The 2022 Report of The *Lancet* Countdown on Health and Climate Change: health at the mercy of fossil fuels

Marina Romanello, Claudia Di Napoli, Paul Drummond, Carole Green, Harry Kennard, Pete Lampard, Daniel Scamman, Nigel Arnell, Sonja Ayeb-Karlsson, Lea Berrang Ford, Kristine Belesova, Kathryn Bowen, Wenjia Cai, Max Callaghan, Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum, Jonathan Chambers, Kim R. van Daalen, Carole Dalin, Niheer Dasandi, Shouro Dasgupta, Michael Davies, Paula Dominguez-Salas, Robert Dubrow, Kristie L. Ebi, Matthew Eckelman, Paul Ekins, Luis E. Escobar, Lucien Georgeson, Hilary Graham, Samuel H. Gunther, Ian Hamilton, Yun Hang, Risto Hänninen, Stella Hartinger, Kehan He, Jeremy Hess, Shih-Che Hsu, Slava Jankin, Louis Jamart, Ollie Jay, Ilan Kelman, Gregor Kiesewetter, Patrick Kinney, Tord Kjellstrom, Dominic Kniveton, Jason K.W. Lee, Bruno Lemke, Yang Liu, Zhao Liu, Melissa Lott, Martin Lotto Batista, Rachel Lowe, Frances MacGuire, Sewe Maquins Odhiambo, Jaime Martinez-Urtaza, Mark Maslin, Lucy McAllister, Alice McGushin, Celia McMichael, Zhifu Mi, James Milner, Kelton Minor, Jan C. Minx, Nahid Mohajeri, Maziar Moradi-Lakeh, Karyn Morrissey, Simon Munzert, Kris A. Murray, Tara Neville, Maria Nilsson, Nick Obradovich, Megan B. O'Hare, Tadj Oreszczyn, Matthias Otto, Fereidoon Owfi, Olivia Pearman, Mahnaz Rabbaniha, Elizabeth J. Z. Robinson, Joacim Rocklöv, Renee N. Salas, Jan C. Semenza, Jodi D. Sherman, Liuhua Shi, Joy Shumake-Guillemot, Grant Silbert, Mikhail Sofiev, Marco Springmann, Jennifer Stowell, Meisam Tabatabaei, Jonathon Taylor, Joaquin Trinanes, Fabian Wagner, Paul Wilkinson, Matthew Winning, Marisol Yglesias-González, Shihui Zhang

Peng Gong^a, Hugh Montgomery^a, Anthony Costello^a

Total word count: 14217

List of Abbreviations

A&RCC – Adaptation & Resilience to Climate Change

AC – Air Conditioning

CO₂ – Carbon Dioxide

CO₂e – Carbon Dioxide Equivalent

COP – Conference of the Parties

D&A – Detection and Attribution

EE MRIO – Environmentally-Extended Multi-Region Input-Output

EJ – Exajoule

EM-DAT – Emergency Events Database

ERA – European Research Area

ETS – Emissions Trading System

EU – European Union

EU28 – 28 European Union Member States

FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

GBD – Global Burden of Disease

GDP – Gross Domestic Product

GHG – Greenhouse Gas

GNI – Gross National Income

GtCO₂ – Gigatons of Carbon Dioxide

GW – Gigawatt

GWP – Gross World Product

HIC – High Income Countries

IEA – International Energy Agency

IHR – International Health Regulations

IPC – Infection Prevention and Control

IPCC - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IRENA - International Renewable Energy Agency

LMICs – Low- and Middle-Income Countries

LPG – Liquefied Petroleum Gas

Mt – Metric Megaton

MtCO₂e – Metric Megatons of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent

MODIS – Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer

NAP – National Adaptation Plan

NASA – National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NDCs - Nationally Determined Contributions

NHS – National Health Service

NO_x – Nitrogen Oxide

NDVI – Normalised Difference Vegetation Index

OECD – Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

PM_{2.5} – Fine Particulate Matter

PV – Photovoltaic

SDG – Sustainable Development Goal

- SDU Sustainable Development Unit
- SSS Sea Surface Salinity
- SST Sea Surface Temperature
- tCO₂ Tons of Carbon Dioxide
- tCO2/TJ Total Carbon Dioxide per Terajoule
- TJ Terajoule
- **TPES** Total Primary Energy Supply
- TWh Terawatt Hours
- UN United Nations
- UNFCCC United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
- UNGA United Nations General Assembly
- UNGD United Nations General Debate
- US\$ 2021 United States Dollars (unless clarified in the text)
- WHO World Health Organization
- WMO World Meteorological Organization

1	Table of Contents	
2	List of Abbreviations	2
3	Table of Panels	
4 5	Executive Summary The Deteriorating Health Profile of a World Facing Compounding Crises	
6	A Debilitated First Line of Defence	
7	Health at the Mercy of Fossil Fuel Ambitions	
8	A Holistic Response to Secure a Healthy Future	. 15
9	Glimmers of Hope	. 16
10	A Call to Action	. 17
11	Introduction	
12 13	Section 1: Health Hazards, Exposures, and Impacts 1.1 Health and Heat	
14	Indicator 1.1.1: Exposure to Warming	
15	Indicator 1.1.2: Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves	
16	Indicator 1.1.3: Heat and Physical Activity	. 26
17	Indicator 1.1.4: Change in Labour Capacity	. 27
18	Indicator 1.1.5: Heat-Related Mortality	. 28
19	1.2 Health and Extreme Weather Events	. 29
20	Indicator 1.2.1: Wildfires	. 32
21	Indicator 1.2.2: Drought	. 33
22	Indicator 1.2.3: Extreme Weather and Sentiment	. 34
23	Indicator 1.3 Climate Suitability for Infectious Disease Transmission	. 36
24	Indicator 1.4 Food Security and Undernutrition	. 40
25	Conclusion	. 44
26	Section 2: Adaptation, Planning, and Resilience for Health	. 46
27	2.1: Assessment and Planning of Health Adaptation	. 46
28	Indicator 2.1.1: National Assessments of Climate Change Impacts, Vulnerability	and
29	Adaptation for Health	. 47
		4

30	Indicator 2.1.2: National Adaptation Plans for Health
31	Indicator 2.1.3: City-level Climate Change Risk Assessments
32	2.2: Enabling Conditions, Adaptation Delivery and Implementation
33	Indicator 2.2.1: Climate Information for Health
34	Indicator 2.2.2: Air Conditioning: Benefits and Harms
35	Indicator 2.2.3: Urban Green Space50
36	Indicator 2.2.4: Health Adaptation-Related Funding53
37	Indicator 2.2.5: Detection, Preparedness and Response to Health Emergencies
38	2.3: Vulnerabilities, Health Risk and Resilience to Climate Change
39	Indicator 2.3.1: Vulnerability to Mosquito-Borne Diseases
40	Indicator 2.3.2: Lethality of Extreme Weather Events
41	Indicator 2.3.3: Migration, Displacement, and Rising Sea Levels
42	Conclusion
43 44	Section 3: Mitigation Actions and Health Co-Benefits
45	Indicator 3.2: Clean Household Energy61
46	Indicator 3.3: Mortality from Ambient Air Pollution by Sector
47	Indicator 3.4: Sustainable and Healthy Road Transport
48	3.5: Food, Agriculture, and Health64
49	Indicator 3.5.1: Emissions From Agricultural Production and Consumption
50	Indicator 3.5.2: Diet and Health Co-Benefits
51	Indicator 3.6: Healthcare Sector Emissions67
52	Conclusion
53 54	Section 4: Economics and Finance71 4.1 The Economic Impact of Climate Change and its Mitigation71
55	Indicator 4.1.1: Economic Losses due to Climate-Related Extreme Events
56	Indicator 4.1.2: Costs of Heat-Related Mortality72

57	Indicator 4.1.3: Loss of Earnings from Heat-Related Labour Capacity Reduction		
58	Indicator 4.1.4: Costs of the Health Impacts of Air Pollution		
59	4.2 The Economics of the Transition to Net Zero-Carbon Economies		
60	Indicator 4.2.1: Clean Energy Investment76		
61	Indicator 4.2.2: Employment in Low-Carbon and High-Carbon Industries		
62	Indicator 4.2.3: Funds Divested from Fossil Fuels77		
63	Indicator 4.2.4: Net Value of Fossil Fuel Subsidies and Carbon Prices		
64	Indicator 4.2.5: Production- and Consumption-Based of CO ₂ and PM _{2.5} Emissions		
65	Indicator 4.2.6: Compatibility of Fossil Fuel Company Strategies with the Paris Agreement		
66			
67	Conclusion		
68 69	Section 5: Public and Political Engagement		
70	Indicator 5.2 Individual Engagement in Health and Climate Change		
71	Indicator 5.3: Scientific Engagement in Health and Climate Change		
72	Indicator 5.4: Government Engagement in Health and Climate Change		
73	Indicator 5.5: Corporate Sector Engagement in Health and Climate change		
74	Conclusion		
75 76 77 78	Conclusion: The 2022 Report of the <i>Lancet</i> Countdown93 References		

79 Table of Figures

80	Figure 1: Comparison of change in heatwave days relative to 1986–2005 baseline (10-year rolling
81	mean) between global mean for land, mean weighted by infant population, and mean weighted
82	by over-65 population
83	Figure 2: Potential labour lost due to heat-related factors in each sector, assuming all work is
84	undertaken in the sun. Low HDI (A), medium HDI (B), high HDI (C), and very high HDI (D) groups
85	(2019 HDI country group). HDI=human development index
86	Figure 3: Population-weighted mean changes in extremely high and very high fire danger days in
87	2018-2021 compared with 2001-2004. Large urban areas with population density \geq 400
88	persons/km ² are excluded
89	Figure 4: Change in climate suitability for infectious diseases. Thin lines represent the annual
90	change. Thick lines represent the trend since 1951 (for malaria), 1951 (for dengue), 1982 (for
91	Vibrio bacteria), and 2003 (for Vibrio cholerae). HDI=human development index
92	Figure 5: Change in the percentage of people reporting moderate to severe food insecurity due
93	to heatwave days (percentage point change) occurring during four major crop (maize, rice,
94	sorghum, and wheat) growing seasons 41
95	Figure 6: Level of urban greenness in urban centres with more than 500,000 inhabitants in 2021.
96	The numbers in brackets represent the population-weighted NDVI level
97	Figure 7: Greenhouse gas emissions from the global energy system. Panel A: Global CO $_2$ emissions
98	from fossil fuel usage. Preliminary and modelled values shown for years 2020 and 2021
99	respectively. Panel B: Global CO ₂ emissions from the use of coal

100	Figure 8: Percentage of the rural and urban population with primary reliance on clean fuels for
101	cooking, by HDI country group
102	Figure 9: Mortality attributable to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ exposure by region, sector, and source fuel. 63
103	Figure 10: Emissions of greenhouse gases on farms associated with food consumption
104	(production and net imports) per person by HDI level
105	Figure 11: National per-capita greenhouse gas emissions from the healthcare sector against the
106	healthy life expectancy at birth in 2019, by WHO region. The point circle size is proportional to
107	country population kgCO $_2$ e=kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent
108	Figure 12: Monetized value of heat-related mortality (in terms of equivalence to the average
109	income) by HDI country groups from 2000 to 202173
110	Figure 13: Average potential loss of earnings per Human Development Index country group as a
111	result of potential labour loss due to heat exposure. Losses are presented as share of GDP and
112	sector of employment
113	Figure 14: Net carbon prices (left), net carbon revenues (centre), and net carbon revenue as a
114	share of current national health expenditure (right), across 86 countries in 2019, arranged by
115	Human Development Index country group: low (n=1), medium (n=7), high (n=24) and very high
116	(n=54). Boxes show the interquartile range (IQR), horizontal lines inside the boxes show the
117	medians, and the brackets represent the full range from minimum to maximum
118	Figure 15: CO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions emitted in the production of goods and services traded among
119	countries in 2020, grouped by Human Development Index

120	Figure 16: Compatibility of large oil and gas company production strategies with Paris 1.5°C
121	climate target. Percentages in brackets in the legend represent the average 2015-19 global
122	market share for each company
123	Figure 17: Newspaper coverage of health and climate change in 36 countries, 2007-2021 87
124	Figure 18: Number of scientific papers on health and climate change, with focus (impacts,
125	mitigation, adaptation) indicated, 1985-2021
126	Figure 19: Proportion of countries referring to health, climate change and the intersection
127	between the two in UN General Debates, 1970-2021
128	Table of Panels
129	Panel 1: Key findings of the 2022 report of the Lancet Countdown
130	Panel 2: Detection and attribution studies: Ascertaining the influence of climate change in health-
131	harming extreme events
132	Panel 3: Mental health and climate change
133	Panel 4: Climate change and food insecurity40
134	Panel 5: Heat adaptation strategies through sustainable low-energy cooling50
135	Panel 6: Healthcare, covid and climate change67
136	Panel 7: Financing the response to compounding crises82

138 Executive Summary

139

140 Compounding Health Crises in a Heating World

Publication of the 2022 report of the Lancet Countdown lands in a world confronting profound and concurrent systemic shocks. Countries and health systems continue to grapple with the health, social and economic devastation of the COVID-19 pandemic, while Russia's war on Ukraine and a persistent fossil fuel overdependence has plunged the world into a global energy and cost of living crisis. As these crises unfold, climate change rises unabated. Its worsening impacts are increasingly opening fissures in the foundations of human health and wellbeing, exacerbating the vulnerability of the world's populations.

148 In 2021-2022, as the COVID-19 pandemic continued to overwhelm health systems around the 149 world, extreme weather events caused devastation across every continent and added extra 150 pressure to health services, with the influence of climate change on many of them increasingly 151 understood and quantified through detection and attribution studies. Floods in Australia, Brazil, 152 China, Western Europe, Malaysia, South Africa, and South Sudan caused hundreds of deaths, 153 displaced hundreds of thousands of people, and caused billions of dollars in economic losses. 154 Wildfires ravaged Canada, the United States, Greece, Algeria, Italy, Spain, and Turkey; and record 155 temperatures were recorded in many countries, including Australia, Canada, India, Italy, Oman, 156 Turkey, Pakistan, and the United Kingdom.

Exposed to rapidly rising temperatures, vulnerable populations faced 3.7 billion more heatwave days in 2021 than annually in 1986-2005 (indicator 1.1.2), while heat-related deaths increased by some 68% between 2000-2004 and 2017-2021 (indicator 1.1.5) – a death toll that was significantly amplified by the confluence of the COVID-19 pandemic.

161 Simultaneously, the changing climate is affecting the spread of infectious diseases, putting 162 populations at increased risk of emerging diseases and co-epidemics. Coastal waters are 163 becoming increasingly suitable for the transmission of Vibrio pathogens; the number of months 164 suitable for malaria transmission rose by 31.3% and 13.8% in the highland areas of the Americas 165 and Africa, respectively, from 1951–1960 to 2012–2021; and the likelihood of dengue 166 transmission rose by 12% in this same period (indicator 1.3.1). Indeed, the coexistence of dengue 167 outbreaks with the COVID-19 pandemic led to aggravated pressure to health systems, 168 misdiagnosis, and difficulties in management of both diseases in many regions of South America, 169 Asia and Africa.

170 The impacts of climate change also translate to economic losses, increasing pressure on families 171 and economies already facing the synergistic challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic and of the 172 international energy and cost of living crises, and further undermining the socioeconomic 173 determinants that good health depends on. Heat exposure led to 470 billion potential labour 174 hours lost globally in 2021 (indicator 1.1.4), with income losses equivalent to 0.72% of the global 175 economic output, and rising to 5.6% of the gross domestic product in low Human Development 176 Index (HDI) countries, where workers are most vulnerable to financial shocks (indicator 4.1.3). In 177 addition, extreme weather events caused damage worth US\$253 billion in 2021, particularly 178 overburdening people in low HDI countries where essentially none of the losses were insured 179 (indicator 4.1.1).

Climate change is also affecting every pillar of food security, compounding the impacts of other coexisting crises. Rising temperatures threaten crop yields directly, with the growth seasons of maize 9 days shorter, and that of winter and spring 6 days shorter, in 2020 as compared to 1981-2010 (indicator 1.4). These effects add onto the rising impact of extreme weather on crops and supply chains, socioeconomic pressures, and the heightened risk of infectious diseases, to undermine food availability, access, stability, and utilisation. Indeed, new analysis suggests that extreme heat was associated with 98 million more people reporting moderate to severe food 11 insecurity in 103 countries in 2020, than annually in 1981-2010 (indicator 1.4). These impacts exacerbate the fragility of global food systems, acting in synergy with other concurrent crisis to reverse progress towards hunger eradication. Indeed, after remaining stable since 2015, 150 million more people were affected by hunger since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic and the associated economic impacts, while Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the energy crisis has affected international agricultural production and supply chains, increased the cost of living, and could lead to 13 million more people facing undernutrition in 2022 alone.

194 A Debilitated First Line of Defence

With the compounding health risks of climate change on the rise, world populations increasingly turn to health systems as their first line of defence. But precisely when they are most needed, the world finds global health systems grappling with the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and supply chain disruptions, and extreme weather events increasingly affect fail health system infrastructure.

Strengthening health system resilience is therefore critical to prevent a rapidly increasing loss of lives and suffering in a changing climate. However, only 48 out of 95 countries reported having assessed their climate change adaptation needs (indicator 2.1.1) and, even with COVID-19 experience, only 63% of countries reported high to very high implementation status for health emergency management in 2021 (indicator 2.2.4).

The lack of proactive adaptation is exposed in the response to extreme heat. Despite the local cooling and overall health benefits of urban greenspaces, only 27% of global urban centres were at least moderately green in 2021 (indicator 2.2.3), while the fraction of households with air conditioning increased by 66% from 2000 to 2020 – a maladaptive response that deepens the energy crisis and further increases urban heat, air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions.

As converging crises further threaten the world's life-supporting systems, rapid, decisive, andcoherent intersectoral action is primordial to protect human health.

212

213 Health at the Mercy of Fossil Fuel Ambitions

214 2022 marks the 30th anniversary of the signing of the UN Framework Convention on Climate 215 Change, in which countries agreed to prevent dangerous anthropogenic climate change, and its 216 deleterious effects on human health and welfare. However, little meaningful action has since 217 followed. The carbon intensity of the global energy system has decreased by less than 1% since 218 the UNFCCC was established, and global electricity generation is still dominated by fossil fuels, 219 with renewable energy contributing to only 8.2% (indicator 3.1). Meanwhile, the total energy 220 demand has risen by 59%, pushing energy-related emissions to a historical high in 2021. Current 221 policies put the world on track to a catastrophic 2.7°C by the end of the century, and even under 222 the commitments countries set in their recently-updated Nationally Determined Contributions 223 (NDCs), global emissions could be 17.5% above 1990 levels by 2030 - far from the 43% decrease 224 from current levels required to meet Paris Agreement goals and keep temperatures within the 225 limits of adaptation.

226 The current fossil fuel dependence is not only undermining global health through increased 227 climate change impacts, but also affected human health and wellbeing directly, by subjecting 228 households to volatile fossil fuel markets, frail supply chains, and geopolitical conflicts. As a 229 result, millions lack access to the energy needed to keep their homes at healthy temperatures, 230 preserve food and medication, and achieve the 7th sustainable development goal (SDG7). With 231 governments not providing sufficient support, access to clean energies has been particularly slow 232 in low HDI countries, and only 1.4% of their electricity came from modern renewables (mostly 233 wind and solar power) in 2020 (indicator 3.1). Around 60% of healthcare facilities in low and

234 middle-income countries still lack access to the reliable electricity needed to provide basic care. 235 Meanwhile, biomass accounts for as much as 31% of the energy consumed in the domestic sector 236 globally, mostly from traditional sources – a proportion that rises to 96% in low HDI countries 237 (indicator 3.2). The associated burden of disease is substantial, with the air in people's homes 238 exceeding the World Health Organization's guidelines for safe concentrations of small particulate 239 air pollution (PM_{2.5}) in 2020, by a staggering 30-fold on average in in 62 countries assessed 240 (indicator 3.2). After six years of improvement, the number of people without access to 241 electricity increased in 2020 as a result of the socioeconomic pressures of the COVID-19 242 pandemic. The current energy and cost of living crisis now threatens to further reverse progress 243 affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy, further undermining the towards 244 socioeconomic determinants of health.

245 In parallel, at a time when fossil fuel burning threatens global health and overburdens health 246 systems, and high energy prices deepen the cost of living crisis, oil and gas companies have 247 registered record profits, hampering efforts to shift to cleaner energies. Indeed, the current 248 production strategies of 15 of the world's largest oil and gas companies would generate 249 emissions that would exceed, by 37% in 2030 and 103% in 2040, their share of emissions 250 consistent with 1.5°C of global heating (indicator 4.2.6), continuing to undermine efforts to 251 protect the health of the world's population from climate change, and overburdening health 252 systems. Meanwhile, governments continue to favour fossil fuel production and consumption in 253 detriment of global health: 69 of 86 countries reviewed had net-negative carbon prices (i.e. 254 provided a net subsidy to fossil fuels), for a net total of US\$400 billion in 2019 alone, allocating 255 amounts often comparable or even exceeding their total health budgets (indicator 4.2.4). 256 Simultaneously, wealthier countries failed to mobilise the considerably lower sum of US\$100 257 billion annually by 2020 which was committed at the 2009 Copenhagen Accord to support climate 258 action in "developing countries", and climate efforts are being undercut by a profound lack of 259 funding (indicator 2.1.1), exposing the low prioritisation of a healthy, low-carbon future in the

global political agenda. Now, the impacts of climate change on global economies, together with
 the recession triggered by COVID-19 and worsened by geopolitical instability, may paradoxically
 further reduce the willingness of countries to allocate the funds needed to enable a just climate
 transition.

264 A Health-centred Response for a Thriving Future

265 The world is yet again at a pivotal moment. However, with countries facing concurrent crises, the implementation of long-term emissions-reduction policies risks been deflected or defeated by 266 267 challenges wrongly perceived as more immediate. Addressing each of the concurrent crises in 268 isolation risks alleviating one, while worsening another. For example, the response to COVID-19 269 has so far failed to deliver the green recovery the health community proposed, and is aggravating 270 climate change-related health risks: less than one third of US\$3.11 trillion allocated to COVID-19 271 economic recovery is likely to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or air pollution, with the net 272 effect likely to increase emissions. Further, the COVID-19 pandemic affected climate action at the 273 city level, and 30% of 798 cities reported that it COVID-19 reduced financing available for climate 274 action (indicator 2.1.3).

Now, as countries search for alternatives to Russian fossil fuels, many continue to favour fossil fuel burning with some even turning back to coal, and shifts in global energy supplies threaten to increase fossil fuel production. Even if implemented as a temporary transition, these fossil fuelcentred response could reverse progress on air quality improvement, push the world irreversibly off-track from meeting the commitments laid out in the Paris Agreement, and lock in a future of accelerated climate change, threatening human survival.

