our evidence however is limited to anecdotal or case study accounts of communities in specific regions. The last communist census of 1989 did not have a question on religion due to official communist policy. Plans to incorporate a question on religious affiliation into the 2002 census had been abandoned ostensibly because of the separation of Church and state in Russia, but by some accounts due to sustained lobbying by the Russian Orthodox Church (Heleniak, 2006). The Church is concerned about the growing number of Russians converting to Western Christian denominations or more openly associating themselves with the Church of their ancestors, as is apparently the case with those Russian Germans who remain in the country. A study by the Keston Institute has sought to catalogue the

history and current practice of the various Christian Churches in Russian regions. One interesting finding of the study is that the Evangelical and Catholic Churches originally established by the German settlers in the Middle Volga and Siberian regions are increasingly attracting ethnic Russian believers from amongst the regional intelligentsias; in fact, the majority of parishes of these Churches are often comprised of ethnic Russians (Burdo and Filatov, 2009b, Burdo and Filatov, 2009a). Unfortunately, in what is likely a reflection of the methodological and political challenges of this undertaking in the present day Russian political context, no systematic data on the numerical strength of the various religious communities had been gathered. Surveys on religious adherence have been conducted by other scholars in isolated regions like Tula, Voronezh, Krasnodar, Nizhny Novgorod, which revealed that up to 3.5 percent of regional populations belong to non-Orthodox Christian Churches (Lunkin, 2008). According to another survey commissioned by the Presidential Plenipotentiary in the Volga District, Sergey Kirienko, in some regions of the district 15-32 percent of the population identified themselves as adherents of Western Christian Churches. <sup>4</sup> These data are only available for the Volga district however and therefore could not be employed in this study. Moreover, it is unclear what methodology was used to ascertain the number of adherents. The Russian scholar of religion Roman Lunkin has sought to more systematically ascertain the Christian composition of regional populations by estimating the number of Russian Ortohodox and non-Russian Orthodox Christian parishes and active parishioners in the regions (Lunkin, 2008). However, this is a highly imperfect measure as the numbers of parishioners are only rough estimates. In addition, Lunkin notes that the official figures for parishes registered with the Federal Registration Service are nearly

<sup>4</sup> http://ekg.metod.ru/indent/vved.html#1

half the number of the actual existing parishes. Unlike the Russian Orthodox Church, the Catholic and Protestant Churches tend to register the headquarters of the main parish, but not the other branch offices affiliated with it (Lunkin, 2008). The hitherto underutilised 1897 census therefore remains the most systematic source of religion data for anyone undertaking analysis of Russia's sub-national human capital variations in the imperial period.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Some scholars have also sought to estimate the regional share of Muslim populations by employing data on ethnicity (Heleniak 2006).

#### References

- Bayly, S. (1989). *Muslims and Christians in South Indian Society, 1700-1900.* New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Berger, P. L. (1969). The Social Reality of Religion. London: Faber and Faber.
- Bollen, K. A. and Jackman, R. W. (1985). 'Economic and Noneconomic Determinants of Political Democracy in the 1960s', *Research in Political Sociology*, 1, 27-48.
- Brandenburg, H. (1974). The Meek and the Mighty: The Emergence of the Evangelical Movement in Russia. London: Mowbrays.
- Brandes, D. (1994). 'Wolga und Schwarzmeerdeutsche im Vergleich'. In Dahlmann,
  D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., Wolga und Schwarzmeerdeutsche im Vergleich (pp. 29-46). Essen: Klartext.
- Brooks, J. (1985). When Russia Learned to Read. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bunce, V. (2005). 'The National Idea: Imperial Legacies and Post-Communist

  Pathways in Eastern Europe', *East European Politics and Societies*, 19, 406442.
- Bunce, V. (1999). 'Lessons of the First Postsocialist Decade', *East European Politics* and Societies, 13, 236-243.
- Burdo, M. and Filatov, S. (eds.) (2009a). *Atlas sovremennoy religioznoy zhizni Rossii*.

