Manufacturer revenue on inhalers after expiration of primary patents, 2000-2021

William B. Feldman, MD, DPhil, MPH¹ Sean Tu, JD, PhD² Rasha Alhiary, PharmD³ Aaron S. Kesselheim, MD, JD, MPH¹ Olivier J. Wouters, PhD⁴

¹ Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

² West Virginia University College of Law, Morgantown

³ University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

⁴ Department of Health Policy, London School of Economics and Political Science, London, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Dr. Feldman, Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 1620 Tremont Street, Boston MA 02120, 617-278-0930, wbfeldman@bwh.harvard.edu

Date of revision: September 29, 2022

Word count: 649

Inhalers remain the cornerstone therapy for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Over the past several decades, brand-name manufacturers have continued to sell most inhalers at high prices without the threat of direct generic competition. They have arranged for long periods of market exclusivity by obtaining patents not just on the active ingredients ("primary patents") but also on peripheral aspects of these products such as the propellants and delivery devices ("secondary patents"), and by shifting active ingredients to different devices ("device hops").^{1, 2} Delays in generic competition have reduced patient access and led to unnecessary health care spending.^{2, 3} To better understand the financial value of patents to inhaler manufacturers, we quantified the revenue earned on all brand-name inhalers approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) from 2000-2021 and compared earnings before and after expiration of primary patents on these products.

Methods

We identified patents on FDA-approved inhalers for the treatment of asthma and COPD using the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (Orange Book). We extracted the claims of each patent from LexisNexis Total Patent One and Google Patents to determine whether patents covered the active ingredients or other aspects of the product in question. Data on sales revenue (net of rebates) earned in the US were obtained from 10-K filings to the Securities and Exchange Commission and company annual reports. Individual products with the same active ingredients marketed by a single manufacturer were classified as the same inhaler line. Because manufacturers reported aggregated revenue on inhaler lines—e.g., on Advair (fluticasone-salmeterol) rather than providing a breakdown for Advair Diskus and Advair HFA—we focused on inhaler lines as the primary unit of analysis. Within each inhaler line, we recorded the number of device hops (eMethods). In years when data on US revenue were missing, imputations were performed (eMethods). The main analysis compared revenue earned when primary patents were active vs. revenue earned after primary patent expiration. In a sensitivity analysis, we quantified revenue on products with only active secondary patents that were free from generic competition (eMethods). Revenue was adjusted for inflation to 2021 dollars using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. Analyses were performed in Excel version 16 (Microsoft).

Results

The FDA approved 39 brand-name inhalers across 32 inhaler lines from 2000 to 2021 (Table). These products were linked to 18 primary patents and 239 secondary patents.

Revenue data were available for 21 inhaler lines, which represented more than 90% of the US market based on a prior analysis of Medicare Part D spending.⁴ Manufacturers earned \$179.3 billion on inhalers during the study period: \$67.8 billion (38%) when primary patents were active, \$110.8 billion (62%) after primary patents had expired but when secondary patents were active, and \$614 million (<1%) after all patents had expired (Figure). Advair (fluticasone-salmeterol) had the highest revenue at \$68.8 billion (38% earned before primary patent expiration and 62% after) followed by Spiriva (tiotropium) at \$31.0 billion (85% earned before primary patent expiration and 15% after). Ninety-eight percent of the \$110.5 billion earned by manufacturers on inhaler lines that were protected exclusively by secondary patents accrued during periods when these products faced no generic competition.

Discussion

Manufacturers of brand-name inhalers listed many more secondary patents than primary patents with the FDA from 2000-2021 and earned substantially more revenue on inhalers after active ingredients went off-patent compared to revenue generated when primary patents remained active. The analysis was limited by missing revenue data on a subset of inhalers, though these inhalers represented a small fraction of the overall market.