On the contrary, a health-centred response to the current crises would still provide the opportunity to deliver a low-carbon, resilient, healthy future, where world populations can not only survive, but also thrive. Such response will see countries promptly shifting away from fossil

284 fuels, reducing their dependence on frail international fossil fuel markets, and accelerating a just 285 transition to clean energy sources. Such response will reduce the likelihood of the most 286 catastrophic climate change impacts, while improving energy security, delivering a path for 287 economic recovery, and offering immediate health benefits. Improvements in air quality will help 288 prevent the 1.2 million deaths resulting from exposure to fossil fuel-derived ambient PM_{2.5} in 289 2020 alone (indicator 3.3), and a health-centred energy transition will enhance low-carbon travel 290 and increase urban green spaces, promoting physical activity, and improving physical and mental 291 health. Turning to the food sector, an accelerated transition to balanced and more plant-based 292 diets will not only help reduce the 55% of agricultural sector emissions coming from red meat 293 and milk production (indicator 3.5.1), but also prevent up to 11.5 million diet-related deaths 294 annually (indicator 3.5.2), and substantially reduce the risk of zoonotic diseases. These health-295 focused shifts will reduce the burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases, in turn 296 reducing the strain on overwhelmed healthcare providers. Importantly, accelerating climate 297 change adaptation will deliver more robust health systems, minimizing the negative impacts of 298 future infectious disease outbreaks and geopolitical conflicts, and restoring the first line of 299 defence of global populations.

300

301 Glimmers of Hope

302 Despite decades of insufficient action, emerging, albeit few, signs of change provide some 303 glimmers of hope that a health-centred response might be starting to emerge. Individual 304 engagement with the health dimensions of climate change, essential to drive and enable an 305 accelerated response, continues to increase (indicator 5.2), and coverage of health and climate 306 change in the media reached a new record high in 2021, with a 4.7% increase from 2020 307 (indicator 5.1). This increased engagement is also reflected by country leaders, with a record 60% 308 of countries drawing the attention to between climate change and health in the 2021 UN General 309 Debate, and with 86% of national updated or new NDCs making references to health (indicator

5.4). At the city level, local authorities are progressively identifying risks of climate change on the health of their populations (indicator 2.1.3), a first step to delivering a tailored response that strengthens local health systems. The health sector itself, while still responsible for 5.2% of all global emissions (indicator 3.6), has shown impressive climate leadership, and 60 countries had committed to transitioning to climate-resilient and/or low- or net zero-carbon health systems as part of the COP26 Health Programme at the time of writing.

316

317 Signs of change are also emerging in the energy sector. While total clean energy generation 318 remains grossly insufficient, it reached record high levels in 2020 (indicator 3.1). Zero-carbon 319 sources accounted for 80% of investment in electricity generation in 2021 (indicator 4.2.1), and 320 renewable energies have reached cost parity with fossil fuel energies. Now, as some of the 321 highest-emitting countries attempt to cut their dependence on oil and gas in response to the war 322 in Ukraine and soaring energy prices, many are focusing on increasing renewable energy 323 generation, raising hopes for a health-centred response . However, increased awareness and 324 commitments must be urgently translated into action for hope to turn into reality.

325

326 A Call to Action

After 30 years of UNFCCC negotiations, Lancet Countdown indicators show that countries and companies continue to make choices that increasingly threaten the health and survival of people alive today. As countries strive to recover from the coexisting crises the evidence is unequivocal: an immediate, aligned and health-centred response is essential to secure a liveable future, and today presents a new opportunity to deliver a healthier world, in which present and future generations can not only survive, but also thrive. 334 Introduction

335 Due to human activity, average global temperatures are 1.1°C above the pre-industrial average, 336 and the past seven years were the warmest on record.¹ Climate change is increasing the 337 frequency and intensity of many extreme events, resulting in severe damage to the natural and 338 social systems on which health depends. These changes are also shifting the geographic range of 339 climate-sensitive infectious diseases, affecting food and water security, worsening air quality, 340 and damaging socioeconomic systems. While the world grappled with the ongoing COVID-19 341 pandemic, 2021 and 2022 was marked by weather events of unprecedented intensity: record temperatures of nearly 50°C in British Columbia claimed 570 lives,² floods in Australia, Canada, 342 343 China, Malaysia South Sudan and Western Europe led to hundreds of deaths hundreds of thousands of people displaced from their homes, and billions of dollars in losses,^{3,4} and wildfires 344 345 caused devastation in the US, Greece, Algeria, and Turkey. And yet, energy-related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions rebounded to a historical record in 2021,⁵ and atmospheric CO₂ reached its 346 highest concentration in over 2 million years.⁶ 347

Current policies put the world on track to 2.4–3.5°C of heating above pre-industrial times by 2100, and there is a 48% chance the 1.5°C threshold enshrined in the Paris Agreement will be exceeded within 5 years.⁷⁻⁹ COVID-19 recovery efforts have thus far failed to deliver the transformation the health community and others called for,¹⁰ and ongoing geopolitical conflicts put the 1.5°C threshold further out of reach. The findings in this report, summarised in Panel 1, underscore the urgency of delivering climate action, and can inform an aligned response to compounding crises, to protect the health of present and future generations.

355

356

358	Panel 1: Key findings of the 2022 report of the Lancet Countdown		
359 360 361 362	1.	Climate change is undermining every dimension of global health tracked, increasing the fragility of the global systems that health depends on, increasing the vulnerability of population health and functioning of healthcare facilities, and compounding the impacts of coexisting global crises.	
363 364 365 366 367	2.	Climate change is increasingly undermining global food security, exacerbating the effects of the COVID-19, geopolitical, energy and cost of living crises. New analysis shows that extreme heat due to climate change accounted for an estimated 98 million more people reporting moderate to severe food insecurity in 103 countries in 2020, than the 1981-2010 average (indicator 1.4).	
368 369 370 371	3.	For example, 30% of 798 cities reported that COVID-19 reduced financing available for climate change and 42% reported no change; only 22% reported an increase in financing (indicator 2.1.3).	
372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380	4.	Insufficient climate change adaptation efforts mean health systems they remain vulnerable and ill-prepared to cope with the increasing climate change-related health hazards. Only 48 out of 95 countries have assessed their climate change adaptation needs (indicator 2.1.1) and in 2021 only 63% of countries reported high to very high implementation status for health emergency management (indicator 2.2.4). Increasing adaptation for health is essential to prevent the worst health impacts from climate change, and will additionally increase resilience of health systems to better manage future infectious disease outbreaks and other health emergencies (indicator 2.3.1).	
381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390	5.	As the single biggest source of greenhouse gas emissions, mitigation of the energy sector must accelerate to keep global temperatures within the 1.5°C target set in the Paris Agreement, and prevent the most dangerous levels of global heating. However, the energy sector is still heavily reliant on fossil fuels, its carbon intensity decreased by less than 1% since the year the UNFCCC was signed, and a simultaneous increase in energy demand of 59% has pushed total energy sector emissions to record high levels in 2021 (indicator 3.1). Now, even this limited progress is at risk of being reversed: as countries search for alternatives to Russian fossil fuels, many are turning back to coal, and shifts in global energy supplies risk a net increase in fossil fuel production, further increasing emissions from the energy sector.	
391 392 393 394	6.	The slow adoption of renewable energies, which contribute to only 2.2% of total global energy supply (indicator 3.1), means households remain vulnerable to highly volatile international fossil fuel markets, and millions lack access to reliable, clean sources of fuel. Traditional biomass still accounts for 31% of the energy consumed in the domestic sector globally, and for 96% of that in	

- 395low HDI countries (indicator 3.2). New analysis shows that the air in people's homes in the 62396countries analysed exceeded the World Health Organization's guidelines for safe concentrations397of small particulate air pollution (PM2.5) in 2020, by 30-fold on average (indicator 3.2). The398current energy and cost-of-living crises, together with the limited access to clean energies, now399threatens to make matters worse.
- 4007. A new indicator this year tracks the current production strategies of 15 of the largest oil and gas401companies (both publicly-listed international companies (IOCs), and state-owned national402companies (NOCs)) and reveals that, on the basis of their current strategies and market shares,403they will exceed their share of greenhouse gas emissions compatible with the 1.5°C climate404target by an average of 87% (for IOCs) and 111% (for NOCs) in 2040. the realisation of these405strategies would make meeting the goals of the Paris Agreement virtually unattainable406(indicator 4.2.6).
- 8. In 2019, 69 countries out of 86 reviewed (80%) had net-negative carbon prices (i.e.
 provided a net subsidy to fossil fuels), for a net total of US\$400 billion. These subsidies exceeded
 10% of national health spending in 31 countries, and exceeded 100% in 5 countries (indicator
 4.2.4). At the same time, climate efforts are being undercut by a profound lack of funding
 (indicator 2.1.1), and fossil fuel companies register record profits as a result of the high energy
 prices.
- 413 Despite the critically insufficient progress to date, a health-centred response to the coexisting 414 crises still offers an opportunity to deliver a healthy, low-carbon future. Accelerating the 415 transition to clean energy and improved energy efficiency can avoid the most catastrophic 416 climate change impacts, as well as improve energy security, support economic recovery, prevent 417 the 1.2 million annual deaths resulting from exposure to fossil fuel-derived ambient PM_{2.5} 418 (indicator 3.3), improve health outcomes by promoting active forms of travel, and deliver 419 greener, healthier, and more livable cities. The associated reduction in the burden of 420 communicable and non-communicable diseases, will in turn also reduce the strain on 421 overwhelmed healthcare providers.
- 422 10. The media, the scientific community, corporations and country leaders are increasingly engaging
 423 in health and climate change (indicators 5.1-5.5), and new analysis shows that 86% of updated
 424 or new Nationally Determined Contributions now reference health (indicator 5.4).
- 425 11. As countries attempt to cut their dependence on international oil and gas supplies in response
 426 to the war in Ukraine and energy crisis, some are focusing efforts on increasing renewable
 427 energy generation, raising hopes that a health-centred response could be emerging. However,
 428 the increased engagement and commitments must be urgently translated into action for hope
 429 to turn into reality and secure a world in which populations can not only survive, but also thrive.

431 Taking stock of progress on health and climate change

The *Lancet* Countdown: Tracking Progress on Health and Climate Change is an international,
 transdisciplinary collaboration of 51 academic institutions and UN agencies, monitoring the
 changing health profile of climate change.¹¹

435 Its 43 indicators (Table 1) are the result of seven years of refinement, and reflect the consensus 436 of 99 multidisciplinary researchers, the guidance of the *Lancet* Countdown's Scientific Advisory 437 Group and High-Level Advisory Board, and the continuous support of The Lancet and the 438 Wellcome Trust. Most indicators have been improved this year to better monitor links between 439 climate change and health. New and re-introduced metrics monitor the impact of extreme 440 temperature on food insecurity; exposure to wildfire smoke; household air pollution; the 441 alignment of fossil fuel industry with a healthy future; and health considerations in countries' 442 Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). All new or substantially modified indicators were 443 assessed by an independent expert panel, to ensure their appropriateness and robustness,^{12,13} 444 and some existing indicators were also independently assessed, to ensure their continued 445 relevance and rigour.

446 This report, more concise than previous iterations, is complemented by an online data 447 visualisation platform, where indicators can be explored in greater detail and geographical 448 resolution. Reports from the Lancet Countdown regional centres in Asia (Tsinghua University, 449 China), Europe (Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Spain), South America (Universidad Peruana 450 Cayetano Heredia, Peru), and Australia (Macquarie University and The University of Sydney) offer 451 more detailed regional assessments. Meanwhile, newly established centres are working to 452 explore in further depth the links between health and climate change in Small Island Developing 453 States (SIDS) (University of the West Indies, Jamaica) and Africa (Medical Research Council Unit, 454 The Gambia). Through these expanding local networks, the Lancet Countdown now brings 455 together over 250 researchers from almost 100 institutions around the globe.

456 As the world strives to meet Paris Agreement commitments, Lancet Countdown indicators are 457 contributing to national and international climate and health monitoring systems, and they have 458 been incorporated into the European Climate and Health Observatory and into the climate and health assessment of the Italian National Institute of Health (Istituto Superiore di Sanità).¹⁴ In 459 460 2023 the UNFCCC will run the first Global Stocktake (GST), an assessment of collective progress 461 towards meeting Paris Agreement goals, designed to help countries adjust efforts to meet 462 climate targets. Taking stock of the health impacts of climate action, this report can help countries realise the ambition of making the Paris Agreement the "most important public health 463 464 agreement of the century".¹⁵

465

466 Table 1: The indicators of the 2022 report of The Lancet Countdown

Working Grou	n	Indicator	
	ards,	1.1: Health and Heat	1.1.1: Exposure to Warming
Exposures,	and		1.1.2: Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves
Impacts			1.1.3: Heat and Physical Activity
			1.1.4: Change in Labour Capacity
			1.1.5: Heat-Related Mortality
		1.2: Health and Extreme Weather Events	1.2.1: Wildfires
		1.2. Health and Excleme Weather Events	
			1.2.2: Drought
			1.2.3: Extreme Weather and Sentiment
		1.3: Climate Suitability for Infectious Disease Transmission	
Adaptation,		1.4: Food Security and Undernutrition2.1: Assessment and Planning of Health	2.1.1: National Assessments of Climate Change Impacts, Vulnerability
Planning, Resilience	and for	Adaptation	and Adaptation for Health
Health	101		2.1.2: National Adaptation Plans for Health
		2.2: Enabling conditions, Adaptation Delivery,	2.1.3: City-Level Climate Change Risk Assessments 2.2.1: Climate Information for Health
		and Implementation	2.2.2: Air Conditioning: Benefits and Harms
			2.2.3: Urban Green Space
			2.2.4: Health Adaptation-Related Funding
		2.2 V have billing the duty Distance of	2.2.5: Detection, Preparedness and Response to Health Emergencies
		2.3: Vulnerabilities, Health Risk, and Resilience to Climate Change	2.3.1: Vulnerability to Mosquito-Borne Disease
		Resilience to climate change	2.3.2: Lethality of Extreme Weather Events
			2.3.3: Migration, Displacement and Rising Sea Levels
Mitigation Ac and Health		3.1: Energy System and Health	
Benefits	Co-	3.2: Clean Household Energy	
		3.3: Premature Mortality from Ambient Air Pollution by Sector	
		3.4: Sustainable and Healthy Transport	
		3.5: Food, Agriculture, and Health	3.5.1: Emissions from Agricultural Production and Consumption
			3.5.2: Diet and Health Co-Benefits
		3.6: Healthcare Sector Emissions	
Economics	and	4.1: The Economic Impact of Climate Change and its Mitigation	4.1.1: Economic Losses due to Climate-Related Extreme Events
Finance			4.1.2: Costs of Heat-Related Mortality
			4.1.3: Loss of Earnings from Heat-Related Labour Capacity Loss
			4.1.4: Costs of the Health Impacts of Air Pollution
		4.2: The Economics of the Transition to Zero-	4.2.1: Clean Energy Investment
		Carbon Economies	4.2.2: Employment in Low-Carbon and High-Carbon Industries
			4.2.3: Funds Divested from Fossil Fuels
			4.2.4: Net Value of Fossil Fuel Subsidies and Carbon Prices
			4.2.5: Production- and Consumption-based Attribution of CO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$
			Emissions
			4.2.6: Compatibility of Fossil Fuel Company Strategies With the Paris Agreement
Public and Politica		5.1: Media Coverage of Health and Climate Cha	
Engagement		5.2: Individual Engagement in Health and Clima	-
		5.3: Scientific Engagement in Health and Climat	
		5.4: Government Engagement in Health and Clinia	
		5.5: Corporate Sector Engagement in Health and Cli	
		5.5. Corporate Sector Engagement in Health an	u chimate change

467 Section 1: Health Hazards, Exposures, and Impacts

468 Climate change is already affecting the health of people across the globe. Detrimental impacts 469 occur directly through increased exposure to extreme weather, and indirectly through cascading 470 impacts on the physical, natural, and social systems on which health depends. Additionally, 471 climatic changes are amplifying the existing threats to food and water security, built 472 infrastructure, essential services, and livelihoods.

473 Section 1 tracks the health hazards, exposures, and impacts of climate change, with indicators 474 that monitor vulnerabilities now explored within Section 2. Indicators have been improved and expanded to provide a more comprehensive picture of the health impacts of climate change,¹³ 475 476 and help disentangle the effects of climatic and demographic changes on health-related 477 outcomes. Three new sub-indicators track the influence of wildfires on exposure to PM_{2.5} air 478 pollution (indicator 1.2.1), the links between both heat and extreme precipitation and online 479 sentiment expressions (indicator 1.2.3), and the increasing impact of extreme heat on global food 480 security (indicator 1.4.1).

481

482 1.1 Health and Heat

483 Climate change is leading to an increase in average global temperatures and in the frequency, 484 intensity, and duration of heatwaves.¹⁶ Exposure to extreme heat is associated with exacerbation 485 of underlying cardiovascular and respiratory disease, acute kidney injury and heat stroke,¹⁷ 486 adverse pregnancy outcomes,^{18,19} worsened sleep patterns,²⁰ impacts on mental health, and 487 increases in non-accidental and injury-related deaths.²¹ It also affects health indirectly by limiting 488 people's capacity to work and exercise.²²⁻²⁶ The elderly, pregnant women and newborns, the 489 socially deprived, and those working outdoors are particularly at risk.^{27,28} 490 Indicator 1.1.1: Exposure to Warming

491 Headline finding: From 2000 to 2021, populations were exposed to an average increase in summer
492 temperature two times higher than the global mean.

Inhabited land areas experience faster warming than oceans. By overlapping gridded temperature and population data, this indicator shows that the temperatures humans were exposed to during summer seasons in 2021 were 0.6°C higher than the 1986–2005 average, representing twice the global mean temperature increase over the same period (0.3°C).

497 Indicator 1.1.2: Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves

Headline finding: Children under 1 year old experienced 600 million more person-days of
heatwaves, and adults over 65 years 3.1 billion more person-days, in 2012–2021, compared to
1986–2005.

501 Between 2021 and 2022, the world saw record temperatures in Oman, the Middle East,²⁹ Australia,³⁰ numerous Mediterranean countries, and Canada.³¹ This indicator overlays daily 502 503 temperature and demographic data to track the exposure of vulnerable age-groups to heatwaves 504 (defined as a period of 2 or more days where both the minimum and maximum temperatures are above the 95th percentile of 1986–2005, as defined previously).^{32,33} Over 2012–2021, children 505 506 younger than 1 year experienced 600 million more person-days of heatwaves (4.4 more days per 507 child) annually relative to the 1986–2005 average, while adults older than 65 years experienced 508 3.1 billion more days (3.2 more days per person) (Figure 1). In 2021, people over 65 in Canada 509 experienced a record of 47 million more person-days of heatwaves (2.4 million in children under 510 1 year) than annually in 1986–2005, mainly due to an unprecedented heatwave which was over 511 150 times more likely to occur due to climate change (Panel 2).



513

Figure 1: Comparison of change in heatwave days relative to 1986–2005 baseline (10-year rolling mean)
between global mean for land, mean weighted by infant population, and mean weighted by over-65
population.

517

518 Indicator 1.1.3: Heat and Physical Activity

519 Headline finding: Over the last 10 years, people experienced on average an extra 281 hours 520 annually during which the high heat posed at least a moderate heat stress risk during light 521 outdoor physical activity, compared to 1991-2000.

522 Regular physical activity contributes to a healthy body weight, improves physical and mental 523 health,³⁴⁻³⁶ and helps prevent many non-communicable diseases.³⁷ However, hot weather 524 reduces the likelihood of engaging in exercise, and increase heat illness risk when it is 525 undertaken.²²⁻²⁴ This indicator has been improved to track the daily hours during which physical 526 activity would entail heat stress risk.³⁸ Compared to a 1991-2000 baseline average, the number 526 of annual hours of moderate-risk and high-risk of heat stress during light outdoor physical activity
increased globally in 2012-2021 by an average of 281 (33% increase) and 238 (42%) hours per
person, respectively. The greatest rise occurred in medium HDI countries, with a 310 (20%) and
296 (26%) increase in the number of moderate-risk and high-risk hours per person annually,
respectively.

- 532
- 533 Indicator 1.1.4: Change in Labour Capacity

534 Headline finding: In 2021, heat exposure led to the loss of 470 billion potential labour hours, a

535 37% increase from 1990–1999. 87% of the losses in low HDI countries occurred in the agricultural
536 sector.

Heat exposure affects labour productivity and puts the health of exposed workers at risk.³⁹ The 537 resulting labour loss undermines livelihoods and the socioeconomic determinants of health.⁴⁰ 538 539 This indicator monitors the potential work hours lost as a result of heat exposure and, in an 540 improvement from previous years' reports, of solar radiation, by associating wet bulb globe 541 temperature with the typical metabolic rate of workers in specific economic sectors. Since 1999, 542 the potential hours lost increased by 5.6 billion hours per year (Figure 2). In 2021, 470 billion 543 hours were lost – a rise of 37% from the annual average in 1990–1999, and an average of 139 544 hours lost per person. Two thirds of all labour hours lost globally in 2021 occurred in the 545 agricultural sector. This proportion was highest in low HDI countries, at 87%



546

547 Figure 2: Potential labour lost due to heat-related factors in each sector, assuming all work is undertaken

548 in the sun. Low HDI (A), medium HDI (B), high HDI (C), and very high HDI (D) groups (2019 HDI country 549 group). HDI=human development index.

- 551 Indicator 1.1.5: Heat-Related Mortality
- 552 Headline finding: Heat-related mortality for people over 65 increased by approximately 68%
- 553 *between 2000-2004 and 2017-2021.*

A recent study covering 43 countries estimated that 37% of heat-related deaths are attributable to human-induced climate change.⁴¹ However, limited data sharing and reporting restricts the capacity to produce accurate estimates globally, to assess adaptation measures, and to identify vulnerable populations.^{11,13} Using a generalised exposure-response function to provide an estimate of heat-related deaths globally, this indicator finds that annual heat-related mortality of people over 65 increased by an estimated 68% between 2000-2004 and 2017-2021.

560

561 1.2 Health and Extreme Weather Events

Detection and attribution studies unequivocally demonstrate the increasing influence of anthropogenic climate change on weather extremes (Panel 2).⁴² Resulting direct injuries and death are often compounded with impacts on sanitation and service provision, forced displacement, loss of assets and infrastructure, economic losses, and adverse mental health outcomes, with oftentimes cascading, long-lasting effects.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ This suite of indicators, complemented by indicators 2.3.2 and 4.1.1, explores the links between climate change, extreme weather events, and health.

