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Why Do Female Sex Workers in Indonesia Not Use Condoms: A Mixed Methods Study of the Heterogeneous Contexts of Condom Use

Dewi Ismajani Puradiredja, Ernestina Coast and Rebecca Sear
London School of Economics and Political Science, UK

Introduction
Unprotected heterosexual transactional sex is one of the major drivers of the HIV epidemic in Indonesia. Indonesia’s efforts have focused on increasing AIDS awareness and access to male condoms among sub-populations at high risk of sexually transmitted HIV infection, such as female sex workers (FSWs). However, second-generation HIV surveillance has shown that even if Indonesian FSWs are aware of HIV/AIDS and the benefits of using condoms, the majority continue to use condoms inconsistently, if at all (BSS 1996-2000; BSS 2001-2005) (1). While survey data are available on HIV/STI prevalence and awareness, levels of condom use and some basic socio-demographic indicators among urban FSWs, little is known about the context of sex work and its interplay with condom (non-)use among sub-groups of Indonesian FSWs, particularly rural FSWs.

This study uses a comparative rural-urban research design and a mixed methods approach to collect and analyse qualitative and quantitative data related to condom use in the context of transactional sex by FSWs in Indonesia. Firstly, this study identifies which factors inhibit condom use with clients among FSWs with different background and individual characteristics, and sex work settings. Secondly, it investigates the ways in which the different sex work settings and individual characteristics interrelate with condom (non-)use by FSWs. A novel three-staged purposive sampling technique enabled the inclusion of notoriously under-researched sub-populations, such as rural FSWs and FSWs in urban slum areas with high rates of crime and violence. Reasons for condom non-use are compared between the different study populations.

Methods
Study sites
The two primary research sites, the Capital District of Jakarta and the District of Indramayu in the West of Java, were selected according to the intensity of transactional sex work activity and to represent two different geographical contexts, one urban and one rural. The sites were selected with reference to existing research and secondary data from national HIV/AIDS surveillance, and

in consultation with key informants at local research institutes and non-governmental organisations (NGOs).

The study populations
The study populations were selected to represent, to the extent possible, the following target groups and their respective sex work contexts: 1) direct FSWs and 2) indirect FSWs (2), differentiated by venue and non-venue based sex work, by socio-economic status (i.e. low, middle, and high income scale) and by rural and urban geographical location. Particular priority was being given to include sub-groups in sites, which have thus far been mostly excluded from national surveillance and existing research, such as rural FSWs.

Sample size and sampling strategy
The total survey sample (n=310) includes 101 urban indirect FSWs, 83 urban direct FSWs, and 126 rural FSWs. In the context of this study, the common problems associated with the conventional probabilistic sampling of hard-to-reach populations that engage in illegal or illicit behaviours, such as difficulties in constructing a reliable sampling frame, were addressed by purposively selecting the study sample in three stages. The first stage involved formative mapping exercises with reference to maps and listings from secondary sources, in order to identify and confirm sites where different types of FSWs solicit and to become familiar with their work settings. The second stage involved the purposive selection of locations during pre-set time intervals and the random selection of survey respondents from each time-location unit. Selection criteria included: type of sex work setting and socio-economic classifications. The third stage involved the purposive selection of the qualitative sub-sample (n=12) from the survey sample following the inductive principles of Grounded Theory. The objective was to sample for heterogeneity, and thus respondents were chosen to maximise the range of perspectives investigated in the study. Selection criteria included: type of sex work setting, socio-economic background characteristics, pre-existing HIV/AIDS and condom awareness, and consent to having the interview recorded.

Data
The self-reported quantitative data collected include knowledge of HIV/AIDS and STIs and means of their prevention, reproductive and (transactional) sexual behaviour and condom use, and a social-cognitive health-specific self-efficacy measure tailored to condom use by FSWs with their clients. In addition, comprehensive data were collected on respondents' demographic and socio-economic characteristics. Qualitative data were collected on the type of sex work setting,

---

2 Direct FSWs: Women who openly operate as commercial sex workers, such as in red light districts, brothels, street or rice fields. Indirect FSWs: Women whose involvement in commercial sex work is disguised and who are often officially employed in a number of identifiable occupations, such as in massage parlours, karaoke bars or spas.
including work organisation, atmosphere, and social relationships; general and HIV-related risk perceptions and prevention; attitudes towards condoms and their use; and situational accounts of condom use negotiation by FSWs with their clients. Inhibiting reasons for condom use by FSWs with their clients were explored by accounting for respondents’ HIV/AIDS awareness; their demographic and socio-economic background characteristics; variations in sex work setting, including social relationships; and situational, psychosocial and personal factors.

Analyses
Descriptive statistics will be presented to establish a demographic and socio-economic profile of the sub-groups under comparison, and their respective levels of HIV/AIDS awareness and frequency of condom use with their clients. Logistic regression modeling will be used to investigate the significance of association between HIV-related sexual behaviours, demographic, socio-economic and psychosocial factors and the binary outcome variable condom use/non-use, before and after controlling for HIV/AIDS awareness. In addition, using NUD*IST software, a comparative qualitative data analysis of contexts, and the properties of condom use and its inhibitors among all in-depth interview respondents, and focus coding of the emerging themes – in what ways different work settings interrelate with condom non-use among FSWs with different background characteristics and personalities – will be presented.

Key findings and contributions
Findings suggest that compared with urban indirect venue-based FSWs, rural and urban direct non-venue and brothel-based FSWs are less likely to be aware of HIV/AIDS and to use condoms. Their rationality in relation to condom use is primarily informed by economic pressure, gender power relationships, social marginalisation and work settings that are more prone to the risks of violence and harassment. Yet, HIV/AIDS and condom awareness by and of themselves are not guarantors for consistent condom use. While urban indirect venue-based FSWs reported higher levels of HIV/AIDS awareness and condom use, their ability to consistently use condoms with clients shows to be obstructed by factors, such as an unsupportive sex workplace management, type and pricing of transactional sex services, off-work-site transactions, and familiarity with clients. In addition, among all groups under comparison condoms are far more likely to be used during vaginal sex with clients as opposed to oral and anal sex. HIV prevention/intervention programmes have to account for the heterogeneity of FSWs and the complex interplay between their individual agency and their work contexts in order to effectively address their differing needs. In methodological terms, this study provides an innovative strategy for the sampling of a hard-to-reach population, and demonstrates the importance of a mixed methods approach when undertaking a differentiated and complete socio-demographic description of, and explanation for, condom (non-) use by female sex workers with their clients.
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