The current patent and regulatory system rewards minor changes to the delivery systems of existing molecules, diverting incentives for investments in new therapeutic breakthroughs.² Regulators and lawmakers have begun to scrutinize the patenting practices of drug-device combinations.^{5, 6} Without substantial reform, patients and payers may continue spending large sums on inhaled products with active ingredients developed decades ago.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Feldman had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. This work was funded by the Commonwealth Fund. The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Drs. Feldman and Kesselheim receive grant support from Arnold Ventures. Dr. Tu receives research support from West Virginia University's Hodges Research Grant. Outside the scope of the work, Dr. Feldman serves as a consultant for Alosa Health and as an expert witness in litigation against inhaler manufacturers. Dr. Feldman also served as a consultant to Aetion and received an honorarium for a presentation to Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts. Dr. Kesselheim reports serving as an expert witness in litigation against Gilead relating to tenofovir-containing products.

Table: Expiration of key patents on FDA-approved inhalers, 2000-2021

| • | • • | | R | Regulatory event | Revenue | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Inhaler line | Active ingredient | Products per inhaler line ^a | Year of first approval in line ^a | Expiration of primary patents ^c | Expiration of secondary patents ^c | Revenue earned with primary patents active (Billions, \$) | Revenue earned with only secondary patents active (Billions, \$) | Revenue earned after all patents have expired (Billions, \$) | Total revenue (Billions, \$) |
| ICS | | | | | | | | | |
| Qvar | beclomethasone | 2 | 09/15/2000 | Pre-approval | 01/25/2039 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Pulmicort | budesonide | 1 ^b | 07/12/2006 | Pre-approval | 05/08/2018 | 0 | 10.9 | 0.3 | 11.2 |
| Alvesco | ciclesonide | 1 | 01/10/2008 | 01/09/2013 | 02/01/2028 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Aerospan | flunisolide | 1 | 01/27/2006 | Pre-approval | 07/07/2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ArmonAir ^d | fluticasone | 1 | 01/27/2017 | Pre-approval | 08/16/2036 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Arnuity ^d | fluticasone | 1 | 08/20/2014 | 08/03/2021 | 10/11/2030 | 0.3 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Flovent ^d | fluticasone | 2 ^b | 09/29/2000 | 05/14/2004 | 08/26/2026 | 3.9 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| Asmanex | mometasone | 2 | 04/25/2014 | Pre-approval | 03/17/2018 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| LABA | | | | | | | | | |
| Foradil | formoterol | 2 | 02/16/2001 | Pre-approval | 11/28/2020 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Arcapta | indacaterol | 1 | 07/01/2011 | 10/10/2020 | 10/11/2028 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Striverdi | olodaterol | 1 | 07/31/2014 | 05/12/2025 | 10/16/2030 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| LAMA | | | | | | | | | |
| Tudorza | aclidinium | 1 | 07/23/2012 | 02/10/2025 | 03/13/2029 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Seebri | glycopyrrolate | 1 | 10/29/2015 | Pre-approval | 10/20/2028 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Spiriva | tiotropium | 2 | 01/30/2004 | 07/30/2018 | 04/16/2031 | 25.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 30.5 |
| Incruse | umeclidinium | 1 | 04/30/2014 | 12/18/2027 | 10/11/2030 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ICS- LABA | | | | | | | | | |
| Symbicort | budesonide- formoterol | 1 | 07/21/2006 | Pre-approval | 10/07/2029 | 0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 15.6 |
| Advair ^d | fluticasone- salmeterol | 2 | 08/24/2000 | 08/12/2008 | 08/26/2026 | 26.1 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 68.2 |
| AirDuo ^d | fluticasone- salmeterol | 1 | 01/27/2017 | Pre-approval | 08/16/2036 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Breo ^d | fluticasone- vilanterol | 1 | 05/10/2013 | 05/21/2025 | 10/11/2030 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Dulera | mometasone- formoterol | 1 | 06/22/2010 | Pre-approval | 11/21/2020 | 0 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 3.3 |
| LAMA- LABA | | | | | | | | | |
| Duaklir | aclidinium- formoterol | 1 | 06/21/2002 | 02/10/2025 | 03/13/2029 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 |
| Bevespi | glycopyrrolate- formoterol | 1 | 04/25/2016 | Pre-approval | 03/17/2031 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Utibron | glycopyrrolate- indacaterol | 1 | 10/29/2015 | 02/25/2025 | 10/20/2028 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Stiolto | tiotropium- olodaterol | 1 | 05/21/2015 | 05/12/2025 | 10/16/2030 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Anoro | umeclidinium- vilanterol | 1 | 12/18/2013 | 12/18/2027 | 11/29/2030 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>ICS-</u> LAMA- LABA | | | | | | | | | |
| Breztri Aerosphere | budesonide- glycopyrrolate- formoterol | 1 | 07/23/2020 | Pre-approval | 03/17/2031 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Trelegy ^d | fluticasone- umeclidinium- vilanterol | 1 | 09/18/2017 | 12/18/2027 | 11/29/2030 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>SABA</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| ProAir | albuterol | 2 | 10/29/2004 | Pre-approval | 08/16/2036 | 0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| Ventolin | albuterol | 1 ^b | 04/19/2001 | Pre-approval | 08/26/2026 | 0 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 7.4 |
| Xopenex | levalbuterol | 1 | 03/11/2005 | Pre-approval | 10/08/2024 | 0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| SAMA | | | | | | | | | |
| Atrovent | ipratropium | 1 ^b | 11/27/2004 | Pre-approval | 01/17/2030 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>SAMA-</u> SABA | | | | | | | | | |
| Combivent | albuterol- ipratropium | 1 ^b | 12/31/2020 | Pre-approval | 10/16/2030 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