569

570 Panel 2: Detection and attribution studies: Ascertaining the influence of climate change in 571 health-harming extreme events

572 Detection and attribution (D&A) studies are increasingly exposing the influence of climate change 573 on weather-related morbidity and mortality, and being applied in public health to inform decision 574 making.⁴⁷ However, only a small proportion of all extreme events that occur are being assessed, 575 and seldom those affecting the highly vulnerable low or middle HDI countries. Expanding the 576 coverage and funding available for D&A studies, and strengthening their health assessment, can help better elucidate the health costs of climate change and provide compelling evidence to
 support climate action.^{48,49}

579 D&A studies were published for 31 discrete weather-related events occurring between 2019 and 580 2021. All except two of the analysed events occurred in high or very high HDI countries. The 581 events for which D&A studies were published included extreme heat, heavy precipitation and floods, wildfires, storms, tornadoes, cyclones, or drought events. Climate change was shown to 582 583 have increased the likelihood or severity of 84% of these events (24 studies), in which over 584 113,300 deaths were registered. All but one of the nine extreme heat events studied, which 585 caused 13,480 deaths, were found to have been made more likely or intense due to climate 586 change. Climate change decreased the likelihood or severity of just three events, all of which 587 related to extreme rainfall, reflecting the climate-induced alteration of hydrological cycles. Most 588 of the events studied had cascading effects on health systems, and most were compounded by 589 concurrent crises. A full list of the events assessed is presented in the appendix (pp 24), while 590 some key examples are explored in further detail below.

591 Australia's 'black summer'

Australia's 2019–2020 'black summer' fires were unprecedented in scale, intensity, and extent of damage. Anthropogenic climate change increased their probability by more than 30%,⁵⁰ both directly and through compounding mechanisms.⁵¹ The fires directly caused some 450 deaths, 1300 emergency asthma presentations, and 1120 cardiovascular and 2030 respiratory admissions,⁵² in addition to worsening mental health outcomes and displacing of 47 000 people.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ In addition, these events contributed to 715 Mt of CO₂ emissions, equivalent to some 0.2% of global greenhouse gas emissions that year.⁵⁶

599 South African drought

600 Between 2015–2019, South Africa's Western Cape record drought was two to nine times more

601 likely due to climate change. ^{57,58} In a neighbouring rural region, the drought limited provision of,

- and access to, HIV care, thereby contributing to treatment failure.⁵⁹ Although health data were
- 603 limited, it is likely that vulnerable populations were disproportionately exposed to the drought,
- 604 resulting in adverse health,⁶⁰ including mental health,⁶¹ outcomes.

605 Floods in Western Europe

In July 2021 north-western Europe was exposed to devastating floods, primarily driven by heavy rainfall that was 1.2 to 9 times more likely due to climate change.⁶² The floods directly killed over 200 people across Europe.^{63,64} Health was also impacted as a result of damage to pharmacies, hospitals and clinics; scarce potable water; destruction of sewerage infrastructure; and disruption of healthcare services, including the administration of COVID-19 vaccines.^{63,65}

611 North American heat dome

In June-July 2021, Northwest North America experienced a 6-day heat wave that was at least 150 times more likely to occur due to climate change, and "virtually impossible" without it,⁶⁶ directly causing at least 569 excess deaths in British Columbia, and over 100 in Washington state.^{67,68} Material deprivation and reduced access to urban green spaces were found to have increased mortality risk.^{69,70} Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington registered over 1,000 heat-related emergency service presentations, a 69-fold increase over the same period the year prior.⁷¹

618 South Asian heat wave

During March-April 2022, India and Pakistan experienced a prolonged heat wave that was 30 times more likely due to climate change². Despite widespread underreporting, 90 deaths were attributed³, alongside reduced wheat yields which have further compounded global shortages caused by the war in Ukraine[.] The full health impacts of lost income, increased hospitalisations, and food and energy insecurity, in addition to a glacial lake outburst flood and forest fires, are
 not yet quantified².

625

626

627 Indicator 1.2.1: Wildfires

Headline finding: Human exposure to days of very-high or extremely-high fire danger increased
in 61% of countries from 2001–2004 to 2018–2021.

Wildfires affect health through thermal injuries, exposure to wildfire smoke, loss of physical infrastructure, and impacts on mental health and wellbeing.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ Drier and hotter conditions increasingly favour their occurrence, intensity, and spread, and undermine control efforts.⁷⁵ This indicator uses remote sensing to track exposure to days of high meteorological wildfire danger and wildfire exposure, this year better accounting for cloud cover in the detection of wildfire spots. New to this report, the indicator incorporates atmospheric modelling (IS4FIRES-SILAM model) to track exposure to wildfire smoke (PM_{2.5}).^{76,77}

Globally, people each experienced an average of nine extra days of very- or extremely-high meteorological wildfire danger in 2018–2021 compared to 2001–2004, with 61% (110/181) of countries seeing an increase (Figure 3) – a trend driven by climate variation rather than demographic shifts. The yearly average wildfire exposure increased by 9.17 million person-days between 2003–2006 and 2018–2021. Increases were observed in 64% (21 of 33) of low HDI, compared to 42% (27 of 65) of very high HDI countries, which could reflect differences in wildfire prevention and management.

Population exposure to wildfire-derived PM_{2.5} was modelled using the SILAM chemistry transport
model.⁷⁸ Data shows a statistically significant increase in 16.5% of the global land surface from
2003 to 2021, and a statistically significant decrease in 8.8% of the surface land area.



647

Figure 3: Population-weighted mean changes in extremely high and very high fire danger days in 2018-2021 compared with 2001-2004. Large urban areas with population density \geq 400 persons/km² are excluded.

651

652 Indicator 1.2.2: Drought

Headline finding: On average, 29% more of the global land area was affected by extreme drought
annually in 2012–21, than in 1951–1960.

Droughts undermine food and water security, threaten sanitation, affect livelihoods, and increase the risk of wildfires and infectious disease transmission.^{42,79} This indicator uses the 6monthly Standard Precipitation and Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI6) to capture changes in extreme drought (SPEI \leq -1.6) due to precipitation and temperature-driven evapotranspiration.⁸⁰ On average in 2012–21, almost 47% of the global land area was affected by at least 1 month of extreme drought each year, up by 29% from 1951–60. The Middle East and Northern Africa, 661 where 41 million people lack access to safe water and 66 million lack basic sanitation,⁸¹ were 662 particularly affected, with some areas experiencing over 10 extra months of extreme drought.

663 Indicator 1.2.3: Extreme Weather and Sentiment

Headline finding: Heatwaves during 2021 were associated with a statistically significant decrease
of 0.20 percentage points in the number of tweets expressing positive sentiment, while extreme
precipitation days were associated with a statistically significant decrease of 0.26 percentage
points.

668 Heatwaves and extreme weather increase the risk of mental health disorders (Panel 3).^{21,82,83} This 669 indicator uses a multivariate ordinary least squares fixed effects model to monitor the influence 670 of heatwaves and, new to this year's report, extreme precipitation, on online sentiment 671 expression.⁸⁴ It analyses 7.7 billion tweets from 190 countries and adjusts by month, calendar 672 date, and location. Days of extreme precipitation during 2021 reduced the percentage of tweets 673 that had positive expression by a statistically significant 0.26 percentage points, a record 674 reduction in positive expression during extreme precipitation days since 2015. Since 2015 675 heatwave days and days of extreme precipitation have consistently worsened sentiment 676 expression. In 2021, heatwave days increased the proportion of tweets that expressed negative 677 sentiment by a statistically significant 0.20 percentage points, producing the largest effect in the 678 historical series. The 2021 Pacific Northwest heatwave increased negative sentiment 9.8 times 679 and decreased positive sentiment 3.7 times the 2015–2020 average effects of heatwaves on 680 sentiment. Further, the 2021 Western European extreme rainfall events increased negative 681 sentiment 4.9 times, and decreased positive sentiment 6.6 times the 2015–2020 average effects 682 of extreme precipitation on sentiment.

Climate change is affecting mental health, psychological wellbeing and their social and 685 686 environmental determinants.^{82,83,85-87} Acute temperature increase, heatwaves, and humidity have been associated with worse mental health outcomes and increased suicidality.^{88,89} Through 687 688 more indirect pathways, hazards like droughts can disrupt agricultural production, affect 689 livelihoods, and cause food and water scarcity and other hardships that affect family 690 relationships, increase stress, and negatively impact mental health - with differences between 691 genders.⁹⁰⁻⁹² Climate change may also exacerbate conflict and violence (including gender-based violence),⁹³⁻⁹⁵ and can influence people's decision to migrate, which can in turn affect mental 692 693 health and well-being.⁹⁶ Additionally, climate change may impact the mental health of 694 populations who either choose to stay or are unable to migrate, with studies showing that mental 695 health can be compromised by the feeling of being trapped.⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹

696 Marginalised and vulnerable populations are often disproportionately affected by climate-697 change related mental health impacts - which can compound pre-existing mental health 698 inequalities, especially where health systems are inadequate. Indigenous peoples may be more strongly affected by climate change-induced ecological breakdown.^{100,101} The elderly, women 699 700 and religious or ethnic minorities are particularly at risk of adverse mental health outcomes, and 701 youth have been shown to be more prone to anxiety, phobias, depression, stress-related 702 conditions, substance abuse and sleep disorders, as well as reduced capacity to regulate emotions, and increased cognitive deficits.¹⁰² The increasingly visible effects of the climate crisis 703 704 have given rise to emerging concepts such as climate change anxiety, solastalgia, eco-anxiety and 705 ecological grief.

Integrating mental health considerations within adaptation, mitigation and disaster risk reduction (DRR) efforts, could both reduce climate change-related mental health risks, and deliver mental health co-benefits. Actions to reduce heat and ambient air pollution through urban redesign - such as improved shade and green space, walkable neighbourhoods, and improved active and public transport infrastructure - may deliver mental health co-benefits 35 711 through increased physical activity, improved sleep quality, social connectivity, cooling spaces and exposure to greenness.^{103,104} Furthermore, climate activism may be associated with 712 increased mental wellbeing,¹⁰⁵ although it might increase distress for others.¹⁰⁶ This emphasises 713 714 the importance of including mental health considerations when designing climate policies. Yet, 715 despite multidimensional connections between climate change and mental health, few National 716 Adaptation Plans (7/18 documents assessed by the WHO) and Nationally Determined 717 Contributions (10/197 documents representing 9/197 parties assessed by Climate Watch) consider mental health and psychosocial implications.^{107,108} Additionally, only 28% of countries 718 719 report having a functional programme that integrates mental health and psychosocial support 720 within preparedness and DRR, including for climate-related hazards.¹⁰⁹

The persistent lack of standardised definitions, stigmatisation and lack of recognition of mental health in many places, together with lack of available data, undermines the capacity to identify populations at risk, to develop targeted resilience strategies, to monitor and assess the mental health implications of climate change and climate action - and ultimately to develop mental health indicators.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹³

Nonetheless, the world has sufficient experience and evidence to guide immediate action.
 Dramatically accelerating efforts to address the impacts of climate change on mental health and
 psychosocial well-being is essential to protect all dimensions of human health.¹¹¹

729

730 Indicator 1.3 Climate Suitability for Infectious Disease Transmission

Headline finding: The climatic suitability for the transmission of dengue increased by 11.5% for A.

aegypti and 12.0% for A. albopictus from 1951–1960 to 2012–2021; the length of the transmission

raise season for malaria increased by 31.3% and 13.8% in the highlands of the Americas and Africa,

734 respectively, from 1951–1960 to 2012–2021.
Climate change is affecting the distribution and transmission of many infectious diseases,
including vector-, food-, and water-borne diseases.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶ This indicator monitors the influence of
the changing climate on the potential for transmission for key infectious diseases that are a public
health concern.

739 With the increased movement of people and goods, urbanisation, and climate change, Aedestransmitted arboviruses spread rapidly over the last two decades, and half the world population 740 now lives in countries where dengue is present.¹¹⁷⁻¹¹⁹ Combining data on temperature, rainfall, 741 and population, this indicator tracks the basic reproduction number (R0) for dengue, Zika and 742 743 chikungunya as a proxy for their transmissibility and, new to this report, the number of months 744 suitable for their transmission. On average, during 2012–2021, the R0 was 11.5% higher for the 745 transmission of dengue by A. aegypti, 12.0% by A. albopictus, 12.0% for Chikungunya, and 12.4% 746 for Zika, with respect to 1951–1960, globally (Figure 4). During this same period, the length of 747 the transmission season increased for all arboviruses by approximately 6%.

748

The number of months suitable for the transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* by *Anopheles*mosquitoes was computed using temperature, precipitation and humidity thresholds and, new
to this year, land classes suitable for the vector. The number of suitable months in highlands (≥
1500m above sea level), increased by 31.3% in the WHO region of the Americas , and 13.8% in
Africa between 1951–1960 and 2012–2021.

Non-cholera *Vibrio* bacteria survive in brackish waters, and can cause gastroenteritis if ingested in contaminated food, and potentially lethal wound infections through direct contact with contaminated water.¹²⁰ Between 2014–2021 and 1982–1989, due to changes in sea salt concentrations and temperature, the area of coastline suitable for *Vibrio* pathogens increased from 47.5% to 86.3% in the Baltic, 30.0% to 57.1% in the US Northeast and from 1.2% to 5.7% in the Pacific Northwest, three regions where *Vibriosis* is regularly reported. An extra 4.3% of the coastal waters in Northern latitudes (40–70° N) had temperatures suitable for *Vibrio* in 2014– 37 2021 compared to 1982–1989, with 2021 the second most suitable year on record (11.3% of the
coastal area suitable) – making brackish waters in these latitudes increasingly suitable for *Vibrio*transmission.

The ongoing 7th cholera pandemic, which started in the 1960s, is responsible for over 2.8 million cases and 95,000 deaths annually.^{121,122} While inadequate sanitation is the main enabler, climate conditions are increasingly favouring the survival of *Vibrio cholerae* in natural waters, keeping an environmental reservoir and favouring its spread.¹¹⁶ Using an ecological niche model, this indicator estimates that since 2003–2005 alone, an extra 3.5% of the global coastal waters have become suitable for its transmission.



Figure 4: Change in climate suitability for infectious diseases. Thin lines represent the annual change. Thick
lines represent the trend since 1951 (for malaria), 1951 (for dengue), 1982 (for Vibrio bacteria), and 2003
(for Vibrio cholerae). HDI=human development index.

776 Indicator 1.4 Food Security and Undernutrition

Headline finding: Relative to 1981–2010, higher temperatures in 2021 shortened crop growth
seasons globally by 9.3 days for maize, 1.7 days for rice and 6 days for winter and spring wheat,
and heatwave days in 2020 were associated with 98 million more people reporting moderate to
severe food insecurity.

Food insecurity is increasing globally, with 720-811 million people hungry in 2020. Climate change
is exacerbating risks of malnutrition through multiple, interconnected pathways (Panel 4). Lesseducated and lower-income households have a higher chance of being food insecure,¹²³ and due
to social roles and reduced land ownership, women, and the households they lead, may be more
prone to malnutrition.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶

Higher temperatures during growing seasons lead to faster crop maturation, which reduces the maximum potential yield that could be achieved with no limitations of water or nutrients. Combining temperature and crop growth data, the first part of this indicator shows that, relative to the 1981–2010 average, crop growth seasons in 2021 continue to shorten globally for all staple crops tracked: by 9.3 days for maize, 1.7 days for rice, and more than six days for winter and spring wheat.

The increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are also increasing sea surface temperature, temperatures of inland water bodies, acidifying oceans and reducing their oxygenation, which exacerbate coral reef bleaching and undermine marine and inland fishery productivity.¹²⁷⁻¹³¹ Together with a shift to farm-based fish products of lower nutritional quality, climate change is thus putting marine food security at risk. ¹³²⁻¹³⁴ The average sea surface temperature in coastal waters of 142 countries increased globally by nearly 0.7°C in 2019–2021 compared with 1980– 1982.

New to this year, the third part of this indicator examines the impact of heatwave days during crop growth season of maize, rice, sorghum, and wheat, on self-reported experience of food insecurity. It combines data from the FAO Food Insecurity Experience Scale from 103 countries with temperature, using a time-varying regression.^{135,136} Compared to 1981–2010, increases in the number of heatwave days resulted in an increase of 3.7 percentage-points in self-reported moderate to severe food insecurity in 2020, approximately equivalent to an additional 98 million people reporting moderate or severe food insecurity.



806

Figure 5: Change in the percentage of people reporting moderate to severe food insecurity due to
heatwave days (percentage point change) occurring during four major crop (maize, rice, sorghum, and
wheat) growing seasons.

810

811 Panel 4: Climate Change and Food Insecurity

812 Food security requires all people, at all times, to have physical and economic access to sufficient,

safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and

814 healthy life.^{137 138}

815 In 2015, the world committed to ending malnutrition and achieving global food security by 2030 816 (SDG2).¹³⁹ However, the prevalence of undernourishment has increased since 2017.¹⁴⁰ 817 Government-imposed restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic, worsened this situation¹⁴¹, and the number of undernourished people increased by 161 million to 720-811 million between 818 819 2019 and 2020.¹⁴⁰ Russia's war on Ukraine is further exacerbating food insecurity: Russia and 820 Ukraine typically supply around 30% of global wheat exports, and 20% of maize, and the expected 821 shortfall in supply, coupled with the energy crisis, is likely to drive further increases in food prices. 822 This could result in an additional 7.6 to 13.1 million people undernourished globally in 2022. 823 Meanwhile, conflict in places like Afghanistan, Burkina Faso, Chad, Democratic Republic of 824 Congo, Ethiopia, Nigeria, Mozambique, Myanmar, Syria, Mali, Niger, and South Sudan, further worsens the food crises in those regions.¹⁴² 825

This panel explores how climate change undermines each dimension of global food security and nutrition, and highlights priorities for climate action, providing a cross-cutting assessment of the evidence presented in this report.

829

830 Food availability, access, and stability

831 Climate change is putting food production, supply chains and access at risk. Rising temperatures 832 are reducing crop growth duration (indicator 1.4) in many countries, posing a threat to crop 833 yields. The increasing intensity and frequency of extreme weather events, including heatwaves 834 (indicator 1.1.2), droughts (indicator 1.2.2), and wildfires (indicator 1.2.1), can damage crops and 835 agricultural lands, affect livestock, disrupt supply chains, and affect food availability and stability of supplies.^{143,144} Changing environmental conditions affect the spread of crop and livestock pests 836 and diseases, driving production losses.^{145,146} Increasing water temperatures and ocean 837 838 acidification threaten fish stocks thereby undermining marine food supplies (indicator 1.4), while 839 rising sea levels and sea water intrusion can lead to soil salinisation and crop loses.¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁵⁰ Exposure 840 to high temperatures and extreme weather events reduces labour capacity, and 65% of all 841 potential hours of labour lost globally occurred in the agricultural sector, with agricultural 842 workers, in low and medium HDI countries disproportionately affected. (indicator 1.1.4).

843

More broadly, reduced labour capacity can result in lower incomes (indicator 4.1.3), while extreme events can lead to direct economic damages, particularly in LMICs where most losses are not insured (indicator 4.1.1). The resulting economic loses can contribute to reduced purchasing power, undermining food access.

848

849 *Food utilisation and malnutrition*

Diarrhoeal diseases are the leading cause of malnutrition in children under 5,¹⁵¹ while other 850 infections can severely affect nutrient absorption and utilisation.¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁴ Climate change therefore 851 852 increases the risk of malnutrition, by increasing the transmission risk of many infectious diseases, 853 such as malaria, dengue and vibriosis (indicator 1.3), while the increasing incidence of floods, 854 droughts, and other extreme events affect sanitation and disease outbreaks (indicator 1.1.2). 855 Further, although increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration may increase crop yields through 856 the fertilisation effect, it may also reduce the nutritional guality of some grains,¹⁵⁵ and rising sea 857 levels can increase the salinity of the soils and water supplies (indicator 2.3.3), leading to unhealthy levels of sodium in diets.¹⁵⁶ 858

859

860 Mitigation, adaptation planning, and resilience for health

Addressing threats to food insecurity requires coordinated and robust action across multiple sectors of governments and societies. There are some signs of progress in this respect: while 10% of the first NDCs made reference to this issue, the proportion increased to 17% in the second NDCs, updated from January 2020 onward (indicator 5.4). Further, 49% of cities identified climate-related risks to food and agriculture assets and services in 2020 (indicator 2.1.2).

Shifting to low-carbon, plant-forward diets would have the multiple benefits of reducing agricultural GHG emissions (indicator 3.5.1), improving health outcomes (indicator 3.5.2), 43 868 reducing the diversion of grains to livestock and the demand of land for crop production, water 869 demand, and the risk of agriculture-related zoonotic disease outbreaks.¹⁵⁷ ¹⁵⁸ Nonetheless, the 870 possibility of increased exposure to agricultural chemicals in plant-based foods needs to be 871 addressed and minimized through sustainable agricultural practices to avoid related health harms in this transition.¹⁵⁹ Interventions to increase the resilience of food systems, and improve 872 873 sanitation and healthcare can minimise climate-related nutritional risks. These include proactive 874 safety nets, nudge programmes that encourage savings, and mother and child feeding programmes.¹⁶⁰ Investment in sustainable irrigation methods,¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³ drought-resistant crops,¹⁶⁴ 875 agriculture,^{165,166} 876 financial for smallholder regional crop storage¹⁶⁷, support 877 insurance/reinsurance, and early warning systems for extreme weather events that might 878 damage crops, or increase infectious disease transmission., are each likely important in specific 879 contexts.

880

881 Conclusion

882 With 1.1°C of global average surface heating, climate change is increasingly affecting the pillars 883 of mental and physical health. Changing climatic conditions are increasing the risk of heat-related 884 illness (indicators 1.1.1–1.1.5), changing the pattern of infectious disease transmission (indicator 885 1.3), increasing health risks from extreme events (indicators 1.2.1-1.2.3), undermining 886 sanitation, and having multidimensional impacts on food and water security (indicator 1.3 and 887 panel 4). Importantly, these impacts often occur simultaneously, exacerbating the pressure on 888 health and health-supporting systems, and potentially triggering cascading impacts on the social 889 and natural systems that good health depends upon.

- 890 With the world on track to 2.4–3.5°C of heating by 2100, this section exposes the urgency of
- accelerating mitigation and adaptation to prevent the most devastating health outcomes of a
- 892 heating world.

894 Section 2: Adaptation, Planning, and Resilience for Health

With rapidly increasing climate change-related health hazards, transformative, proactive, and effective adaptation measures are immediately required to manage the health threats of unavoidable global heating, reducing exposure and vulnerabilities, and increasing resilience.⁴² Given the interconnected and multifactorial nature of health determinants and climate impacts, adaptation must be integrated across sectors, and into policies and programs in health systems, governments, and private corporations.⁴²

Three clusters of indicators are presented here. Adaptation plans and risk and vulnerability assessments—key first steps in health adaptation—are covered in indicators 2.1.1–2.1.2. The implementation of health adaptation measures and their financing are presented in indicators 2.2.1–2.2.5. The final set of indicators, presented within Section 1 in previous *Lancet* Countdown reports, have been refined and better explored to assess population vulnerabilities, resilience and adaptation interventions, and the risks associated with changing climate hazards (indicators 2.3.1–2.3.3).