  Moscow: Letniy sad.

- Burdo, M. and Filatov, S. (eds.) (2009b). *Atlas sovremennoy religioznoy zhizni Rossii*.

  Moscow: Letniy sad.
- Cherkazyanova, I. V. (1999). 'Dorevolyutsionnaya mennonitskaya shkola Sibiri', Filologicheskiy ezhegodnik Omskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta,
- Dahlmann, D. (1996). 'Die Deutschen an der Wolga von der Ansiedlung 1764 bis zum Ausbruch des Ersten Weltkrieges'. In Rothe, H., *Die Deutschen an der Wolga von der Ansiedlung 1764 bis zum Ausbruch des Ersten Weltkrieges* (pp. Koeln.
- Darden, K. and Grzymala-Busse, A. (2006). 'The Great Divide: Literacy, Nationalism, and the Communist Collapse', *World Politics*, 59, 83-115.
- Diamond, J. and Robinson, J. (2010). 'Afterword: Using Comparative Methods in Studies of Human History'. In Diamond, J. and Robinson, J., *Afterword: Using Comparative Methods in Studies of Human History* (pp. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Eisfeld, A. (1992). Die Russlanddeutschen. Munich.
- Elster, J., Offe, C. and Preuss, U. K. (1998). *Institutional Design in Post-communist Societies: Rebuilding the Ship at Sea.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Epp, G. K. (1994). 'Die deutschen Mennonitenkolonien an der Wolga'. In Dahlmann,
  D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., *Die deutschen Mennonitenkolonien an der Wolga*(pp. 282-303). Essen: Klartext.
- Fish, M. S. (1998). 'Democratization's Requisites: The Postcommunist Experience', *Post-Soviet Affairs*, 14, 212-247.
- Fish, M. S. (1999). 'Post-Communist Subversion: Social Science and Democratization in East Europe and Eurasia', *Slavic Review*, 58, 794-824.

- Fish, M. S. (2002). 'Islam and Authoritarianism', World Politics, 55, 4-37.
- Fleischhauer, I. (1986). Die Deutschen im Zarenreich. Stuttgart.
- Frykenberg, R. E. (2003). 'Christians in India: An Historical Overview of Their Complex Origins'. In Frykenberg, R. E., *Christians in India: An Historical Overview of Their Complex Origins* (pp. 33-61). London: Routledge Curzon.
- German, A. A. (1992). *Nemetskaya avtonomiya na volge*. Saratov: Saratov University Press.
- Hanson, S. E. (1995). 'The Leninist Legacy and Institutional Change', *Comparative Political Studies*, 28, 306-314.
- Heleniak, T. (2006). 'Regional Distribution of the Muslim Population of Russia', Eurasian Geography and Economics, 47, 426-448.
- Huntington, S. P. (1996). *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Hutton, J. H. (1933). Census of India, 1931. Delhi: Manager of Publications.
- Jowitt, K. (1992). *New World Disorder: The Leninist Extinction*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Kabuzan, V. M. (2003). Nemetskoyazychnoe naselenie v Rossiyskoy imperii i SSSR v XVII-XX vekakh (1719-1989). Moscow: RAN: Institut rossiyskoy istorii.
- Kahle, W. (1994). 'Zum Verhaeltnis von Kirche und Schule in den deutschen
  Siedlungen an der Wolga bis zum Ausbruch des Ersten Weltkrieges'. In
  Dahlmann, D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., Zum Verhaeltnis von Kirche und Schule in den deutschen Siedlungen an der Wolga bis zum Ausbruch des Ersten
  Weltkrieges (pp. 224-243). Essen: Klartext.
- Kappeler, A. (1994). 'Die deutsche Minderheit im Rahmen des russischen Vielvoelkerreiches'. In Dahlmann, D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., *Die deutsche*

- Minderheit im Rahmen des russischen Vielvoelkerreiches (pp. 14-28). Essen: Klartext.
- Keim, P. (2006). 'Die Wolgadeutschen von der Einwanderung bis zur Aufhebung des Kolonistenkontors', Grin Norderstedt.
- Kitschelt, H., Mansfedova, Z., Markowski, R. and Toka, G. (1999). *Post-Communist Party Systems: Competition, Representation, and Inter-Party Cooperation*.

  Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Koch, F. C. (1977). *The Volga Germans in Russia and the Americas, from 1763 to the Present*. London: The Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Kopstein, J. (2003). 'Review Article: Postcommunist Democracy: Legacies and Outcomes', *Comparative Politics*, 35, 231-250.
- Landes, D. S. (1998). The Wealth and Poverty of Nations: Why Some Are So Rich and Some So Poor. Little, Brown, and Company.
- Long, J. W. (1988). From Privileged to Dispossessed: The Volga Germans, 1860-1917. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Luchterhandt, O. (1994). 'Die Darstellung der Deutschen vor und nach der Aufhebung der Privilegien'. In Dahlmann, D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., *Die Darstellung der Deutschen vor und nach der Aufhebung der Privilegien* (pp. 98-114). Essen: Klartext.
- Lunkin, R. N. (2008). "Russkie' regiony Rossii: Stepen' pravoslavnosti i politicheskie orientatsii',
- Montesquieu, B. D. (1949). The Spirit of the Laws. New York: Hafner Press.
- Nesdoly, S. J. (1986). *Among the Soviet Evangelicals*. Edinburgh: The Banner of Truth Trust.

- Neutatz, D. (1994). 'Deutsche Bauern in den Steppen Russlands: Die Wolga- und Schwarzmeerdeutschen von der Ansiedlung bis zur Deportation. Mit einem vergleichenden Blick auf die Donauschwaben'. In Schulz, W., Deutsche Bauern in den Steppen Russlands: Die Wolga- und Schwarzmeerdeutschen von der Ansiedlung bis zur Deportation. Mit einem vergleichenden Blick auf die Donauschwaben (pp. 129-148). Munich: Koehler & Amelang.
- Pearson, T. S. (1989). Russian Officialdom in Crisis: Autocracy and Local Self-Government, 1861-1900. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Peisakhin, L. (2010). 'Living Historical Legacies: The 'Why' and 'How' of Institutional Persistence, The Case of Ukraine', *Midwestern Political Science Association Annual Conference* Chicago.
- Pleve, I. R. (1998). Nemetskie kolonii na Volge vo vtoroy polovine XVIII veka.

  Moscow: Gotika.
- Pop-Eleches, G. (2007). 'Historical Legacies and Post-Communist regime Change', *The Journal of Politics*, 69, 908-926.
- Popkova, N. V. (2007). 'Nachal'noe obrazovanie v Saratovskoy gubernii pri
  Aleksandre III'. In Pleve, I. R., Kochukov, S. A., Popkova, N. V. and Udalov,
  S. V., *Nachal'noe obrazovanie v Saratovskoy gubernii pri Aleksandre III* (pp. 142-151). Saratov: Nauchnaya kniga.
- Putnam, R. (1993). *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*.

  Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Remington, T. F. (2009). 'Democracy and Inequality in the Postcommunist

  Transition', *Midwestern Political Science Association Conference* Chicago,

  Illinois.

- Remington, T. F. (2010). 'Accounting for Regime Differences in the Russian Regions:

  Historical and Structural Influences', *Annual Meeting of the Midwestern*Political Science Association Chicago, Illinois.
- Schippan, M. and Striegnitz, S. (1992). Wolgadeutsche: Gischichte und Gegenwart.