ICS indicates Inhaled corticosteroid; LABA, long-acting beta agonist; LAMA, long-acting muscarinic antagonist; SABA, short-acting beta agonist; SAMA, short-acting muscarinic antagonist

a. Inhalers with different strengths or device-types under the same New Drug Application (NDA) were considered a single product. b. All patents listed in the Orange Book through the 2021 edition were analyzed when determining the expiration dates of last-to-expire patents. Expiration dates reflected 6-month pediatric extensions, where relevant. Expiration dates of primary patents were considered "pre-approval" when no patents on the active ingredients in a given inhaler line were listed in the Orange Book during the study period. In some cases, such patents had been listed on earlier products in the Orange Book but had subsequently expired before the study period; in other cases (for example, older products like glycopyrrolate, which was first approved in 1961), no patents on the active ingredients were listed in the Orange Book. c. Some of the revenue during the study period was generated on products that were approved in the inhaler line before 2000, including products with ozone-depleting chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) that were phased out by the FDA beginning in 2009. The five inhaler lines in the cohort with one or more products approved before 2000 were Flovent (a CFC-containing version was approved in 1996; Flovent Rotadisk was approved in 1997), Ventolin (a CFC-containing version was approved in 1981; Ventolin Rotahaler was approved in 1988), Pulmicort (Pulmicort Turbuhaler was approved in 1997), Atrovent (a CFC-containing version was approved in 1986), and Combivent (a CFC-containing version was approved in 1996). Inhaler lines with only products approved before 2000 were excluded from the analysis.

d. Advair, AirDuo, ArmonAir, and Flovent all contain fluticasone propionate, while Arnuity, Breo, and Trelegy contain the longer-acting fluticasone furoate.

References

- Beall RF, Kesselheim AS. Tertiary Patenting on Drug-Device Combination Products in the United States. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018;36(2):142-145.
- Feldman WB, Bloomfield D, Beall RF, Kesselheim AS. Patents And Regulatory Exclusivities On Inhalers For Asthma And COPD, 1986-2020. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2022;41(6):787-796.
- Patel B, Mayne P, Patri T, et al. Out-of-Pocket Costs and Prescription Filling Behavior of Commercially Insured Individuals With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. JAMA Health Forum. 2022;3(5):e221167.
- Feldman WB, Gagne JJ, Kesselheim AS. Trends in Medicare Part D Inhaler Spending: 2012-2018. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18(3):548-550.
- 5. 116th Congress. H.R. 1503. Orange Book Transparency Act of 2020. Available online at: https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/housebill/1503#:~:text=This%20bill%20modifies%20requirements%20for,period%20that%20 has%20not%20concluded. Accessed July 18, 2022.
- United States Patent and Trademark Office. Drug Pricing Initiatives. Available online at: https://www.uspto.gov/initiatives/drug-pricing-initiatives. Accessed July 18, 2022.