908

909 2.1: Assessment and Planning of Health Adaptation

910 Evidence-based policy making requires comprehensive evaluation of the health threats of climate 911 change. Climate change and health risk, vulnerability and adaptation assessments identify 912 vulnerable populations, assess the influence of existing policies, programmes and health system 913 capacities in building resilience, and determine future adaptation needs. The following indicators 914 monitor the extent to which such assessments are being undertaken, and their contribution to 915 shaping adaptation plans that can protect populations from climate-related health impacts. 916 Indicator 2.1.1: National Assessments of Climate Change Impacts, Vulnerability and Adaptation for917 Health

Headline finding: In 2021, 48 out of 95 countries reported having completed a climate change and
health vulnerability and adaptation assessment, but these only strongly influenced resource
allocation in nine countries.

Using data from the 2021 WHO Health and Climate Change Global Survey,¹⁶⁸ this indicator monitors whether countries have completed a health vulnerability and adaptation assessment. Although 48 out of 95 countries reported completing such assessment, only nine reported that its findings 'strongly' influenced the allocation of human and financial resources to address health risks of climate change, and just 18 reported that assessments 'strongly' informed the development of health policies and programmes.

927

928 Indicator 2.1.2: National Adaptation Plans for Health

Headline finding: Approximately half of countries (49 out of 95) reported having a national health
and climate change plan in place in 2021.

931 This indicator monitors whether countries have a national health and climate change plan in 932 place, drawing on data from the 2021 WHO Health and Climate Change Global Survey.¹⁶⁸ Only 933 about half of countries (49/95) reported having a national health and climate change plan in 934 place. 65% of these countries indicated a 'moderate' or lower level of implementation, with 70% 935 of countries citing insufficient finance as a main barrier. As part of the new COP26 Health Programme Initiative on Climate Resilient Health Systems,¹⁶⁹ 59 countries committed to 936 937 conducting a vulnerability and adaptation assessment, and using the findings to inform the development of a Health National Adaptation Plan, which contributes to the UNFCCC's National 938

Adaptation Plan process. Implementing commitments to the COP26 Health Programme will
strengthen access to climate finance, inform national roadmaps for investments in climate
resilient and sustainable health systems, and support the implementation of critical health
adaptation interventions.

943

944 Indicator 2.1.3: City-level Climate Change Risk Assessments

945 Headline finding: 78% of cities reporting to the CDP's global survey had completed or were in the
946 process of conducting city-level climate change risk assessments.

947 Cities are home to over half of the world's population¹⁷⁰ and, through local interventions, are critical to delivering adaptation to climate change. Using data reported to the CDP,¹⁷¹ this 948 949 indicator reveals that, over the past 5 years, the number of cities that declared having conducted 950 climate assessments grew from 205 of 449 respondents (2016) to 725 out of 930 (2021), 951 reflecting an increased recognition of the city-level impacts of climate change. While 91% (849 of 952 930) of responding cities belonged to very high or high HDI countries, responding cities from low 953 and medium HDI countries increased by 70%, from 24 out of 471 in 2020, to 41 out of 522 in 954 2021. 64% (530 of 822) of cities reported that climate change threatened public health and/or 955 health services. In a shift from last year's reporting, infectious diseases were identified as the 956 most prominent climate-related health hazard (identified by 382 cities), followed by heatwaves 957 and poor air quality (339 and 267 cities, respectively). The COVID-19 pandemic affected climate 958 action at the city level, with 39% of cities (310 of 805) reporting it increased emphasis on climate 959 action, and only 14% (116 of 805) reporting it decreased this emphasis. However, 30% of (242 of 960 798) cities reported that COVID-19 reduced financing available for climate change while only 22% 961 (178 of 798) reported an increase in financing.

963 2.2: Enabling Conditions, Adaptation Delivery and Implementation

964 Interventions in health-related sectors can reduce climate-related exposure, vulnerability and 965 hazards, minimising risks to health and well-being.⁴² Interventions must be integrated across 966 sectors, and include health system strengthening, capacity building, behaviour change, early 967 warning systems, physical infrastructure, and climate-smart agriculture, with adequate financing 968 essential to their implementation. Indicators in this section track progress on delivering such 969 interventions.

970

971 Indicator 2.2.1: Climate Information for Health

972 Headline finding: In 2021, less than 40% of countries had climate-informed health surveillance
973 systems in place for vector-borne, waterborne and/or airborne diseases.

Delivering a robust preparedness and response to climate hazards requires that health system
have access to, and utilise, climate information. This indicator uses data from the 2021 WHO
Health and Climate Change Global Survey, to monitor the use of climate information for health
surveillance and early warning systems.¹⁶⁸

In 2021, 39% of countries (30 out of 78) reported having climate-informed health surveillance
systems for vector-borne diseases, 32% (25 out of 78) for waterborne diseases, 35% (23 out of
65) for airborne diseases, and 21% (14 out of 66) for zoonoses. However, only 13% (6 out of 47)
had such surveillance for mental health risks and 11% (8 out of 70) for foodborne diseases.

As extreme weather intensifies, climate-informed health early warning systems (HEWS) can help limit and respond to its health impacts. About one third of countries reported having climateinformed HEWS in place for heat-related events (28 of 84) and other extreme weather events (26 of 86). Half of the very high HDI countries (13 of 26) had HEWS for extreme weather events

- 986 compared to only 19% (6 out of 31) of low or medium HDI countries. While 64% (16 of 25) of the
- 987 very high HDI countries had climate-informed HEWS for heat-related events this dropped to just
- 988 13% of low or medium HDI countries (4 of 30).

989

- 990 Indicator 2.2.2: Air Conditioning: Benefits and Harms
- 991 Headline finding: While helping prevent heat-related illness, AC in 2020 was also responsible for
- 992 0.9 gigaton of CO₂ emissions and 24,000 deaths attributable to PM_{2.5} exposure.
- While air conditioning (AC) is effective at protecting against heat-related illness, ¹⁷² 1.8–4.1 billion 993 994 people in LMICs exposed to heat stress lack indoor cooling, and AC is often unaffordable in these countries.^{173,174} Where used, it also contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution, urban 995 heat island effects, power outages, and energy poverty.¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁷⁹ Using data from the International 996 Energy Agency,¹⁸⁰ this indicator reports that about one-third of households globally had AC in 997 998 2020, up by 66% from 2000. AC use in 2020 was responsible for 0.9 gigaton of CO_2 emissions and 999 for 24,000 deaths from PM_{2.5} exposure. Sustainable cooling alternatives need to be rolled out 1000 rapidly to avoid the worst health impacts from rising temperatures (panel 5).

1001

1002 Indicator 2.2.3: Urban Green Space

1003 Headline finding: In 2021, just 27% of urban centres were classified as moderately-green or above.

1004 Nature-based solutions can contribute to climate change adaptation and have ecosystem 1005 benefits.⁴² Green spaces reduce urban heat islands, positively affect physical and mental health, 1006 and provide adaptation to extreme heat.¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸³ This indicator reports population-weighted 1007 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) as a proxy for green space exposure in 1038 urban centres. Despite increasingly extreme heat, average global exposure to urban greenspace
remained consistently low since 2015 (mean NDVI 0.34), and just 27% of urban centres were
moderately-green or above in 2021 (Figure 6). Only 33% of cities in very high HDI countries, and
39% of those in medium HDI countries, had at least moderate levels of greenness; a proportion
that is even lower in high and low HDI countries (16% for both).

1013



1014

Figure 6: Level of urban greenness in urban centres with more than 500,000 inhabitants in 2021. The
numbers in brackets represent the population-weighted NDVI level.

1017

1018 Panel 5: Heat adaptation strategies through sustainable low-energy cooling

1019 Of all natural disasters, heatwaves cause the most deaths,¹⁸⁴ with older adults and those with 1020 cardiovascular disease, living with poverty and isolated in low-cost housing, most at risk.¹⁸⁵ Air-1021 conditioning (AC) can offer effective protection,¹⁷² but is expensive and thus inaccessible to

1022 many.¹⁸⁶ Peak energy demands from widespread AC use can overwhelm energy systems and 1023 result in electricity blackouts and brownouts, particularly in places where energy infrastructure 1024 is frail or sources are restricted, worsening health impacts.¹⁸⁷ As more people adopt AC 1025 worldwide, the soaring electricity demand also hinders low-energy transition, and contributes to 1026 increased GHG emissions (indicator 3.1).¹⁸⁸ Through waste heat generation, AC use also 1027 intensifies urban heat, and contributes to increased exposure to air pollution (indicator 2.2.2). 1028 Sustainable and affordable cooling alternatives are therefore urgently needed.

1029 Modifications in the landscape and built environment can provide local cooling benefits. Water 1030 bodies act as heat sinks, and vegetation provides shading and cooling by evapo-transpiration.¹⁸⁹ 1031 Reflective roofs and better building insulation can attenuate heat transfer to the individual.¹⁸⁶ 1032 However, such interventions can require long-term changes to urban or regional infrastructure. 1033 Alternatively, heat resilience in the immediate-term can be built through low-resource and 1034 sustainable cooling behaviours at the personal scale. The use of window blinds can help reduce 1035 indoor temperatures by blocking solar radiation. Where AC is available, moving indoor air with 1036 fans elevates the upper temperature of warm discomfort by 3-4°C, allowing for AC to be set at a higher temperature,¹⁹⁰ reducing AC-energy demand.¹⁹¹ Electric fans provide effective cooling up 1037 to at least 40°C for resting and active young adults,^{192,193} and to around 38°C for resting older 1038 adults, while using 30-times less energy than AC.¹⁹⁴ However, in very hot-dry conditions (>45°C 1039 1040 with <15% relative humidity (RH)), fans must be used with extreme caution because they can worsen physiological heat strain and dehydration;¹⁹³ an effect likely aggravated in older adults. 1041 1042 Evaporative coolers, depending on their size, use 2 to 5-times less energy than AC, and can reduce 1043 indoor temperatures by 5-10°C in dry weather (<20-30% RH). However, they are inefficient cooling devices in high humidity (>50-60% RH) unless used with a dehumidifier¹⁹⁵ and are 1044 1045 dependent on reliable water supply. In cases where electricity is unavailable, including during 1046 power blackouts, studies suggest that cooling could be achieved by frequently wetting large skin 1047 areas, which may reduce physiological heat strain and improve thermal comfort up to at least

1048 47°C.¹⁹⁶ Donning lightweight water-soaked clothing, provides similar benefits up to at least 1049 ~43°C.¹⁹⁷ Immersing both feet in cool water (<20°C) for 10 minutes every 20 minutes might 1050 reduce dehydration and improve thermal comfort up to at least 47°C.¹⁹⁶ Immersing both 1051 hands/arms to the elbow in 10°C water can blunt core temperature rises at air temperatures up 1052 to 40°C.¹⁹⁸

Public health campaigns promoting these evidence-based sustainable cooling strategies in advance of, and during, bouts of extreme heat will not only help reduce energy demand and energy poverty, but also reduce the risk of heat-related morbidity and mortality, and help build resilience in the face of rising global temperatures.

1057

1058 Indicator 2.2.4: Health Adaptation-Related Funding

Headline finding: In 2021, only 15% of US\$1.14 billion under the Green Climate Fund went towards
adaptation activities with health benefits.

1061 Financial resources are essential to implementation health adaptation interventions.⁴² This 1062 indicator uses transactional data from kMatrix's Adaptation and Resilience to Climate Change 1063 dataset, to monitor global spending with the potential to support adaptation in healthcare 1064 sectors, and in sectors of health relevance (e.g. agriculture, water and built environment). In the 1065 fiscal year 2020/21, US\$21.78 billion was spent in transactions that could support health and 1066 healthcare adaptation (5.6% of total adaptation-related spending), and US\$111.2 billion (28.5%) 1067 was spent in transactions with the potential to deliver adaptation in health-relevant sectors. In a 1068 reversal of previous years' trend, the share of spending in these two sectors with respect to total 1069 adaptation-related spending fell slightly (by less than 0.1%).

1070 The second part of this indicator monitors global multilateral funding for health-related 1071 adaptation projects by the Green Climate Fund (GCF). In 2021, the GCF approved US\$726 million 1072 for 15 adaptation projects and US\$414 million for eight 'cross-cutting' mitigation and adaptation 1073 projects. Of this, only 15% (US\$166 million) went to projects whose benefits included 'increased 1074 resilience of health and wellbeing'. Furthermore, of the 54 concept notes submitted for 1075 adaptation and cross-cutting projects (US\$1.6 billion), only four focused on health systems (US\$218 million), none of which were approved. These findings highlight a deficit in the 1076 1077 prioritisation of health within adaptation funding.

1078

1079 Indicator 2.2.5: Detection, Preparedness and Response to Health Emergencies

Headline finding: 63% of 177 countries reported high to very high implementation status for
health emergency management in 2021.

1082 This indicator monitors implementation of core capacity 7 (C7), health emergency management, 1083 of the International Health Regulations (IHR). With slight changes from previous years, 1084 emergency management under core capacity 7 is now comprised of three capacity requirements: 1085 planning for health emergencies, management of health emergency response, and emergency 1086 logistic and supply chain management. In 2021, 63% of countries (112 out of 177) reported high 1087 to very high implementation (capacity score of 61-100) of health emergency management. 1088 Considering HDI, large disparities existed, with only 35% of low or medium HDI countries 1089 reporting high to very high implementation status of health emergency management compared 1090 to 88% of very high HDI countries.

1091 The COVID-19 pandemic triggered a review of the IHR by the World Health Assembly in 1092 2020.^{199,200} Proposed reforms include regular country reviews and monitoring mechanisms, 1093 increased support for their implementation, and better information sharing, all of which can help

strengthen health systems from climate change- related health hazards. Climate change emergency preparedness and response requires a multisectoral approach with strengthened leadership and coordination of international financial and health institutions, and increased ability to address public health misinformation. Such measures would deliver cascading benefits through the whole health system.^{199,201}

1099

1100 2.3: Vulnerabilities, Health Risk and Resilience to Climate Change

1101 Climate change adaptation aims to reduce human exposure and vulnerability to climate hazards; 1102 minimising health risks, and ultimately minimising climate change-related health impacts. The 1103 following indicators provide a glance at the effectiveness of adaptation and health system 1104 strengthening in modifying climate-related health risks.

1105

1106 Indicator 2.3.1: Vulnerability to Mosquito-Borne Diseases

Headline finding: Improvements in healthcare contributed to a 45% decrease in vulnerability to
severe dengue outcomes in low HDI countries from 1990 to 2019, while urbanisation drove a 17%
increase in very high HDI countries.

Dengue incidence increased eightfold in the past two decades, driven by population movement, international trade, urbanisation, and increasing climatic suitability (indicator 1.3).^{117-119,202,203} While controlling its spread is challenging,²⁰⁴ timely and adequate treatment is essential to prevent severe health outcomes.^{42,205,206} This indicator tracks the relative vulnerability to severe adverse dengue outcomes in countries where the climatic conditions are suitable for dengue outbreaks (RO>1, as per indicator 1.3), combining two main determinants of dengue vulnerability: healthcare access and quality (using mortality from key preventable diseases as a proxy), and the proportion of population in urban environments.^{202,207} Between 1990 and 2019, improvements
in healthcare contributed to a 45% reduction in vulnerability to severe dengue outcomes in low
HDI countries, and a 28% reduction in medium HDI countries. However, urbanisation drove an
increase of 17% in vulnerability to dengue in very high HDI countries.

1121 Indicator 2.3.2: Lethality of Extreme Weather Events

Headline finding: The average lethality per climate-related disaster has decreased from 837
deaths in 1980–1989 to 46 in 2012–2021, and is negatively associated with healthcare spending.

1124 The number of reported climate and weather-related disasters increased five-fold over the last 1125 50 years.²⁰⁸ Using data from the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters,²⁰⁹ data in 1126 this indicator shows that the proportion of all climate-related events that were deadly has 1127 increased steadily since at least 1980. However their lethality decreased globally from an average 1128 of 837 deaths per event in 1980–1989 to 46 in 2012–2021 (P<0.031). The average number of 1129 people affected per disaster is negatively correlated with GDP, HDI, and the percentage of GDP 1130 spent on healthcare, with the latter showing the strongest correlation. With many extreme events becoming increasingly frequent and severe, these results underscore the importance of 1131 1132 health system strengthening, including through the implementation of the priorities outlined in 1133 the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction.²¹⁰ Given the socially-defined gender 1134 differences in the impacts and response of extreme events, a gender-sensitive approach is particularly needed.²¹¹ 1135

1136

1137 Indicator 2.3.3: Migration, Displacement, and Rising Sea Levels

1138 Headline finding: In 2020, 149.6 million people were settled less than 1 metre above current sea

1139 *level, in regions increasingly at risk from the hazards of the rising seas.*

1140 Global mean sea level (GMSL) has risen by 3.7mm per year between 2006 and 2018, and will 1141 reach 0.28–1.01 m or more by 2100, depending on climate change mitigation efforts, ice sheet collapse, and local factors.^{74,212-215} Using land elevation and population data, this indicator 1142 reports that there were 149.6 million people living less than 1m above sea level in 2020, a slight 1143 1144 increase from the 145.2 million people settled there in 2010. These populations face risks from 1145 flooding, coastal and riverbank erosion, severe storms, soil and water salinisation, spread of infectious diseases, and permanent inundation.²¹⁵⁻²¹⁷ With insufficient in situ adaptation, human 1146 1147 relocation (forced, or as a proactive adaptation measure) could be a response, and its health impacts will largely depend on the support given to migrant populations.⁴² The development of 1148 1149 policies to protect the health of migrant and immobile populations is critical. As of December 1150 2021, 45 policies connecting climate change and migration were identified in 37 countries.

1151

1152 Conclusion

1153 The indicators in this section expose how, while national and city-level assessment of the climate-1154 related health risks is gradually increasing and health system strengthening might have reduced 1155 the impact of extreme events, the pace and scale of climate change adaptation, planning, and 1156 resilience is far from what is necessary to reduce the health impacts of climate change. Despite 1157 rising heat, only 27% of urban centres have at least a moderate level of greenness, and just 28 of 1158 84 countries report having heat-related early warning systems for health. Funding to support 1159 health adaptation remains grossly insufficient, and is seldom influenced by vulnerability and 1160 adaptation assessments. The past year saw global health, economic, and conflict shocks that 1161 lacerated public health, with climate change playing a role in exacerbating many of them. 1162 Without global coordination, transparency, and cooperation between governments, 1163 communities, civil society, businesses, and public health leaders, the world will remain vulnerable

to international emergencies. The gap between the health impacts of climate change, andadaptation investment and implementation continues to increase, to the detriment of all.

1166

1167 Section 3: Mitigation Actions and Health Co-Benefits

Due to COVID-19-related responses, anthropogenic CO₂ emissions fell by 5.4% on 2020 - the 1168 largest drop over the past 25 years.²¹⁸ However, with little structural change to limit fossil fuels 1169 use, emissions rebounded by 6% in 2021, reaching an all-time high.²¹⁹ The current 1.1°C of 1170 1171 warming proved to be already dangerous to health (Section 1). To limit temperature rise to 1.5°C 1172 above pre-industrial levels, emissions should decrease 45% from 2010 levels by 2030. However, 1173 even if commitments in countries' NDCs were enacted, emissions in 2030 would be 13.7% above 2010 levels.²²⁰ The grossly insufficient decarbonisation, compounded by geopolitical conflict, has 1174 1175 made it vastly more challenging to limit temperature rise to 1.5°C, and the window of opportunity 1176 to achieve this is rapidly closing.⁸

Accelerated decarbonisation would not only prevent the most catastrophic health impacts of accelerated heating but, if designed to maximise health benefits, could also save millions of lives through healthier diets, more active lifestyles, and improved air quality.²²¹ Indicators in this section monitor the world's efforts to reduce GHG emissions across energy (indicators 3.1 and 3.2), transport (indicator 3.4), food and agriculture (indicator 3.5) and healthcare (indicator 3.6), and monitor the health benefits that could arise from prioritising health in mitigation policies.

1183

1184 Indicator 3.1: Energy System and Health

Headline finding: CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion alone rebounded in 2021 by 4.8%
after a 5.8% drop in 2020 due to COVID-19-related impacts.

1187 Energy systems are the largest single source of greenhouse gas emissions and are major 1188 contributors to air pollution. Global energy system transition to renewables is not only critical for climate change mitigation,⁸ but could also contribute towards universal, affordable, and clean 1189 1190 energy;²²² reduce air pollution; and decrease dependence on international markets and foreign 1191 policies. Using data from the IEA, this indicator shows that the carbon intensity of the global 1192 energy system continued to fall in 2019 for the seventh consecutive year, to 55.4 tCO₂/TJ. 1193 However, this is still far from the requirements of keeping global warming at 1.5°C, with a 1194 reduction of less than 1% from 1992 levels, the year the UNFCCC was adopted. At the pace 1195 recorded from 2014, fully decarbonising the energy system would take 150 years. In addition, 1196 the increasing demand for energy means fossil fuel use is still rising, and fossil fuel-derived CO₂ 1197 emissions rebounded in 2021 by 4.8%, after a 5.8% drop in 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic 1198 (Figure 7), driving CO₂ emissions to a record high.²¹⁹

Phasing-out coal is particularly urgent, given its high GHG and air pollution intensity. However, coal still provides 26.7% of global energy supply, 2.8 percentage points more than in 1992. Responsible for 54% of global coal energy use in 2019, China's coal expansion was a major contributor to the rise in global GHG emissions since the early 2000s, with its per capita emissions at 7tCO₂/person in 2019 now equivalent to the OECD average.²²³

Growth in renewable electricity reached record levels in 2020, with the installation of 139 GW of solar PV and 93 GW of wind power. This corresponded to 90% of new electricity installation in 2020,²²⁴ and to renewables providing 8.2% of global electricity, twice 2013 levels. However, big differences exist between countries globally, and only 1.4% of the electricity of low HDI countries is produced from modern renewables (mostly solar, wind and geothermal), against 9.5% in very
high HDI countries. Concerningly, 60% of healthcare facilities in low and middle-income countries
still lack access to the reliable electricity needed to provide basic care,²²⁵ only 2.2% of total world
energy comes from renewable sources, and fossil fuel use, in absolute terms, has grown faster.
A low-carbon transition can help countries increase local energy production, gain independence
from volatile fossil fuel markets, and reduce energy poverty.

1214



1217 Figure 7: Greenhouse gas emissions from the global energy system. Panel A: Global CO_2 emissions from

1218 fossil fuel usage. Preliminary and modelled values shown for years 2020 and 2021 respectively. Panel B:

1219 Global CO₂ emissions from the use of coal

1221 Indicator 3.2: Clean Household Energy

Headline finding: Despite improved access to clean fuels, biomass and fossil fuels accounted for
31% and 26% of global household energy, respectively, in 2020.

Around 770 million people lack access to electricity in their homes,²²⁶ and their use of dirty fuels 1224 is leading to high exposure to air pollution.²²⁷ In parallel, with residential energy contributing to 1225 17% of global GHG emissions, transitioning to clean fuels in the domestic sector is essential to 1226 1227 meet mitigation goals.²²⁸ Drawing on IEA data, this indicator reveals that biomass still 1228 represented the largest source of residential energy in 2020 (31%), while electricity contributed to 25%, and fossil fuels to 26%. Africa and Southeast Asia improved access to clean energy from 1229 1230 13% and 19% respectively in 2000, to 20% and 64% in 2020. However, they remain heavily reliant 1231 on solid biofuels. Data from the WHO indicates that globally, while 86% of the urban population 1232 had access to clean fuels and technologies for cooking in 2020, only 48% of rural populations did. Inequities were also marked between countries, with 98% of the population in very high HDI 1233 1234 countries having access to clean fuels and technologies for cooking, against just 13% in low HDI 1235 countries (Figure 8).