  Berlin.
- Shaydurov, V. N. (2002). 'Rossiyskie nemtsy na Altae (po materialam Vserossiyskoy sel'skokhozyaystvennoy i pozemel'noy perepisi 1917 g.)'. In Razgon, V. N., Silina, I. G. and Khramkov, A. A., Rossiyskie nemtsy na Altae (po materialam Vserossiyskoy sel'skokhozyaystvennoy i pozemel'noy perepisi 1917 g.) (pp. Barnaul: RGNF.
- Shaydurov, V. N. (2005). 'Evropeyskie diaspory v Zapadnoy Sibiri: chislennost', razmeshenie i khozyaystvennye zanyatiya (po materialam Pervoy vseobshey perepisi naseleniya 1987 g.)'. In Goncharov, Y. M., *Evropeyskie diaspory v Zapadnoy Sibiri: chislennost', razmeshenie i khozyaystvennye zanyatiya (po materialam Pervoy vseobshey perepisi naseleniya 1987 g.)* (pp. Barnaul: Az Buka.
- Shramkova, O. V. (2007). 'Nemetskie uchebnye zavedeniya v Saratove'. In Pleve, I. R., Kochukov, S. A., Popkova, N. V. and Udalov, S. V., *Nemetskie uchebnye zavedeniya v Saratove* (pp. 133-142). Saratov: Nauchnaya kniga.
- Smirnova, O. (2006). 'Ne tol'ko nablyudali, no i pomogali', *Official website of Tomsk municipality* Tomsk.
- Snyder, R. (2001). 'Scaling Down: The Subnational Comparative Method', *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 36, 93-110.
- Stricker, G. (1994). 'Die Schulen der Wolgadeutschen in der zweiten Haelfte des 19.

  Jahrhunderts '. In Dahlmann, D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., *Die Schulen der*

- Wolgadeutschen in der zweiten Haelfte des 19. Jahrhunderts (pp. 244-266). Essen: Klartext.
- Trejo, G. (2009). 'Religious Competition and Ethnic Mobilization in Latin America:

  Why the Catholic Church Promotes Indigenous Movements in Mexico', *American Political Science Review*, 103, 323-342.
- Troynitskiy, N. A. (Ed.) (1905). Obschii svod po imperii rezul'tatov razrabotki dannykh pervoy vseobschey perepisi naseleniya, proizvedyonnoy 28 Yanvarya 1897 goda. St. Petersburg: Tipografiya N. L. Nyrkina.
- Tuchtenhagen, R. (1994). 'Die Protestantische Erneuerungsbewegungen unter den Deutschen an der Wolga 1860-1914'. In Dahlmann, D. and Tuchtenhagen, R., Die Protestantische Erneuerungsbewegungen unter den Deutschen an der Wolga 1860-1914 (pp. 267-281). Essen: Klartext.
- Turner, F. J. (1962). *The Frontier in American History*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Vesnina, S. G. (1995). 'Chastnye tovarishcheskie russko-nemetskie uchilishcha v nemetskikh koloniyakh povolzh'ya'. *Chastnye tovarishcheskie russko-nemetskie uchilishcha v nemetskikh koloniyakh povolzh'ya* (pp. Moscow.
- Weber, M., Baehr, P. and Wells, G. C. (2002). *The Protestant Ethic and the "Spirit"* of Capitalism and other Writings. New York: Penguin Books.
- Welzel, C., Inglehart, R. and Klingemann, H.-D. (2003). 'The Theory of Human Development: A Cross-Cultural Analysis', *European Journal of Political Research*, 42, 341-379.
- Wiebe, P. P. (2007). Nemetskie kolonii v Sibiri. Omsk: Nauka.

- Woodberry, R. D. (2004). 'The Shadow of Empire: Christian Missions, Colonial Policy, and Democracy in Postcolonial Societies', *Department of Sociology*Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina.
- Zhuk, S. I. (2004). Russia's Lost Reformation: Peasants, Millennialism, and Radical Sects in Southern Russia and Ukraine, 1830-1917. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson Center Press.