1236 The WHO estimates that the use of solid fuels for cooking resulted in 3.8 million deaths attributable to household air pollution (HAP) in 2016.²²⁹ Providing the capacity to monitor 1237 1238 changes in HAP exposure year on year, this new indicator builds on a previously published model,²³⁰ to estimate HAP using a Bayesian hierarchical model that accounts for fuel usage, stove 1239 1240 types, socioeconomic variables, and ambient air pollution in 62 countries. It estimates the use of 1241 solid fuels for cooking and heating resulted in a global average PM_{2.5} concentration in people's homes of 150 μ g/m³ in 2020 (168 μ g/m³ in rural households and 91 μ g/m³ in urban dwellings). 1242 1243 With values broadly exceeding the 5 μ g/m³ threshold recommended by the WHO,²³¹ the delayed 1244 transition to clean household energies is profoundly affecting people's health.

1245

Economic hardship during the COVID-19 pandemic has deepened energy insecurity in households in countries of all HDI levels. The number of people without access to electricity increased in 2020 for the first time in six years,²³² with shifts and dirty fuels, and increasing exposure to household air pollution.^{233,234} Indeed, the share of the population without access to electricity in Sub-Saharan Africa increased by three percentage points, to 77% in 2020.²²⁶ Russia's invasion of Ukraine threatens to exacerbate this situation, through rising energy prices and supply chain disruption.^{235,236}





Year
Figure 8: Percentage of the rural and urban population with primary reliance on clean fuels for cooking,
by HDI country group.

- 1258 Indicator 3.3: Mortality from Ambient Air Pollution by Sector
- 1259 Headline finding: In 2020, exposure to ambient anthropogenic PM_{2.5} contributed to 3.3 million
- 1260 *deaths. Of these, 1.2 million were directly related to fossil fuel combustion.*

1261 Exposure to air pollution increases the risk of respiratory and cardiovascular disease, lung cancer, diabetes, neurological disorders, and adverse pregnancy outcomes.²³⁷ This indicator estimates 1262 1263 the mortality attributable to ambient PM_{2.5}, combining atmospheric modelling with information of activity in emitting sectors. This year, baseline mortality data was updated, and attributable 1264 deaths from type 2 diabetes also included.²³⁸ In 2020, exposure to ambient PM_{2.5} contributed to 1265 4.2 million deaths, unchanged from 2015, while mortality per 100,000 decreased by 5% (Figure 1266 9). Of these, 80% (3.3 million) were attributable to anthropogenic emissions; of which 1.2 million 1267 (35%) were directly related to fossil fuel combustion. Deaths due to coal combustion have 1268 1269 decreased by 18% from 687,000 in 2015 to 561,000 in 2020, largely due to strict air pollution 1270 control measures in China and coal phase down in Europe.





1272 Figure 9: Mortality attributable to ambient PM_{2.5} exposure by region, sector, and source fuel.

1274 Indicator 3.4: Sustainable and Healthy Road Transport

Headline finding: Fossil fuel use in road transport fell by 0.8% in 2019, while electricity use grewby 15.7%.

1277 The transport sector contributed to 25% of global CO₂ emissions in 2019.^{5,219,239} If combined with 1278 energy grid decarbonisation, electric vehicles can be an important mitigation tool. The use of 1279 electricity for road transport grew by 237% in the last decade, but still represents just 0.3% of 1280 total fuel use for road travel. Sales of electric vehicles more than doubled in 2021,²⁴⁰ a growth 1281 led by China, with nearly 3.4 million sales (12% of the total). However, only 1% of the global car 1282 stock is electric.²⁴¹

1283 Road transport decarbonisation through modal shift to active travel can deliver health benefits 1284 from reduced air pollution, which accounted for 497,000 deaths in 2020 (indicator 3.3), and 1285 increased physical activity.^{242,243} Smartphone data suggests that public transit use has returned 1286 to pre-pandemic levels in 85% of countries for which data are available,²⁴⁴ and highlights the 1287 need to deliver robust policies that encourage shifts towards active travel and public transit 1288 modes.

1289

1290 3.5: Food, Agriculture, and Health

The global food system contributes one third of all GHG emissions.²⁴⁵ Emissions from the agricultural sector are dominated by ruminant rearing, mostly mediated by methane emissions and land use change.^{246,247} Shifting to low-carbon plant-forward diets can not only help mitigate agricultural-sector emissions, but also have important health co-benefits from improvements in dietary risk factors and mortality from non-communicable diseases.^{238,248,249} The following two indicators track agricultural emissions (indicator 3.5.1) and the health impacts of carbon-

intensive diets (indicator 3.5.2), identifying the potential health opportunity of agriculturaldecarbonisation.

1299

1300 Indicator 3.5.1: Emissions From Agricultural Production and Consumption

1301 *Headline finding: Red meat and milk contribute to 55% of global agriculture emissions.*

1302 This indicator, improved from previous reports to include data on 140 food types, estimates that 1303 emissions from agricultural product consumption have remained stable at around 1304 0.9tCO₂e/capita, while total emissions have increased 31% since 2000 (Figure 10). In 2019, 55% 1305 of global agricultural emissions came from red meat and dairy products. Per capita emissions 1306 from red meat and dairy consumption in very high HDI countries were twice those in the rest of 1307 the world (0.8 tCO₂e/capita vs 0.4tCO₂e/capita). Increases in palm oil production account for 1308 some of the greatest changes since 2000, for which emissions in South-East Asia (mainly 1309 Indonesia) increased over 600%.



1310 Year
1311 Figure 10: Emissions of greenhouse gases on farms associated with food consumption (production and net
1312 imports) per person by HDI level.

- 1313
- 1314 Indicator 3.5.2: Diet and Health Co-Benefits
- 1315 Headline finding: In 2019, 11.5 million deaths were attributable to imbalanced diets, 17% related
- 1316 to high intake of red and processed meat and dairy products.
- 1317 This indicator tracks the health burden from unhealthy diets and, new to this year, of imbalanced1318 energy intake.
- 1319 In 2019, 11.5 million deaths were attributable to imbalanced diets. 17% (2 million) of them were
- 1320 related to red and processed meat and dairy consumption, of which 93% occurred in high and
- 1321 very high HDI countries. In low and medium HDI countries, the low consumption of fresh fruit

and vegetables was the major contributor to diet-related mortality; at 44% of all diet-relateddeaths in low HDI countries, and 37% in medium HDI countries.

1324

1325 Indicator 3.6: Healthcare Sector Emissions

Headline finding: From 2018 to 2019, emissions from the healthcare sector grew more than 5%,
reaching 5.2% of global GHG emissions.

1328 Given the health impacts of climate change, health systems must be at the forefront of 1329 decarbonisation to fulfil their mandate of doing no harm. This indicator monitors healthcare 1330 sector emissions combining healthcare expenditure data with a global environmentally-extended 1331 multi-region input-output model. It estimates that in 2019, the healthcare sector contributed 1332 approximately 5.2% (2.7 Gt CO₂e) of global GHG emissions, a rise of over 5% from the previous 1333 year. Of the 37 health systems analysed individually, the USA's had the most per-capita emissions, 50 times those of India's (Figure 11). Despite this, the USA has the 6th-lowest healthy 1334 1335 life expectancy at birth (66.2 years). Per capita emissions in the 10 countries with the highest life 1336 expectancy ranged from 1065 kgCO₂e/person in the Republic of Korea, to 321 kgCO₂e/person in 1337 France, highlighting that high quality healthcare can be achieved with lower emissions. Recent 1338 decarbonisation commitments from over 50 national health services provide hope for emerging progress (Panel 6).²⁵⁰ 1339



Figure 11: National per-capita greenhouse gas emissions from the healthcare sector against the healthy
life expectancy at birth in 2019, by WHO region. The point circle size is proportional to country population
kgCO₂e=kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent.

1344

1345 Panel 6: Healthcare, COVID and Climate Change

The COVID-19 pandemic has greatly altered patterns of healthcare usage in countries around the world, and in turn, their healthcare-associated emissions. Many health systems experienced massive increases in expenditures on personal protective equipment (PPE), diagnostic testing, and provision of critical care, but also saw decreases in non-COVID-19 essential and elective care. As a result, healthcare GHG emissions are expected to have shifted substantially in 2020–2021, perhaps even decreasing in some countries. But while reducing usage and associated emissions is a goal of healthcare climate change mitigation efforts, this must not come at the expense of
deferring or avoiding necessary care. Measures of progress toward decarbonising the health
sector need to be simultaneously oriented toward achieving both optimal health and reduced
GHG emissions.

The pandemic has highlighted risks associated with healthcare's sprawling supply chains, including widespread shortages of basic medicines, equipment, and PPE, among others. Leading health systems must simultaneously focus on reducing these supply chain risks and mitigating GHG emissions. COP26 resulted in historic commitments by 60 countries thus far, to develop climate-resilient and/or low- or net zero-carbon health systems,²⁵⁰ and many are beginning to implement and share best practices that both improve resilience and reduce life cycle GHG emissions²⁵¹.

1363

1364 Conclusion

Following the easing of COVID-19 pandemic lockdowns, CO₂ emissions rebounded to record levels in 2021. With each year that global GHG emissions fail to fall, reaching net-zero by 2050 becomes more challenging, putting lives at increased risk from climate change.

Whilst impacts of COVID-19 on the indicators in this section are still emerging, many of the challenges to delivering mitigation and health co-benefits have been entrenched since the start of the pandemic, including the domestic overreliance on biomass, record levels of coal extraction in China, and rebounding emissions from road transport. The ongoing energy crisis, deepened by Russia's war on Ukraine, threatens to worsen this situation, further undermining progress and exacerbating energy poverty. Increasing energy efficiency, conservation, and adoption of renewables, on the other hand, could deliver healthier, more resilient, and self-sufficient energy

- 1375 systems. Millions of lives could be saved each year through an accelerated transition to cleaner
- 1376 fuels, healthier diets, and active modes of travel.

1378 Section 4: Economics and Finance

1379 Limiting global temperature rise to 1.5°C requires rapid decarbonisation in all economic sectors. 1380 While the up-front investment required to deliver a low-carbon transformation is substantial, 1381 this would deliver immediate economic benefits and health co-benefits, in addition to avoiding 1382 long-term climate change impacts.^{252,253} With the right incentives, and market and governance 1383 conditions, the necessary private sector investment is available. Separately, it is disappointing 1384 that parties to the UNFCCC have so far failed to deliver on the goal of mobilising the much smaller 1385 sum of US\$100 billion annually to support climate action in "developing" countries to which they 1386 committed 13 years ago; a commitment essential not only to deliver global climate goals, but 1387 also to ensure a just transition.⁸ In addition, the ongoing energy crisis, stimulated by the COVID-1388 19 pandemic and exacerbated by the war in Ukraine, is deepening energy poverty, and exposing 1389 further dimensions of the human costs of a fossil fuel-dependent global energy system. Indicators 1390 in this section explore the economic costs of climate change, and monitor the transition to a low-1391 carbon, healthy, and just global economy.

1392

1393 4.1 The Economic Impact of Climate Change and its Mitigation

1394 Climate change is causing additional healthcare costs and loss of labour productivity. This, in turn, 1395 affects household incomes and national economies, and the damage caused by climate-related 1396 extreme events results in further economic losses. Indicators in this section track the economic 1397 costs associated with the health impacts of climate change, revealing the potential benefits from 1398 accelerated climate action.

1400 Indicator 4.1.1: Economic Losses due to Climate-Related Extreme Events

Headline finding: Very high HDI countries suffered around half the global economic losses due to
climate-related extreme events in 2021, and double the rate of the global average as proportion
of GDP. While around half of their losses were insured, the vast majority of the losses in other
countries were uninsured.

The loss of infrastructure and resulting economic losses due to extreme events can exacerbate the health impacts through disruption of essential services and impacts on the social determinants of health. This indicator tracks the economic losses from climate-related extreme events, using data provided by Swiss Re.²⁵⁴

In 2021, climate-related extreme events induced measurable economic losses of US\$ 253 billion, with 84% of these losses in very high HDI countries. As a proportion of GDP, losses in the very high HDI group are double the global average. However, nearly half of these losses were insured, while insured losses represented only 8% and 5% of all losses in high and medium HDI countries and were effectively zero in the low HDI country group. These high levels of uninsured losses exacerbate the economic burden of climate change in lower HDI countries, with losses going either unreplaced, or the cost of replacement falling directly on individuals and institutions.

1416

1417 Indicator 4.1.2: Costs of Heat-Related Mortality

Headline finding: The monetised value of global heat-related mortality was estimated to be
US\$144 billion in 2021, equivalent to the average income of 12.4 million people.

This indicator combines estimates of years of life lost (YLL) data from indicator 1.1.6 with value
of statistical life-year (VSLY), to estimate the monetised loss caused by heat-related mortality.
The valuation of life across varying HDI levels presents a methodological and ethical challenge,
72
1424 which this indicator addresses by presenting the cost of deaths attributable to heat as the 1425 proportion of GDP and the equivalent annual average income. From 2000 to 2021, monetised 1426 losses increased at an average rate of US\$4.9 billion each year, equivalent to 0.16% of gross world 1427 product (GWP) in 2021 (Figure 12). The last six years register the highest losses, at an average 1428 equivalent to the income of 12.4 million people, 73% higher than in 2000–2005. In 2021, very 1429 high HDI countries incurred the highest losses, equivalent to 5.3 million of their residents' 1430 average income, with losses equivalent to 4.7 million, 1.48 million and 0.51 million average 1431 incomes in high, medium and low HDI countries, respectively.



1432

1433 Figure 12: Monetized value of heat-related mortality (in terms of equivalence to the average income) by

1434 HDI country groups from 2000 to 2021

1435

1436 Indicator 4.1.3: Loss of Earnings from Heat-Related Labour Capacity Reduction

1437 Headline finding: The global potential income loss from labour capacity reduction due to extreme

1438 heat was US\$669 billion in 2021. The agricultural sector was the most severely affected, incurring

1439 82% and 71% of the average losses in low and medium HDI countries, respectively.

1440 This indicator quantifies the loss of earnings that could result from heat-related labour capacity 1441 loss, combining data from indicator 1.1.4 with hourly wage data from the International Labour 1442 Organization (ILO).

1443 The global potential loss of earnings was US\$669 billion in 2021, equivalent to for 0.72% of GWP 1444 in 2021. In 2021, low and medium HDI countries experienced the highest average relative income 1445 losses, equivalent to 5.6% and 3.9% of their GDP respectively (Figure 13). Of all global losses, 40% 1446 occurred in the agricultural sector. Agricultural labourers of low and medium HDI countries, often 1447 amongst the world's poorest,²⁵⁵⁻²⁵⁷ endured on average 82% and 71% of the losses in those 1448 countries, respectively. Affecting individual finances, these losses impact on people's wellbeing, 1449 food security, and the social determinants of health,² and cascade through the economies of the 1450 nations they live in.



1451

Figure 13: Average potential loss of earnings per Human Development Index country group as a result of
potential labour loss due to heat exposure. Losses are presented as share of GDP and sector of
employment.

1455

1456 Indicator 4.1.4: Costs of the Health Impacts of Air Pollution

1457 Headline finding: In 2020, the monetised costs of premature mortality due to air pollution 1458 amounted to US\$2.3 trillion, the equivalent of 2.7% of gross world product.

This indicator places an economic value on the YLLs from exposure to anthropogenic ambient PM_{2.5} as per indicator 3.3. While costs relative to average income and GDP decreased between 2019 and 2020 in all HDI groups, in 2020 the total cost amounted to US\$2.3 trillion, or the equivalent of 2.7% of GWP. The high HDI country group has the greatest costs relative to per capita income, equivalent to the annual average income of 92.3 million of its people. The medium HDI group has the greatest costs relative to the size of their collective economies, equivalent tonearly 4% of GDP.

1466

1467 4.2 The Economics of the Transition to Net Zero-Carbon Economies

1468 Meeting the Paris Agreement goals requires a low-carbon transition of the whole economy.

1469 Indicators in this section monitor jobs and investment in low-carbon energy, net carbon pricing,

1470 and the effect of global trade on emissions. A new indicator quantifies the extent to which the

1471 activities of oil and gas firms are in line with the pathways needed to achieve 1.5°C of heating.

1472

1473 Indicator 4.2.1: Clean Energy Investment

Headline finding: Between 2020 and 2021, investment in global energy supply investment
increased by 14%; zero-carbon sources accounted for 80% of investment in electricity generation
in 2021.

As described in the previous section, phasing out fossil fuels, and particularly coal, and investing in low-carbon energy supply is essential for both mitigating climate change and for reducing premature mortality due to air pollution. Taking data from the IEA, this indicator monitors trends in global investment in energy supply and energy efficiency.

Between 2020 and 2021 total investment increased by 14%, with investment increasing in all forms of energy supply and end-use efficiency except coal for electricity generation. In 2021, electricity generation accounted for 28% of investment. Of this, 80% was invested in zero-carbon sources. However, fossil fuels continue to account for more than 90% of non-electricity sector investment. Energy efficiency accounted for 15% of all investment – an increase from 13% in 2020. To be on track for net-zero global emissions by 2050, investment in low-carbon energy,
efficiency and electricity networks must nearly quadruple by 2030, and account for at least 90%
of all energy investment.²⁵⁸

1489 Indicator 4.2.2: Employment in Low-Carbon and High-Carbon Industries

Headline finding: With over 12 million employees, direct and indirect employment in renewable
energy exceeded direct employment in fossil fuel extraction for the first time in 2020.

Employees in fossil fuel extraction industries, particularly coal mining, can have a greater incidence of non-communicable disease than the general population.²⁵⁹ Increasing employment in the renewable industry could improve health and livelihoods. It could also deliver improvements in gender balance, with a greater proportion of women employed in the renewable sector than in the fossil fuel industry.²⁶⁰

This indicator shows that over 12 million people were employed directly or indirectly by the renewable energy industry in 2020, up by 5% from 2019. For the first time, direct and indirect employment in renewables exceeded direct employment in fossil fuel extraction industry, which recorded 10.5 million employees (down by 10% from 2019), reaffirming that renewable energy could support job security, now and in the future.

1502

1503 Indicator 4.2.3: Funds Divested from Fossil Fuels

Headline finding: The global value of funds committing to fossil fuel divestment between 2008
and 2021 was US\$40.23 trillion, with health institutions accounting for US\$54 billion.

1506 By divesting holdings in fossil fuel companies, organisations can both reduce the social licence of 1507 fossil fuel companies to operate, and hedge against risk of losses due to so-called stranded assets in an increasingly decarbonised world.^{261,262} This indicator tracks the value of funds divested from
 fossil fuels using data provided by stand.earth and 350.org.²⁶³

From 2008 until the end of 2021, 1,506 organisations, with assets worth at least US\$40.23 trillion, have committed to divestment. Of these organisations, only 27 are health institutions, with assets totalling US\$54 billion. The value of new funds committed to divesting in 2021 was US\$9.42 trillion, with no new health institutions divesting.

1514

1515 Indicator 4.2.4: Net Value of Fossil Fuel Subsidies and Carbon Prices

Headline finding: 80% of the 86 countries reviewed had a net-negative carbon price in 2019,
hindering the transition away from fossil fuels. The resulting net loss of government revenue was
in many cases equivalent to large proportions of the national health budget.

1519 Carbon prices help economies transition from high-carbon fuels, but many governments 1520 subsidise fossil fuels, encouraging health-harming emissions and slowing the low-carbon 1521 transition. This indicator compares carbon prices and monetary fossil fuel subsidies to calculate 1522 net economy-wide average carbon prices and revenues, covering 86 countries responsible for 1523 92% of global CO₂ emissions.

In 2019, 42 countries had a carbon pricing mechanism in place, but only 17 produced a netpositive carbon price – all of which were very high HDI countries (Figure 14). 69 countries out of 86 reviewed (80%) had net-negative carbon prices (i.e., provided a net subsidy to fossil fuels), for a net total of US\$400 billion that year alone. The median subsidy value in these countries was US\$1.6 billion, with ten countries each exceeding US\$10 billion of net subsidies. In 31 countries, net subsidies exceeded 10% of national health spending, and exceeded 100% in 5 countries. Redirecting government support from subsidising fossil fuels to low-carbon power generation, health protection, public health promotion and healthcare is likely to deliver net benefits to health and wellbeing.^{264,265} International financing mechanisms are needed to support lowincome countries vulnerable to energy costs in their transition to sustainable energy sources, particularly in the light of the ongoing energy crisis, and to safeguard all dimensions of human health.²⁶⁵



Figure 14: Net carbon prices (left), net carbon revenues (centre), and net carbon revenue as a share of current national health expenditure (right), across 86 countries in 2019, arranged by Human Development Index country group: low (n=1), medium (n=7), high (n=24) and very high (n=54). Boxes show the interquartile range (IQR), horizontal lines inside the boxes show the medians, and the brackets represent the full range from minimum to maximum.

1542

1543 Indicator 4.2.5: Production- and Consumption-Based of CO₂ and PM_{2.5} Emissions

Headline finding: In 2020, 18% of CO₂ and 17% of PM_{2.5} global emissions were emitted in the
production of goods and services traded between countries of different HDI levels. The very high
HDI country group remained as the only group with net outsourcing of both CO₂ and PM_{2.5}
emissions from its consumption.

The production of goods and services result in local greenhouse gas and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions, which can be monitored through production-based emission accounting. However, these goods and services are often consumed in different locations. Consumption-based emission accounting allocates emissions to countries according to their consumption of goods and services. This indicator uses an environmentally-extended multi-region input-output (EE-MRIO) model and the same air pollution modelling described in indicator 3.3,²⁶⁶⁻²⁶⁸ to assess countries' consumptionand production-based contribution to CO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions.

1555 In 2020, 18% of CO₂ and 17% of PM_{2.5} global emissions were emitted in the production of goods 1556 and services traded between countries of different HDI levels. Emissions were 3% and 7% lower, 1557 respectively, than the year before—likely as a result of restrictions during the COVID-19 1558 pandemic. In 2020, the very high HDI country group contributed the most consumption-based 1559 (47%) CO_2 emissions, whereas the high HDI country group contributed the most production-1560 based (46%) CO₂ emissions. However, on a per-capita basis, consumption-based emissions were 1561 highest in very high HDI countries, 1.3 times higher than the global average, and 26.3 times higher 1562 than per-capita emissions in low-HDI countries

Meanwhile, high HDI countries were the biggest contributors to both production-based (39%) and consumption-based (36%) PM_{2.5} emissions, even if their contribution share fell from 2019 (Figure 15). Per-capita PM_{2.5} emissions were largest in low HDI countries, a reflection of poorer air quality control measures and the use of dirtier fuels. The very high HDI country group remained the only group with higher consumption-based than production-based emissions of both CO₂ and PM_{2.5} emissions.



1569

1570 Figure 15: CO₂ and PM_{2.5} emissions emitted in the production of goods and services traded among

¹⁵⁷¹ countries in 2020, grouped by Human Development Index

1573 Indicator 4.2.6: Compatibility of Fossil Fuel Company Strategies with the Paris Agreement

Headline finding: The current strategies of 15 of the largest oil and gas companies would lead to
production exceeding their share of levels consistent with limiting global average surface
temperature rise to 1.5°C by 37% in 2030, and 103% in 2040.

1577 Emissions from oil and gas need to be reduced dramatically to enable a healthy future.^{8,269} This 1578 indicator assesses the extent to which current oil and gas company production strategies are compatible with Paris Agreement goals, regardless of their claims and commitments. It uses data 1579 1580 from the Rystad Energy database on commercial activities for the eight largest publicly-listed 1581 international oil and gas companies (IOCs) by production volume, and the seven largest state-1582 owned national oil and gas companies (NOCs). These IOCs and NOCs accounted for 14% and 28% 1583 of total global production, respectively, in 2021 (42% overall). Projected emissions under current 1584 strategies are compared to a pathway compliant with 1.5°C, assuming constant market shares at 1585 the 2015-2019 average.

Data in this indicator suggests that the current production strategies of these companies would generate greenhouse gas emissions that exceed their share compatible with 1.5°C by an average of 39% for these IOCs, and 37% for the NOCs, in 2030. These excess emissions would rise to 87% and 111%, respectively, in 2040 (Figure 16). Cumulative production from 2020 to 2040 is projected to exceed their share of the 1.5°C benchmark by 36% for IOCs and 38% for NOCs.

According to these results, the activities of some of the largest oil and gas companies are far from compliant with the goals of the Paris Agreement. Strong government action and pressure from civil society could be essential to bring about such compliance, through a far faster transition from fossil fuels to low-carbon energy sources.

1595



1596

Figure 16: Compatibility of large oil and gas company production strategies with Paris 1.5°C climate target.
Percentages in brackets in the legend represent the average 2015-19 global market share for each
company.

1600

1601 Panel 7: Financing the response to compounding crises

The economic benefits of keeping temperatures below 1.5°C of heating and minimising climate 1602 1603 change impacts through accelerated adaptation, are expected to outweigh the costs of climate action.⁸ While delivering the needed transition to net-zero emissions will require substantial 1604 capital investment,²⁵² the money is available. However, it is concentrated in relatively few 1605 1606 economies that bear much of the historic responsibility for human-caused climate change, have only moderate direct and immediate geographic vulnerability to climate change, and can most 1607 afford to decarbonise and adapt.²⁷⁰ Conversely, the lower-income countries that have 1608 1609 contributed the least to cumulative CO₂ emissions generally are more vulnerable, and have more limited resources to decarbonise and protect populations from climate hazards, and to recover 1610 1611 from climate impacts. In acknowledgement of this, in the 2009 Copenhagen Accord "developed countries commit[ted] to a goal of mobilizing jointly US\$100 billion dollars a year by 2020 to 1612 address the needs of developing countries".²⁷¹ To date, only US\$79 billion has been 1613

1614 committed²⁵², two-thirds of this being in the form of loans, with most of the remainder evenly 1615 split between public grants and private finance.²⁵² At COP26, it was acknowledged that the 1616 US\$100 billion target would not be met until 2023,²⁷² a delay that not only jeopardises mitigation 1617 goals, but also leaves poorer countries more vulnerable to exacerbated climate change-related 1618 loss and damage. The economic impacts of COVID-19 and of geopolitical conflicts threaten to 1619 further put this target out of reach

1620 This is in stark contrast with countries' response to the COVID-19 pandemic, in which over US\$15 1621 trillion for 'rescue' spending by governments were announced globally during 2020 and 2021, 1622 with a further US\$3.11 trillion pledged for 'recovery' spending (concentrated heavily in OECD countries, plus China). Although US\$92 billon were pledged to improve future pandemic 1623 1624 preparedness, and could therefore increase the capacity to manage future climate health hazards,²⁷³ the net effect of recovery spending is likely to worsen climate change-related health 1625 1626 outcomes: less than US\$1 trillion was allocated to purposes that are likely to reduce GHG 1627 emissions or air pollution, and the net effect of recovery spending is likely to result in increased emissions through direct or indirect investment in carbon-intensive activities.²⁷³ 1628

1629 The COVID-19 response demonstrated the extent to which decision-makers in developed 1630 economies are willing and able to rapidly raise and allocate vast sums of public money to tackle 1631 what they perceive as a clear and present danger to the health of their population and economy. 1632 Under this light, the paucity of international climate finance reveals a concerning finding: despite 1633 the extensive evidence on the unprecedented short- and long-term dangers of climate change, 1634 and the cost effectiveness of climate action, climate change is not yet viewed as a crisis by those 1635 decision makers who may most effectively address it. The capacity to mobilise the necessary 1636 resources is however clear. With the window of opportunity for keeping temperatures below 1637 1.5°C rapidly closing, averting the catastrophic health impacts of climate change depends now 1638 on political will.

1639

1640 Conclusion

1641 Indicators in this section expose some of the extensive costs attached to the health impacts of 1642 climate change. Through economic impacts, climate change is undermining livelihoods, and the 1643 socioeconomic conditions on which good physical and mental health depend. Substantial and 1644 sustained investment in the low-carbon transition is essential to limit these impacts and deliver 1645 a healthy future. Both governments and the private sector have crucial roles to play in making 1646 this happen. Indicators show that investments and employment continue to slowly transition 1647 from fossil fuels to clean energy, along with divestments from fossil fuel assets. However, the 1648 pace must be accelerated to prevent devastating economic and health impacts of climate change. 1649 And still, governments continue to incentivise a carbon-intensive and health-harming economy 1650 by subsidising fossil fuels; to a level of value often equivalent to substantial proportions of 1651 national health budgets. Meanwhile, oil and gas companies are on track towards exceeding their 1652 share of maximum emissions compatible with 1.5°C of heating by over 100% in 2040, and need 1653 to be subjected to greater regulations and scrutiny to align their activities with agreed climate 1654 targets. Governments around the world are in a unique position to accelerate the transition and 1655 must set policy, and where possible directly invest, as we emerge from the depths of the 1656 pandemic to a world of economic and geopolitical uncertainty.

1658 Section 5: Public and Political Engagement

The integration of health and climate policies is essential to delivering a rapid climate transition that protects human health,^{274,275} particularly in countries and communities that have contributed least to rising global temperatures, and are yet the most affected by them.²⁷⁶⁻²⁷⁹ Public and political engagement with the health dimensions of climate change is essential to deliver equity-focused climate policies at speed and scale, and to bridge implementation gaps.^{280,281}

1665 This section focuses on key domains of public and political engagement in health and climate 1666 change: engagement by the mainstream media (indicator 5.1), individuals (indicator 5.2), the 1667 scientific community (indicator 5.3), governments (indicator 5.4) and the corporate sector 1668 (indicator 5.5). Where appropriate, analyses begin in 2007, the year before the UN World Health Assembly made a multilateral commitment to protect people's health from climate change.²⁸² 1669 1670 Wherever appropriate, the analysis includes engagement with climate change adaptation and 1671 pandemic preparedness, to capture engagement with key dimensions of a coordinated response 1672 to climate change and the COVID-19 pandemic. For all indicators, detailed methodological 1673 description and further analysis are presented in the appendix.

1674

1675 Indicator 5.1 Media Engagement in Health and Climate Change

1676 Headline finding: Coverage of health and climate change reached a record of 14 474 articles in
1677 2021; however, this coverage only constitutes a small proportion of climate change coverage.

1678 Newspapers, in their print and online versions, are a widely-used source of public information 1679 that influence public perceptions of climate change,^{283,284} governments,²⁸⁵ and the social media

agenda.²⁸⁶ This indicator covers newspapers across 37 countries, including China's *People's Daily*,

based on keyword searches (in English, German, Portuguese, Spanish and Chinese) of relevantnewspaper databases.

In 2021, global coverage of both climate change, and health and climate change, reached a new
record high, with 14 474 articles that year, 4.7% more than in 2020 (Figure 17). In China's People's
Daily, climate change coverage also reached its highest recorded level. Coverage of health and
climate change remained limited, with only 1% of People's Daily articles relating to both issues;
none of these articles related to pandemic preparedness and only one to adaptation.

1688 In English language newspapers (n=51) across 24 countries, 20% of articles referring to both 1689 health and climate change also referred to adaptation and 48% to the pandemic. Very few (5%)





1692 Figure 17: Newspaper coverage of health and climate change in 36 countries, 2007-2021

1693 Indicator 5.2 Individual Engagement in Health and Climate Change

Headline finding: Individual engagement in health and climate change increased by 19% between
2020 and 2021 - but health and climate change are seldom topics that people engaged with at
the same time.

1697 The indicator is based on global usage of the online encyclopaedia Wikipedia, an information 1698 source with increasing coverage and comprehensiveness and wide public reach,²⁸⁷⁻²⁹² that 1699 amplifies the diffusion of science.^{293,294}

1700 The indicator tracks people's movements between articles on health and on climate change 1701 ("clickstream statistics"), based on the English Wikipedia, the most popular language edition in 1702 multiple countries worldwide.^{295,296}

1703 Users click between articles on health or on climate change, with these domains heavily co-visited 1704 internally. There are fewer connections between domains: health and climate change are seldom 1705 topics that people engage with at the same time. Of all clickviews leading to a climate change-1706 related article, 0.3% came from a health-related article; of clickviews leading to a health-related 1707 article, 0.02% came from a climate change-related article. These movements increased by 19% 1708 from 2020 to 2021, reversing the decline between 2019 and 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic 1709 continued to be a catalyst with, for example, COP26 triggering a higher engagement on health 1710 and climate change, mainly driven by interest in the pandemic situation in its host country.

1711

1712 Indicator 5.3: Scientific Engagement in Health and Climate Change

1713 Headline finding: The number of scientific papers investigating health and climate change1714 increased by 22% from 2020 to 2021.

Scientific engagement is tracked in peer-reviewed journals, the primary source of scientific
evidence for the media and governments.^{292,297} The indicator employs an enhanced methodology

in this year's report, using supervised machine learning and associated methods (topic modelling
and geoparsing) to map scientific articles on health and climate change over time,²⁹⁸ and extends
the time period to 1985–2021.

1720 In 2021, over 3,200 articles engaged with health and climate change, an increase of 22% 1721 compared to 2020 (Figure 18). However, this represents a very small proportion of scientific 1722 articles on climate change and on climate impacts.²⁹⁹ The majority of health and -climate change 1723 articles were located in, and led by, authors in the WHO regions of Western Pacific and the 1724 Americas. While research on the health implications of climate change continues to dominate 1725 (86% of articles), climate solutions (mitigation and adaptation) are being given increasing 1726 attention. 20% of health and climate change articles engaged with pandemic preparedness.



1727

1728 Figure 18: Number of scientific papers on health and climate change, with focus (impacts, mitigation,

¹⁷²⁹ adaptation) indicated, 1985-2021

1731 Indicator 5.4: Government Engagement in Health and Climate Change

Headline finding: The proportion of countries referring to the health-climate change nexus
increased in both the 2021 UN General Assembly (to 60%) and in updated NDC submissions (to
86%).

Government engagement, essential for climate action,³⁰⁰ is tracked by two indicators: statements made by national leaders at UN General Debate (UNGD) at the UN General Assembly, the policymaking body of the UN,³⁰¹ and NDCs, the major policy instrument to protect health from "dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system".^{302,303} Analysis is based, respectively, on the UNGD text corpus,³⁰⁴ and on content analysis of the first and the updated NDCs accessed from the UNFCCC interim registry.³⁰⁵⁻³⁰⁸

In 2021, the proportion of countries referring to the health-climate change nexus at the UNGD
increased to 60%, its highest recorded level, up from 47% in 2020 (Figure 19). As in 2020, the
COVID-19 pandemic drove this engagement. As St Lucia's UNGD address noted, "The COVID-19
pandemic and the climate change challenge...provide us with a harsh and timely reminder that
human health and planetary health are linked."³⁰⁹

1746 Countries with low HDI, particularly Small Independent Developing States (SIDS), continue to lead
1747 engagement: 76% of SIDS discussed the health-climate change nexus in the 2021 UN General
1748 Debate. However, growing engagement with health and climate change is evident across all
1749 countries, including those with high and very high HDI.



1752 Figure 19: Proportion of countries referring to health, climate change and the intersection between the1753 two in UN General Debates, 1970-2021.

1754 Greater engagement with health is also evident in updated or new NDCs submitted by 126 UN 1755 member states (including the one representing 27 EU nations). Of these, 86% refer to health, an 1756 increase from 82% in the first NDCs. The increase is greatest for member states in the high HDI 1757 category, where all now refer to health, followed by the very high HDI group (where 71% made 1758 references in the updated NDCs, up from 65% in the first round). The proportions have slightly 1759 declined for the medium (87% to 86%) and low (94% to 86%) HDI groups. Most health references 1760 relate to adaptation needs or efforts (83% of the NDCs mentioning health, compared to 87% in 1761 the first round); 40% also relate to mitigation (up from 18%).

1762 References to the health sector also increased, from 74% in the first round to 81% in the second 1763 round. Healthcare infrastructure was a particular focus: up from 39% to 73%. For example, 1764 Albania's second NDC³¹⁰ outlines how "health facilities could be damaged by climate-related 1765 changes, such as SLR [sea level rise], heavy rains or extreme temperatures". 1766 Indicator 5.5: Corporate Sector Engagement in Health and Climate change

Headline finding: Engagement in health and climate change increased in 2021 to its highest level
among companies in the UN Global Compact, with 38% of corporations referring to the healthclimate change nexus.

1770 The indicator tracks engagement in health and climate change in the annual Communication of 1771 Progress (COP) among companies signed up to the UN Global Compact,³¹¹ the world's largest 1772 corporate sustainability framework operating across 165 countries without restriction by sector 1773 or company size.^{312,313} In an improvement from previous iterations in which only English-1774 language COPs were analysed, COPs in all languages are now included.

Engagement in health and climate change reached its highest level in 2021, with 38% of corporations referring to the health-climate change nexus in their COP report. However, as in previous years, there was much greater corporate engagement in climate change (87%) and health (72%) as separate issues. Engagement in the health-climate change nexus was greatest in companies based in the Western Pacific (53% COPs) and South-East Asia (43%) regions.

1780

1781 Conclusion

Engagement in health and climate change reached its highest recorded level in 2021, with climate change solutions becoming an increasing focus of health-climate change engagement (for example in scientific research and the enhanced NDCs). As in previous years, government engagement is led by countries most vulnerable to a climate crisis not of their making.^{274,314,315}

As in 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic continues to be a major driver of health-climate change engagement. In the media, a large proportion of English-language newspapers engaging with health and climate change referred to the pandemic. The pandemic also triggered engagement

by individuals and by government leaders in health and climate change. This raises the questionof whether greater engagement is contingent on the pandemic context.

While health-climate change engagement increased in 2021, there is greater engagement with health and climate change as separate issues, a pattern evident for individual Wikipedia users, government leaders at the UNGD and companies in the UNGC. Similarly, media and scientific engagement in climate change continues to outstrip engagement in health and climate change. Despite mounting evidence of the health toll of climate change, health and climate change have yet to be securely linked in the public, political and corporate domains that hold the key to climate action.

1798

1799 Conclusion: The 2022 Report of the *Lancet* Countdown

In its seventh iteration, the 2022 report of the *Lancet* Countdown paints the direst picture yet.
 At 1.1°C of heating,⁷⁴ climate change is increasingly undermining every pillar of good health, and
 compounding the health impacts of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and geopolitical conflicts.

1803 The health harms from extreme heat exposure are rising, affecting mental health, undermining 1804 the capacity to work and exercise, and resulting in annual heat-related deaths in people over 65 1805 increasing by 68% from 2000-2004 to 2017-2021 (indicators 1.1.1-1.1.5). Increasingly extreme 1806 weather is affecting physical and mental health directly and indirectly, with economic losses 1807 particularly overburdening low HDI countries, where they are mostly uninsured (indicators 1.2.1– 1808 1.2.3 and 4.1.1). The changing climate is exacerbating the risk of infectious disease outbreaks 1809 (indicator 1.3), and threatening global food security (Panel 4), with heatwave days associated 1810 with 98 million more food insecure people in 2020 than in 1981–2010 (indicator 1.4).

These health impacts add further pressure on overwhelmed health systems (panel 6). With a
 further 0.4°C temperature rise probably unavoidable, accelerated adaptation is more urgent than
 93

ever. Yet, national and city authorities are not acting fast enough, while adaptation funding remains grossly insufficient (indicators 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.2.4). The increased use of air conditioning and scant adoption of nature-based solutions (indicators 2.2.2-2.2.3) reflect a drift towards unplanned, maladaptive responses. Concerningly, and at least partly fuelled by wealthier countries' failure to meet climate finance goals (panel 7), the adaptation response often lags behind in low HDI countries, exacerbating their vulnerability to a climate crisis largely not of their making.

1820 Despite these profound health impacts, mitigation efforts remain inadequate to avert 1821 catastrophic temperature rise.⁸ CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion grew 4.8% in 2021 (indicator 1822 3.1), while agricultural GHG emissions have increased by 31% since 2000 (indicator 3.5.1). The 1823 inaction came with major health costs: fossil fuels contributed to 1.3 million deaths from ambient 1824 PM_{2.5} exposure in 2020; the overdependence on solid fuels, deepened by the energy crisis, exacerbated exposure to indoor air pollution (indicators 3.3 and 3.2);^{233,234,316} and consumption 1825 of carbon-intensive meat and dairy resulted in 2 million deaths in 2019 alone. Meanwhile, 1826 1827 governments keep providing billions of dollars annually for fossil fuel subsidies (indicator 4.2.4).

However, some indicators provide a sliver of hope. Governmental engagement with health and 1828 1829 climate change reached record levels in 2021, and the updated NDCs reflect increased awareness 1830 of the need to protect health from climate change hazards (indicator 5.4). Renewable electricity 1831 generation and electric vehicle use reached record growth, and investments and employment in 1832 the clean energy industry are slowly increasing (indicators 3.1, 3.4, 4.2.1 and 4.2.2). If sustained, 1833 these shifts could deliver energy security, better jobs, cleaner air, and a path for a green COVID-1834 19 recovery. Meanwhile, the health sector is increasingly preparing to face climate hazards 1835 (indicator 2.2.1), 60 countries committed to developing climate-resilient and/or low- or net zerocarbon health systems during COP26.250 Importantly, an expanding number of countries are 1836 1837 starting to develop their own observatories, to monitor and identify progress on health and 1838 climate change. However, this could come too little too late.

With countries facing multiple crises simultaneously, their policies on COVID-19 recovery and energy sovereignty will have profound, and potentially irreversible consequences for health and climate change. However, accelerated climate action would deliver cascading benefits, with more resilient health, food, and energy systems, and improved security and diplomatic autonomy, minimising the health impact of health shocks. With the world in turmoil, putting human health at the centre of an aligned response to these concurrent crises could represent the last hope of securing a healthier, safer future for all.

1846

1848 References

1849 1. World Meteorological Organization. 2021 one of the seven warmest years on record, 1850 WMO consolidated data shows. 2021. <u>https://public.wmo.int/en/media/press-release/2021-</u> 1851 <u>one-of-seven-warmest-years-record-wmo-consolidated-data-shows</u> (accessed 18 April 2022.

1852 2. Service BCCs. Heat-related Deaths in B.C.: British Columbia Coroner's Service, 2021.

18533.State Council Disaster Investigation Team. [The Probe Result on "7.20" Torrential Rain-1854caused Extraordinarily Serious Natural Disaster in Zhengzhou, Henan], 2022.

1855 4. The UN Refugee Agency. UNHCR warns of dire impact from floods in South Sudan as new1856 wet season looms. UNHCR; 2022.

1857 5. IEA. Global Energy Review: CO2 Emissions in 2021. Paris, 2022.

Masson-Delmotte V, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen,
 L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T.
 Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou. IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science
 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
 Panel on Climate Change, 2021.

1863 7. World Meteorological Organization. Global Annual to Decadal Climate Update, 2022.

P.R. Shukla JS, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R. van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some,
 P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J. Malley. IPCC, 2022: Climate
 Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth
 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK and New
 York, NY, USA, 2022.

1869 9. UNFCCC. Paris Agreement. 2015.

1870 10. World Health Organization. WHO Manifesto for a healthy recovery from COVID-19. 2020.

1871 <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/detail/who-manifesto-for-a-healthy-</u>

1872 <u>recovery-from-covid-19</u> (accessed May 19, 2021.

1873 11. Romanello M, McGushin A, Di Napoli C, et al. The 2021 report of the Lancet Countdown
1874 on health and climate change: code red for a healthy future. *The Lancet* 2021; **398**(10311): 16191875 62.

1876 12. The Lancet Countdown on Health and Climate Change. Our Science. 2021.
 1877 <u>https://www.lancetcountdown.org/our-science/</u> (accessed 17 April 2022.

1878 13. Di Napoli C, McGushin A, Romanello M, et al. Tracking the impacts of climate change on
1879 human health via indicators: lessons from the Lancet Countdown. *BMC Public Health* 2022; 22:
1880 663.

1881 14. Vineis P, Romanello M, Michelozzi P, Martuzzi M. Health co-benefits of climate change 1882 action in Italy. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2022; **6**(4): e293-e4.

1883 15. UNFCCC. The Paris Agreement is a Health Agreement - WHO. 2018.
 1884 <u>https://unfccc.int/news/the-paris-agreement-is-a-health-agreement-who</u> (accessed 26 April 1885 2022.

1886 16. Perkins-Kirkpatrick SE, Lewis SC. Increasing trends in regional heatwaves. *Nat Commun* 1887 2020; **11**(1): 3357.

1888 17. Szekely M, Carletto L, Garami A. The pathophysiology of heat exposure. *Temperature* 1889 (*Austin, Tex*) 2015; **2**(4): 452.

18. McElroy S, Ilango S, Dimitrova A, Gershunov A, Benmarhnia T. Extreme heat, preterm
birth, and stillbirth: A global analysis across 14 lower-middle income countries. *Environ Int* 2022;
158: 106902.

1893 19. Syed S, O'Sullivan TL, Phillips KP. Extreme Heat and Pregnancy Outcomes: A Scoping 1894 Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19**(4).

1895 20. Minor K, Bjerre-Nielsen A, Jonasdottir SS, Lehmann S, Obradovich N. Rising temperatures
1896 erode human sleep globally. *One Earth* 2022; 5(5): 534-49.

1897 21. Liu J, Varghese BM, Hansen A, et al. Is there an association between hot weather and poor
1898 mental health outcomes? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int* 2021; **153**: 106533.

1899 22. An R, Shen J, Li Y, Bandaru S. Projecting the Influence of Global Warming on Physical
1900 Activity Patterns: a Systematic Review. *Current Obesity Reports* 2020; **9**(4): 550-61.

1901 23. Heaney AK, Carrión D, Burkart K, Lesk C, Jack D. Climate change and physical activity:
1902 estimated impacts of ambient temperatures on bikeshare usage in New York City. *Environmental*1903 *health perspectives* 2019; **127**(3): 037002.

1904 24. Nazarian N, Liu S, Kohler M, et al. Project Coolbit: can your watch predict heat stress and 1905 thermal comfort sensation? *Environmental Research Letters* 2021; **16**(3): 034031. 1906 25. Flouris AD, Dinas PC, Ioannou LG, et al. Workers' health and productivity under 1907 occupational heat strain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 1908 2018; **2**(12): e521-e31.

1909 26. Obradovich N, Fowler JH. Climate change may alter human physical activity patterns.
1910 Nature Human Behaviour 2017; 1(5): 0097.

1911 27. Campbell S, Remenyi TA, White CJ, Johnston FH. Heatwave and health impact research: A
1912 global review. *Health & place* 2018; **53**: 210-8.

1913 28. Chersich MF, Pham MD, Areal A, et al. Associations between high temperatures in 1914 pregnancy and risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and stillbirths: systematic review and 1915 meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020; **371**: m3811.

1916 29. Observatory NE. Heatwave Scorches the Middle East. 2021.
 1917 <u>https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/148430/heatwave-scorches-the-middle-east</u>
 1918 (accessed 26 May 2022.

191930.ObservatoryNE.SouthernHemisphereScorchers.2022.1920https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/149331/southern-hemisphere-scorchers (accessed192126 May 2022.

1922 31. Organization WM. State of the Global Climate. Geneva, 2022.

1923 32. de Perez EC, van Aalst M, Bischiniotis K, et al. Global predictability of temperature 1924 extremes. *Environmental Research Letters* 2018; **13**(5).

1925 33. Chambers J. Global and cross-country analysis of exposure of vulnerable populations to 1926 heatwaves from 1980 to 2018. *Climatic Change* 2020; **163**(1): 539-58.

1927 34. Cauley JA, Giangregorio L. Physical activity and skeletal health in adults. *Lancet Diabetes*1928 *Endocrinol* 2020; 8(2): 150-62.

1929 35. Myers J. Cardiology patient pages. Exercise and cardiovascular health. *Circulation* 2003;
1930 **107**(1): e2-5.

1931 36. Mikkelsen K, Stojanovska L, Polenakovic M, Bosevski M, Apostolopoulos V. Exercise and 1932 mental health. *Maturitas* 2017; **106**: 48-56.

1933 37. Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non1934 communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet*1935 2012; **380**(9838): 219-29.

1936 38. Chalmers S, Jay O. Australian community sport extreme heat policies: limitations and 1937 opportunities for improvement. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 2018; **21**(6): 544-8.

1938 39. Flouris AD, Dinas PC, Ioannou LG, et al. Workers' health and productivity under
1939 occupational heat strain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Planet Health* 2018;
1940 2(12): e521-e31.

1941 40. Day E, Fankhauser S, Kingsmill N, Costa H, Mavrogianni A. Upholding labour productivity 1942 under climate change: an assessment of adaptation options. *Climate policy* 2019; **19**(3): 367-85.

1943 41. Vicedo-Cabrera AM, Scovronick N, Sera F, et al. The burden of heat-related mortality
1944 attributable to recent human-induced climate change. *Nature climate change* 2021; **11**(6): 4921945 500.

42. H.-O. Pörtner DCR, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S.
Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.). IPCC, 2022: Climate Change 2022:
Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. The Working Group II contribution to the IPCC Sixth
Assessment Report. 2022.

43. Beier D, Brzoska P, Khan MM. Indirect consequences of extreme weather and climate
events and their associations with physical health in coastal Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study.
Glob Health Action 2015; 8: 29016.

1953 44. McMichael AJ. Extreme weather events and infectious disease outbreaks. *Virulence* 2015;
1954 6(6): 543-7.

1955 45. Cruz J, White PCL, Bell A, Coventry PA. Effect of Extreme Weather Events on Mental
1956 Health: A Narrative Synthesis and Meta-Analysis for the UK. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;
1957 **17**(22).

1958 46. Norwegian Refugee Council IDMC. Global Report on Internal Displacement 2021: 1959 Norwegian Refugee Council, 2021.

1960 47. Ebi KL, Ogden NH, Semenza JC, Woodward A. Detecting and Attributing Health Burdens
1961 to Climate Change. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2017; **125**(8): 085004.

1962 48. Campbell LD, Woodruff R. Comparative Risk Assessment of the Burden of Disease from
1963 Climate Change. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2006; **114**(12): 1935-41.

1964 49. Campbell-Lendrum DH, Woodruff R, Prüss-Üstün A, Corvalán CF, World Health O. Climate 1965 change : quantifying the health impact at national and local levels / Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum, 1966 Rosalie Woodruff ; editors, Annette Prüss-Üstün, Carlos Corvalán. Geneva: World Health 1967 Organization; 2007.

1968 50. van Oldenborgh GJ, Krikken F, Lewis S, et al. Attribution of the Australian bushfire risk to 1969 anthropogenic climate change. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci Discuss* 2020; **2020**: 1-46.

1970 51. Abram NJ, Henley BJ, Sen Gupta A, et al. Connections of climate change and variability to 1971 large and extreme forest fires in southeast Australia. *Commun Earth Environ* 2021; **2**(1): 1-17.

1972 52. Arriagada NB, Palmer AJ, Bowman DMJS, Morgan GG, Jalaludin BB, Johnston FH.
1973 Unprecedented smoke-related health burden associated with the 2019–20 bushfires in eastern
1974 Australia. *Med J Aust* 2020; **213**(6).

1975 53. Rodney RM, Swaminathan A, Calear AL, et al. Physical and Mental Health Effects of
1976 Bushfire and Smoke in the Australian Capital Territory 2019–20. *Frontiers in Public Health* 2021;
1977 9.

1978 54. Nicholas B, Ben E, Toni M. Wellbeing and the environment – the impact of the bushfires 1979 and the pandemic. 2021.

198055.Beggs PJ, Zhang Y, McGushin A. The 2021 report of the MJA-Lancet Countdown on health1981and climate change: Australia increasingly out on a limb. *Med J Aust* 2021 **215**(9): 390-2.

1982 56. van der Velde IR, van der Werf GR, Houweling S, et al. Vast CO2 release from Australian 1983 fires in 2019–2020 constrained by satellite. *Nature* 2021; **597**(7876): 366-9.

198457.Otto FEL, Wolski P, Lehner F, et al. Anthropogenic influence on the drivers of the Western1985Cape drought 2015–2017. Environmental Research Letters 2018; **13**(12): 124010.

1986 58. Pascale S, Kapnick SB, Delworth TL, Cooke WF. Increasing risk of another Cape Town "Day
1987 Zero" drought in the 21st century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2020;
1988 **117**(47): 29495-503.

1989 59. Orievulu KS, Iwuji CC. Institutional Responses to Drought in a High HIV Prevalence Setting
in Rural South Africa. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2021;
1991 **19**(1): 434.

1992 60. Asmall T, Abrams A, Röösli M, Cissé G, Carden K, Dalvie MA. The adverse health effects 1993 associated with drought in Africa. *Science of The Total Environment* 2021; **793**: 148500.

1994 61. Dinkelman T. Long-Run Health Repercussions of Drought Shocks: Evidence from South 1995 African Homelands. *The Economic Journal* 2017; **127**(604): 1906-39.

Kreienkamp F, Philip SY, Tradowsky JS, et al. Rapid attribution of heavy rainfall events
leading to the severe flooding in Western Europe during July 2021. *World Weather Attribution*2021.

1999 63. Koks E, Van Ginkel K, Van Marle M, Lemnitzer A. Brief Communication: Critical 2000 Infrastructure impacts of the 2021 mid-July western European flood event. *Natural Hazards and* 2001 *Earth System Sciences Discussions* 2021: 1-11.

Gathen M, Welle K, Jaenisch M, et al. Are orthopaedic surgeons prepared? An analysis of
severe casualties from the 2021 flash flood and mudslide disaster in Germany. *European Journal*of Trauma and Emergency Surgery 2022.

2005 65. Weis A-K, Kranz A. Knee-deep in sewage: German rescuers race to avert health emergency
2006 in flood areas. Reuters. 2021 2021/07/20.

Sjoukje YP, Sarah FK, Geert Jan van O, et al. Rapid attribution analysis of the extraordinary
heatwave on the Pacific Coast of the US and Canada June 2021. *World Weather Attribution* 2021.

2009 67. Washington State Department of H. Heat Wave 2021. Washington State Department of 2010 Health; 2021.

2011 68. Ministry of Public Safety, Solicitor General- British Columbia. Chief coroner's statement 2012 on public safety during high temperatures. British Columbia, 2021.

2013 69. Henderson SB, McLean KE, Lee MJ, Kosatsky T. Analysis of community deaths during the 2014 catastrophic 2021 heat dome: Early evidence to inform the public health response during 2015 subsequent events in greater Vancouver, Canada. *Environmental Epidemiology* 2022; **6**(1): e189.

2016 70. Institut national de santé publique du Q. Index of material and social deprivation compiled
2017 by the Bureau d'information et d'études en santé des populations (BIESP) from 1991, 1996, 2001,
2006, 2011 and 2016 Canadian Census data.

2019 71. Schramm PJ. Heat-Related Emergency Department Visits During the Northwestern Heat
2020 Wave — United States, June 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021; **70**.

2021 72. Kollanus V, Prank M, Gens A, et al. Mortality due to vegetation fire–originated PM2. 5
2022 exposure in Europe—assessment for the years 2005 and 2008. *Environmental health perspectives*2023 2017; **125**(1): 30-7.

2024 73. Xu R, Yu P, Abramson MJ, et al. Wildfires, global climate change, and human health. *New*2025 *England Journal of Medicine* 2020; **383**(22): 2173-81.

74. Masson-Delmotte V, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen,
L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T.
Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou. IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science
Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
Panel on Climate Change, 2021.

2031 75. Sofiev M. Wildland Fires: Monitoring, Plume Modelling, Impact on Atmospheric
2032 Composition and Climate. Developments in Environmental Science: Elsevier; 2013: 451-72.

2033 76. Sofiev M, Vankevich R, Lotjonen M, et al. An operational system for the assimilation of
2034 the satellite information on wild-land fires for the needs of air quality modelling and forecasting.
2035 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 2009; 9(18): 6833-47.

2036 77. Sofiev M, Vira J, Kouznetsov R, Prank M, Soares J, Genikhovich E. Construction of an
2037 Eulerian atmospheric dispersion model based on the advection algorithm of M. Galperin:
2038 dynamic cores v. 4 and 5 of SILAM v. 5.5. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions* 2015;
2039 8(3).

2040 78. Hänninen R SM, Uppstu A, Kouznetsov R. Daily surface concentration of fire related PM2.5
2041 for 2003-2021, modelled by SILAM CTM when using the MODIS satellite data for the fire radiative
2042 power. 2022.

204379.CDC.Healthimplicationsofdrought.Availableat2044https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/drought/implications.htm.2020.

80. Beguería S, Vicente-Serrano SM, Reig F, Latorre B. Standardized precipitation
evapotranspiration index (SPEI) revisited: parameter fitting, evapotranspiration models, tools,
datasets and drought monitoring. *International Journal of Climatology* 2014; **34**(10): 3001-23.

2048 81. UNICEF. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. London, UK, 1990.

2049 82. Ebi KL, Vanos J, Baldwin JW, et al. Extreme Weather and Climate Change: Population
2050 Health and Health System Implications. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2021; **42**: 293-315.

2051 83. Obradovich N, Migliorini R, Paulus MP, Rahwan I. Empirical evidence of mental health 2052 risks posed by climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2018; **115**(43): 10953-8.

2053 84. Baylis P, Obradovich N, Kryvasheyeu Y, et al. Weather impacts expressed sentiment. *PLoS*2054 *One* 2018; **13**(4): e0195750-e.

2055 85. Berry HL, Bowen K, Kjellstrom T. Climate change and mental health: A causal pathways
2056 framework. *International Journal of Public Health* 2010; **55**(2): 123-32.

2057 86. Hayes K, Blashki G, Wiseman J, Burke S, Reifels L. Climate change and mental health: Risks,
2058 impacts and priority actions. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems* 2018; **12**(1): 1-12.

2059 87. Mullins JT, White C. Temperature and mental health: Evidence from the spectrum of 2060 mental health outcomes. *Journal of Health Economics* 2019; **68**: 102240.

2061 88. Florido Ngu F, Kelman I, Chambers J, Ayeb-Karlsson S. Correlating heatwaves and relative
2062 humidity with suicide (fatal intentional self-harm). *Scientific Reports 2021 11:1* 2021; **11**(1): 1-9.

2063 89. Thompson R, Hornigold R, Page L, Waite T. Associations between high ambient 2064 temperatures and heat waves with mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Public Health* 2065 2018; **161**: 171-91.

2066 90. Vins H, Bell J, Saha S, Hess JJ. The mental health outcomes of drought: A systematic review 2067 and causal process diagram. MDPI AG; 2015. p. 13251-75.

2068 91. United Nations Environment Programme. Women at the frontline of climate change: 2069 gender risks and hopes, 2011.

2070 92. Obrien LV, Berry HL, Coleman C, Hanigan IC. Drought as a mental health exposure. *Environ* 2071 *Res* 2014; **131**: 181-7.

2072 93. Koubi V. Climate Change and Conflict. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2019; 22: 3432073 60.

2074 94. Theisen OM. Climate Change and Violence: Insights from Political Science. *Current Climate*2075 *Change Reports* 2017; 3(4): 210-21.

2076 95. van Daalen KR, Kallesøe SS, Davey F, et al. Extreme events and gender-based violence: a
 2077 mixed-methods systematic review. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2022; 6(6): e504-e23.

2078 96. Piguet E, Pécoud A, de Guchteneire P. Migration and Climate Change: An Overview.
2079 *Refugee Survey Quarterly* 2011; **30**(3): 1-23.

2080 97. Ayeb-Karlsson S, Kniveton D, Cannon T. Trapped in the prison of the mind: Notions of
2081 climate-induced (im)mobility decision-making and wellbeing from an urban informal settlement
2082 in Bangladesh. *Palgr Commun* 2020; 6(1).

2083 98. Ayeb-Karlsson S, Uy N. Island Stories: Mapping the (im)mobility trends of slow onset
2084 environmental processes in three island groups of the Philippines. *Humanities and Social Sciences*2085 *Communications* 2022; 9(1): 60.

2086 99. Ayeb-Karlsson S. 'I do not like her going to the shelter': Stories on gendered disaster
2087 (im)mobility and wellbeing loss in coastal Bangladesh. *International Journal of Disaster Risk*2088 *Reduction* 2020; **50**: 101904.

2089 100. Cunsolo Willox A, Stephenson E, Allen J, et al. Examining relationships between climate
2090 change and mental health in the Circumpolar North. *Regional Environmental Change* 2015; 15(1):
2091 169-82.

2092 101. Middleton J, Cunsolo A, Jones-Bitton A, Wright CJ, Harper SL. Indigenous mental health in
2093 a changing climate: a systematic scoping review of the global literature. *Environmental Research*2094 *Letters* 2020; **15**(5): 053001-.

2095 102. Burke SEL, Sanson AV, Van Hoorn J. The Psychological Effects of Climate Change on 2096 Children. *Current Psychiatry Reports* 2018; **20**(5): 1-8.

2097 103. Cheng JJ, Berry P. Health co-benefits and risks of public health adaptation strategies to
2098 climate change: A review of current literature. *International Journal of Public Health* 2013; 58(2):
2099 305-11.

2100 104. Obradovich N, Migliorini R, Mednick SC, Fowler JH. Nighttime temperature and human
2101 sleep loss in a changing climate. *Sci Adv* 2017; **3**(5): e1601555-e.

2102 105. Lawrance E, Thompson R, Fontana G, Jennings N. The impact of climate change on mental
2103 health and emotional wellbeing: current evidence and implications for policy and practice:
2104 Grantham Institute

2105 2021.

2106 106. Sanson A, Bellemo M. Children and youth in the climate crisis. *BJPsych Bulletin* 2021;
2107 **45**(4): 205-9.

2108 107. World health Organization. Health in national adaptation plans: review. Geneva, 2021.

2109 108. World Resources Institute. Climate Watch NDC Search. 2020.

2110 109. World Halth Organization. Mental health atlas 2020, 2021.

2111 110. Wu J, Snell G, Samji H. Climate anxiety in young people: a call to action. *The Lancet*2112 *Planetary Health* 2020; **4**(10): e435-e6.

2113 111. World Health Organization. Mental health and climate change: policy brief, 2022.

2114 112. Obradovich N, Minor K. Identifying and Preparing for the Mental Health Burden of Climate
2115 Change. JAMA Psychiatry 2022; 79(4): 285-6.

113. Hayes K, Poland B. Addressing Mental Health in a Changing Climate: Incorporating Mental
Health Indicators into Climate Change and Health Vulnerability and Adaptation Assessments. *Int*J Environ Res Public Health 2018; **15**(9): 1806-.

2119 114. Gould EA, Higgs S. Impact of climate change and other factors on emerging arbovirus
2120 diseases. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2009; **103**(2): 1092121 21.

2122 115. Brumfield KD, Usmani M, Chen KM, et al. Environmental parameters associated with
2123 incidence and transmission of pathogenic Vibrio spp. *Environmental Microbiology* 2021.

2124 116. Vezzulli L, Baker-Austin C, Kirschner A, Pruzzo C, Martinez-Urtaza J. Global emergence of
2125 environmental non-O1/O139 Vibrio cholerae infections linked with climate change: a neglected
2126 research field? *Environmental Microbiology* 2020; **22**(10): 4342-55.

2127 117. Kraemer MU, Sinka ME, Duda KA, et al. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors
2128 Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. *Elife* 2015; **4**: e08347.

2129 118. Gubler DJ. Dengue, Urbanization and Globalization: The Unholy Trinity of the 21(st)
2130 Century. *Trop Med Health* 2011; **39**(4 Suppl): 3-11.

2131 119. Zeng Z, Zhan J, Chen L, Chen H, Cheng S. Global, regional, and national dengue burden
2132 from 1990 to 2017: A systematic analysis based on the global burden of disease study 2017.
2133 *eClinicalMedicine* 2021; **32**.

2134 120. Baker-Austin C, Oliver JD, Alam M, et al. Vibrio spp. infections. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2018;
2135 4(1): 8.

2136 121. Ali M, Nelson AR, Lopez AL, Sack DA. Updated global burden of cholera in endemic 2137 countries. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2015; **9**(6): e0003832.

2138 122. Lam C, Octavia S, Reeves P, Wang L, Lan R. Evolution of seventh cholera pandemic and
2139 origin of 1991 epidemic, Latin America. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; **16**(7): 1130-2.

2140 123. Chang Y, Chatterjee S, Kim J. Household Finance and Food Insecurity. *Journal of Family*2141 *and Economic Issues* 2014; **35**(4): 499-515.

2142 124. Botreau H, Cohen MJ. Gender inequality and food insecurity: A dozen years after the food
2143 price crisis, rural women still bear the brunt of poverty and hunger. *Advances in Food Security*2144 and Sustainability 2020; 5: 53-117.

2145 125. Rehman A, Ping Q, Razzaq A. Pathways and Associations between Women's Land
2146 Ownership and Child Food and Nutrition Security in Pakistan. *International Journal of*2147 *Environmental Research and Public Health* 2019; **16**(18): 3360.

126. Negesse A, Jara D, Habtamu T, et al. The impact of being of the female gender for
household head on the prevalence of food insecurity in Ethiopia: a systematic-review and metaanalysis. *Public Health Reviews* 2020; **41**(1): 15.

2151 127. Comeau S, Cornwall C, DeCarlo TM, Doo S, Carpenter R, McCulloch M. Resistance to ocean
acidification in coral reef taxa is not gained by acclimatization. *Nature Climate Change* 2019; 9(6):
2153 477-83.

2154 128. Barange M, Bahri T, Beveridge MC, Cochrane KL, Funge-Smith S, Poulain F. Impacts of
2155 climate change on fisheries and aquaculture: synthesis of currrent knowledge, adaptation and
2156 mitigation options: FAO; 2018.

2157 129. Bruno JF, Côté IM, Toth LT. Climate change, coral loss, and the curious case of the
2158 parrotfish paradigm: why don't marine protected areas improve reef resilience? *Annual review*2159 of marine science 2019; **11**: 307-34.

130. Kraemer BM, Pilla RM, Woolway RI, et al. Climate change drives widespread shifts in lake
thermal habitat. *Nature Climate Change* 2021; **11**(6): 521-9.

2162 131. Maberly SC, O'Donnell RA, Woolway RI, et al. Global lake thermal regions shift under
2163 climate change. *Nature Communications* 2020; **11**(1): 1232.

2164 132. Watts N, Amann M, Arnell N, et al. The 2018 report of the Lancet Countdown on health
2165 and climate change: shaping the health of nations for centuries to come. *The Lancet* 2018;
2166 **392**(10163): 2479-514.

2167133. FAO.FisheryandAquacultureCountryProfiles.Availableat2168https://www.fao.org/fishery/en/facp/search. 2022.

2169 134. Network. GBoDC. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Results. Seattle,
2170 United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2020. Available from
2171 <u>http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool.</u>; 2019.

- 2172 135. Cafiero C, Viviani S, Nord M. Food security measurement in a global context: The food
 2173 insecurity experience scale. *Measurement* 2018; **116**: 146-52.
- 2174 136. Muñoz-Sabater J, Dutra E, Agustí-Panareda A, et al. ERA5-Land: A state-of-the-art global 2175 reanalysis dataset for land applications. *Earth System Science Data* 2021; **13**(9): 4349-83.
- 2176 137. World Food Summit. Rome Declaration on World Food Security, 1996.
- 2177 138. Capone R, Bilali H, Debs P, Cardone G, Driouech N. Food system sustainability and food
 2178 security: Connecting the dots. *Journal of Food Security* 2014; **2**(1): 13-22.
- 2179139.UnitedNations.SustainableDevelopmentGoal2:ZeroHunger.2180https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/hunger/ (accessed 29 April 2022.
- 140. FAO. The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2020. Transforming foodsystems for affordable healthy diets. 2020.
- 141. Dasgupta S, Robinson EJZ. Impact of COVID-19 on food insecurity using multiple waves of
 high frequency household surveys. *Scientific Reports* 2022; **12**(1): 1-15.
- 2185 142. Global Network Against Food Crises, Food Security Information Network. Global Report2186 on Food Crises 2022, 2022.
- 2187 143. Lobell D, Asseng S, . Comparing estimates of climate change impacts from process-based
 2188 and statistical crop models. *Environmental Research Letters* 2017; **12**: 015001.
- 144. Lobell DB, Schlenker W, Costa-Roberts J. Climate Trends and Global Crop Production Since
 1980. Science 2011; 333(6042): 616-20.
- 2191 145. Deutsch CA, Tewksbury JJ, Tigchelaar M, et al. Increase in crop losses to insect pests in a
 2192 warming climate. *Science* 2018; **361**(6405): 916-9.
- 2193 146. Bebber DP, Ramotowski MAT, Gurr SJ. Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a 2194 warming world. *Nature Climate Change* 2013; **3**(11): 985-8.
- 2195 147. Shrivastava P, Kumar R. Soil salinity: A serious environmental issue and plant growth
 2196 promoting bacteria as one of the tools for its alleviation. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 2015; **22**(2): 123-31.
- 2197 148. Somanathan E, Somanathan R, Sudarshan A, Tewari M. The Impact of Temperature on
 2198 Productivity and Labor Supply: Evidence from Indian Manufacturing. *J Polit Econ* 2021; **129**(6):
 2199 1797-827.

149. Antonelli C, Coromaldi M, Dasgupta S, Emmerling J, Shayegh S. Climate impacts on
nutrition and labor supply disentangled - an analysis for rural areas of Uganda. *Environ Dev Econ*2021; 26(5-6): 512-37.

150. Kjellstrom T, Freyberg C, Lemke B, Otto M, Briggs D. Estimating population heat exposure
and impacts on working people in conjunction with climate change. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 2018; 62(3): 291-306.

2206 151. World Health Organization. Diarrhoeal disease. 2017. ttps://www.who.int/news 2207 room/fact-sheets/detail/diarrhoeal-disease (accessed 28 April 2022.

152. Cooper MW, Brown ME, Hochrainer-Stigler S, et al. Mapping the effects of drought on child stunting. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019; **116**(35): 17219-24.

153. Davenport F, Grace K, Funk C, Shukla S. Child health outcomes in sub-Saharan Africa: A
comparison of changes in climate and socio-economic factors. *Global Environ Chang* 2017; 46:
72-87.

154. Grace K, Davenport F, Funk C, Lerner AM. Child malnutrition and climate in Sub-Saharan
Africa: An analysis of recent trends in Kenya. *Appl Geogr* 2012; **35**(1-2): 405-13.

2215 155. Bowdren CF, Santo R. Sustainable diets for a food-secure future. *Environmental Nutrition:*2216 Connecting Health and Nutrition with Environmentally Sustainable Diets 2019: 285-303.

156. Hassani A, Azapagic A, Shokri N. Global predictions of primary soil salinization under
changing climate in the 21st century. *Nat Commun* 2021; **12**(1): 6663.

157. Wegner GI, Murray KA, Springmann M, et al. Averting wildlife-borne infectious disease
epidemics requires a focus on socio-ecological drivers and a redesign of the global food system. *eClinicalMedicine* 2022; 47.

Rust NA, Ridding L, Ward C, et al. How to transition to reduced-meat diets that benefit
people and the planet. *Science of The Total Environment* 2020; **718**: 137208.

Rebouillat P, Vidal R, Cravedi J-P, et al. Estimated dietary pesticide exposure from plantbased foods using NMF-derived profiles in a large sample of French adults. *European Journal of Nutrition* 2021; 60(3): 1475-88.

160. Dasgupta S, Robinson EJ. Improving food policies for a climate insecure world: Evidence
from Ethiopia. *National Institute Economic Review* 2021; **258**: 66-82.
- 161. FAO. Climate change impacts and responses in small-scale irrigation systems in West
 Africa: Case studies in Côte d'Ivoire, the Gambia, Mali and the Niger. 2019.
- 162. FAO. Adapting to climate change through land and water management in Eastern Africa:
 Results of pilot projects in Ethiopia, Kenya and Tanzania. 2014.
- 163. McDermid SS, Mahmood R, Hayes MJ, Bell JE, Lieberman Z. Minimizing trade-offs for sustainable irrigation. *Nature Geoscience* 2021; **14**(10): 706-9.
- 164. Tesfaye W, Tirivayi N. The impacts of postharvest storage innovations on food security
 and welfare in Ethiopia. *Food Policy* 2018; **75**: 52-67.
- 165. Acevedo M, Pixley K, Zinyengere N, et al. A scoping review of adoption of climate-resilient
 crops by small-scale producers in low- and middle-income countries. *Nature Plants* 2020; 6: 123141.
- 166. Kalele DN, Ogara WO, Oludhe C, Onono JO. Climate change impacts and relevance of smallholder farmers' response in arid and semi-arid lands in Kenya. *Sci Afr* 2021; **12**: e00814.
- 2242 167. World Bank. The role of strategic grain reserves in enhancing food security in Zambia and2243 Zimbabwe. World Bank; 2021.
- 168. World Health Organization. 2021 WHO health and climate change global survey report.Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.
- 2246169.WorldHealthOrganisation.COP26HealthProgramme2021.2247https://www.who.int/initiatives/cop26-health-programme2022).
- 2248 170. United Nations DESA/Population Division. The World's Cities in 2018 Data booklet:2249 United Nations, 2018.
- 2250 171. CDP. 2021 Cities Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessments. In: CDP, editor.; 2021.
- 172. Bouchama A, Dehbi M, Mohamed G, Matthies F, Shoukri M, Menne B. Prognostic factors
 in heat wave related deaths: a meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2007; **167**(20): 2170-6.
- 173. Mastrucci A, Byers E, Pachauri S, Rao ND. Improving the SDG energy poverty targets:
 Residential cooling needs in the Global South. *Energy and Buildings* 2019; **186**: 405-15.
- 2255 174. Davis L, Gertler P, Jarvis S, Wolfram C. Air conditioning and global inequality. *Global* 2256 *Environmental Change* 2021; 69: 102299.

- 2257 175. Waite M, Cohen E, Torbey H, Piccirilli M, Tian Y, Modi V. Global trends in urban electricity
 2258 demands for cooling and heating. *Energy* 2017; (127): 786-802.
- 176. Salamanca F, Georgescu M, Mahalov A, Moustaoui M, Wang M. Anthropogenic heating
 of the urban environment due to air conditioning. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*2014; **119**(10): 5949-65.
- 177. Randazzo T, De Cian E, Mistry MN. Air conditioning and electricity expenditure: The role
 of climate in temperate countries. *Economic Modelling* 2020; **90**: 273-87.
- 178. Kouis P, Psistaki K, Giallouros G, et al. Heat-related mortality under climate change and
 the impact of adaptation through air conditioning: A case study from Thessaloniki, Greece. *Environ Res* 2021; **199**: 111285.
- 2267 179. Stone B, Mallen E, Rajput M, et al. Compound Climate and Infrastructure Events: How
 2268 Electrical Grid Failure Alters Heat Wave Risk. *Environ Sci Technol* 2021; **55**(10): 6957-64.
- 2269 180. IEA. Cooling. 2021. <u>https://www.iea.org/reports/cooling</u> (accessed 17 July 2022.

181. Gago EJ, Roldan J, Pacheco-Torres R, Ordóñez J. The city and urban heat islands: A review
of strategies to mitigate adverse effects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2013; 25:
749-58.

- 182. Astell-Burt T, Hartig T, Eckermann S, et al. More green, less lonely? A longitudinal cohort
 study. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2022; **51**(1): 99-110.
- 183. Callaghan A, McCombe G, Harrold A, et al. The impact of green spaces on mental health
 in urban settings: a scoping review. *J Ment Health* 2021; **30**(2): 179-93.
- 2277 184. Gasparrini A, Guo Y, Hashizume M, et al. Mortality risk attributable to high and low 2278 ambient temperature: a multicountry observational study. *Lancet* 2015; **386**(9991): 369-75.
- 2279 185. Ebi KL, Capon A, Berry P, et al. Hot weather and heat extremes: health risks. *Lancet* 2021;
 2280 **398**(10301): 698-708.
- 186. Jay O, Capon A, Berry P, et al. Reducing the health effects of hot weather and heat
 extremes: from personal cooling strategies to green cities. *Lancet* 2021; **398**(10301): 709-24.
- 187. Anderson GB, Bell ML. Lights out: impact of the August 2003 power outage on mortality
 in New York, NY. *Epidemiology* 2012; 23(2): 189-93.
- 2285 188. Grocholski B. Cooling in a warming world. *Science* 2020; **370**(6518): 776-7.

2286 189. Wang C, Wang Z-H, Yang J. Cooling effect of urban trees on the built environment of contiguous United States. *Earth's Future* 2018; **6**: 1066-81.

190. ASHRAE. Standard 55-thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy. Atlanta,2289 2017.

191. Malik A, Bongers C, McBain B, et al. The potential for indoor fans to change air
conditioning use while maintaining human thermal comfort during hot weather: an analysis of
energy demand and associated greenhouse gas emissions. *Lancet Planet Health* 2022; 6(4): e301e9.

192. Foster J, Smallcombe JW, Hodder S, Jay O, Flouris AD, Havenith G. Quantifying the impact of heat on human physical work capacity; part II: the observed interaction of air velocity with temperature, humidity, sweat rate, and clothing is not captured by most heat stress indices. *Int J Biometeorol* 2022; **66**(3): 507-20.

193. Morris NB, English T, Hospers L, Capon A, Jay O. The Effects of Electric Fan Use Under
Differing Resting Heat Index Conditions: A Clinical Trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2019; **171**(9): 675-7.

2300 194. Morris NB, Chaseling GK, English T, et al. Electric fan use for cooling during hot weather:
2301 a biophysical modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2021; 5(6): e368-e77.

195. Narayanan R, Halawa E, Jain S. Dehumidification Potential of a Solid Desiccant Based
Evaporative Cooling System with an Enthalpy Exchanger Operating in Subtropical and Tropical
Climates. *Energies* 2019; **12**(14): 2704.

196. Morris NB, Gruss F, Lempert S, et al. A Preliminary Study of the Effect of Dousing and Foot
Immersion on Cardiovascular and Thermal Responses to Extreme Heat. *JAMA* 2019; **322**(14):
1411-3.

2308 197. Cramer MN, Huang M, Moralez G, Crandall CG. Keeping older individuals cool in hot and
2309 moderately humid conditions: wetted clothing with and without an electric fan. *J Appl Physiol*2310 (1985) 2020; **128**(3): 604-11.

198. Giesbrecht GG, Wu MP, White MD, Johnston CE, Bristow GK. Isolated effects of peripheral
arm and central body cooling on arm performance. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 1995; 66(10): 96875.

2314 199. World Health assembly Second Special S. Report of the Member States Working Group
2315 on Strengthening WHO Preparedness and Response to Health emergencies to the special session
2316 of the World Health Assembly, 2021.

200. Seventy-Fourth World Health a. WHO's work in health emergencies: Strengthening
preparedness for health emergencies: Implementation of the International Health Regulations
(2005), 2021.

2320 201. World Health Organization. International Health Regulations (2005). Second Edition ed.2321 Geneva, Switzerland; 2008.

2322 202. Kolimenakis A, Heinz S, Wilson ML, et al. The role of urbanisation in the spread of Aedes
2323 mosquitoes and the diseases they transmit—A systematic review. *PLOS Neglected Tropical*2324 *Diseases* 2021; **15**(9): e0009631.

2325 203. World Health Organization. Dengue and Severe Dengue. 2022.
 2326 <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-</u>
 2327 dengue#:~:text=The%20number%20of%20dengue%20cases,and%205.2%20million%20in%2020

2328 **19**. (accessed 21 April 2022

2329

2330 204. Wilder-Smith A, Lindsay SW, Scott TW, Ooi EE, Gubler DJ, Das P. The Lancet Commission
2331 on dengue and other Aedes-transmitted viral diseases. *The Lancet* 2020; **395**(10241): 1890-1.

2332 205. Chovatiya M, Dhameliya A, Deokar J, Gonsalves J, Mathur A. Prediction of Dengue using
2333 Recurrent Neural Network. 2019 3rd International Conference on Trends in Electronics and
2334 Informatics (ICOEI); 2019 23-25 April 2019; 2019. p. 926-9.

2335 206. Carabali M, Hernandez LM, Arauz MJ, Villar LA, Ridde V. Why are people with dengue
2336 dying? A scoping review of determinants for dengue mortality. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2015;
2337 15(1): 301.

2338 207. Nolte E, McKee M. Measuring the health of nations: analysis of mortality amenable to 2339 health care. *BMJ* 2003; **327**(7424): 1129-.

2340 208. World Meteorological Organization. WMO Atlas of Mortality and Economic Losses from
2341 Weather, Climate and Water Extremes (1970–2019): World Meterological Organization, 2021.

- 2342 209. Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters. EM-DAT The International Disaster2343 Database. 2022.
- 2344 210. Reduction UNOfDR. Sendai Framework for Disaster Risj Reduction 2015–2030: United2345 Nations, 2015.

2346 211. Zaidi RZ, Fordham M. The missing half of the Sendai framework: Gender and women in
2347 the implementation of global disaster risk reduction policy. *Progress in Disaster Science* 2021; 10:
2348 100170.

2349 212. Le Bars D, Drijfhout S, de Vries H. A high-end sea level rise probabilistic projection
2350 including rapid Antarctic ice sheet mass loss. *Environmental Research Letters* 2017; **12**(4):
2351 044013.

2352 213. Bakker AMR, Wong TE, Ruckert KL, Keller K. Sea-level projections representing the deeply
2353 uncertain contribution of the West Antarctic ice sheet. *Scientific Reports* 2017; 7(1): 3880.

2354 214. Melet A, Meyssignac B, Almar R, Le Cozannet G. Under-estimated wave contribution to 2355 coastal sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change* 2018; **8**(3): 234-9.

2356 215. Kirezci E, Young IR, Ranasinghe R, et al. Projections of global-scale extreme sea levels and 2357 resulting episodic coastal flooding over the 21st Century. *Scientific Reports* 2020; **10**(1): 11629.

2358 216. Vineis P, Chan Q, Khan A. Climate change impacts on water salinity and health. *Journal of* 2359 *Epidemiology and Global Health* 2011; **1**(1): 5-10.

2360 217. Dvorak AC, Solo-Gabriele HM, Galletti A, et al. Possible impacts of sea level rise on disease
2361 transmission and potential adaptation strategies, a review. *Journal of Environmental*2362 *Management* 2018; **217**: 951-68.

2363 218. UNEP, UNEP Copenhagen Climate Centre. Emissions Gap Report 2021. Nairobi: UNEP, 2364 2021.

2365 219. IEA. Global Energy Review 2021: CO2 emissions. IEA, Paris: International Energy Agency,2366 2021.

2367 220. UNFCCC. Nationally determined contributions under the Paris Agreement. Synthesis2368 report by the secretariat, 2021.

2369 221. Hamilton I, Kennard H, McGushin A, et al. The public health implications of the Paris
2370 Agreement: a modelling study. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2021; 5(2): e74-e83.

2371 222. Sachs JD, Woo WT, Yoshino N, Taghizadeh-Hesary F. Handbook of Green Finance: 2372 Springer; 2019.

2373 223. IEA. Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy: Overview. Paris: IEA, 2021.

2374 224. IEA. Renewable Energy Market Update 2021. Paris: IEA, 2021.

- 2375 225. Chawla S, Kurani S, Wren SM, et al. Electricity and generator availability in LMIC hospitals:
 2376 improving access to safe surgery. *Journal of Surgical Research* 2018; **223**: 136-41.
- 2377 226. IEA. Access to electricity. 2021. <u>https://www.iea.org/reports/sdg7-data-and-</u>
 2378 <u>projections/access-to-electricity</u> (accessed 21 April 2022.
- 2379 227. World Health Organization. Household Air Pollution and Health. WHO Fact Sheets: World2380 Health Organization, 2021.
- 2381 228. UNEP. Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction: Towards a Zero-emission,
 2382 Efficient and Resilient Buildings and Construction Sector. Nairobi: UNEP, 2021.
- 2383 229. World Health Organization. Household air pollution attributable death rate (per 100 0002384 population). Geneva; 2022.
- 2385 230. Shupler M, Godwin W, Frostad J, Gustafson P, Arku RE, Brauer M. Global estimation of 2386 exposure to fine particulate matter (PM2.5) from household air pollution. *Environment* 2387 *International* 2018; **120**: 354-63.
- 2388 231. World Health Organization. WHO global air quality guidelines: particulate matter (PM2.5
 2389 and PM10), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. Geneva, 2021.
- 2390 232. United Nations. The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2021, 2021.
- 2391 233. Birol F. The future of cooling: opportunities for energy-efficient air conditioning. Paris,
 2392 France: International Energy Agency, 2018.
- 2393 234. Shupler M, Mwitari J, Gohole A, et al. COVID-19 impacts on household energy & food
 2394 security in a Kenyan informal settlement: The need for integrated approaches to the SDGs.
 2395 *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2021; **144**: 111018.
- 2396 235. United Nations. Brief No. 1: Global Impact of War in Ukraine on Food, Energy and Finance2397 Systems. 2022.
- 2398 236. Tollefson J. What the war in Ukraine means for energy, climate and food. Nature. 2022.
- 2399 237. Manisalidis I, Stavropoulou E, Stavropoulos A, Bezirtzoglou E. Environmental and Health
 2400 Impacts of Air Pollution: A Review. *Frontiers in Public Health* 2020; 8.
- 2401 238. GBD Diet Collaborators. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a
 2402 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet (London, England)* 2019;
 2403 **393**(10184): 1958-72.

2404 239. IEA. Tracking Transport 2021; IEA report. International Energy Agency, Paris: International
2405 Energy Agency, 2021.

2406 240. Paoli L, Gül T. Electric cars fend off supply challenges to more than double global sales.2407 Paris: IEA, 2022.

2408 241. IEA. Electric Vehicles. 2021. <u>https://www.iea.org/reports/electric-vehicles</u> (accessed 13
2409 May 2021

2410

2411 242. Saunders LE, Green JM, Petticrew MP, Steinbach R, Roberts H. What are the health
2412 benefits of active travel? A systematic review of trials and cohort studies. *PLoS One* 2013; 8(8):
2413 e69912.

2414 243. Stankov I, Garcia LMT, Mascolli MA, et al. A systematic review of empirical and simulation
2415 studies evaluating the health impact of transportation interventions. *Environ Res* 2020; **186**:
2416 109519.

2417 244. Apple Inc. Mobility Trends Report. Cupertino: Apple Inc., 2022.

2418 245. Crippa M, Solazzo, E., Guizzardi, D. et al. Food systems are responsible for a third of global
2419 anthropogenic GHG emissions. *Nature Food* 2021; **2**: 198–209.

2420 246. Tapio I, Snelling TJ, Strozzi F, Wallace RJ. The ruminal microbiome associated with 2421 methane emissions from ruminant livestock. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol* 2017; **8**: 7.

2422 247. Herrero M, Havlik P, Valin H, et al. Biomass use, production, feed efficiencies, and
2423 greenhouse gas emissions from global livestock systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013; **110**(52):
2424 20888-93.

2425 248. Springmann M, Wiebe K, Mason-D'Croz D, Sulser TB, Rayner M, Scarborough P. Health
2426 and nutritional aspects of sustainable diet strategies and their association with environmental
2427 impacts: a global modelling analysis with country-level detail. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018;
2428 2(10): e451-e61.

2429 249. Wang DD, Li Y, Afshin A, et al. Global Improvement in Dietary Quality Could Lead to 2430 Substantial Reduction in Premature Death. *J Nutr* 2019; **149**(6): 1065-74.

2431 250. World Health Organization. COP26 Health Programme. Country commitments 2022.
 2432 <u>https://www.who.int/initiatives/cop26-health-programme/country-commitments2022</u>).

2433 251. World Health Organization. Expert meeting on measuring greenhouse gas emissions and
2434 other environmental sustainability concerns in health care facilities: World Health Organization,
2435 2021.

2436 252. Buchner B, Naran B, Fernandes P, et al. Global Landscape of Climate Finance 2021, 2021.

2437 253. IEA. Net Zero by 2050: A Roadmap for the Global Energy Sector. Paris, 2021.

2438 254. Swiss Re. Sigma explorer. Zurich, Switzerland: Swiss Re; 2022.

2439 255. International Labour Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization, International
2440 Union of Food Allied Workers' Associations. Agricultural workers and their contributions to
2441 sustainable agriculture and rural development, 2007.

2442 256. Rodriguez LaS, Carmen. Too Many Agricultural Workers Can't Afford to Eat, UN Says.2443 Global Citizen. 2018 25th Ocotber 2018.

2444 257. Bank TW. Living Standards Measurement Study: Agricultural Labor: The World Bank, 2445 2022.

- 2446 258. IEA. World Energy Investment 2022, 2022.
- 2447 259. Finkelman RB, Wolfe A, Hendryx MS. The future environmental and health impacts of coal. *Energy Geoscience* 2021; **2**(2): 99-112.
- 2449 260. IRENA. Renewable Energy: A Gender Perspective. Abu Dhabi, 2019.

2450 261. Hunt C, Weber O. Fossil Fuel Divestment Strategies: Financial and Carbon-Related 2451 Consequences. *Organization & Environment* 2019; **32**: 41-61.

2452 262. Plantinga A, Scholtens B. The financial impact of fossil fuel divestment. *Climate Policy*2453 2021; **21**: 107-19.

2454 263. Stand.earth, org. Global Fossil Fuel Commitments Database. 2022.

2455 264. Younger SD, Osei-Assibey E, Oppong F. Fiscal Incidence in Ghana. *Review of Development*2456 *Economics* 2017; **21**(4): e47-e66.

2457 265. Inter-agency Task Force on Financing for Development. Financing for Sustainable
2458 Development Report 2020. New York, NY, USA: UN Department of Economic and Social Aff airs,
2459 2020.

2460 266. Stadler K, Wood R, Bulavskaya T, et al. EXIOBASE 3: Developing a Time Series of Detailed
2461 Environmentally Extended Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*2462 2018; **22**(3): 502-15.

2463 267. Friedlingstein P, Jones MW, O'Sullivan M, et al. Global Carbon Budget 2021. *Earth System*2464 Science Data Discussions 2021; **2021**: 1-191.

2465 268. Amann M, Bertok I, Borken-Kleefeld J, et al. Cost-effective control of air quality and 2466 greenhouse gases in Europe: Modeling and policy applications. *Environmental Modelling and* 2467 *Software* 2011; **26**(12): 1489-501.

2468 269. Welsby D, Price J, Pye S, Ekins P. Unextractable fossil fuels in a 1.5 °C world. *Nature* 2021;
2469 597(7875): 230-4.

2470 270. Our World in Data. Who has contributed most to global CO2 emissions? 2019.
 2471 <u>https://ourworldindata.org/contributed-most-global-co2</u>.

2472 271. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Copenhagen Accord 2009.

2473 272. Ares E, Loft P. COP26: Delivering on \$100 billion climate finance. 2021.

2474 273. O'Callaghan B, Yau N, Murdock E, et al. Global Recovery Observatory. Oxford, 2021.

2475 274. Cissé G, McLeman R, Adams H, et al. Chapter 7: Health, Wellbeing, and the Changing
2476 Structure of Communities. In: H.-O. Pörtner DCR, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A.
2477 Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama, ed. Climate Change 2022:
2478 Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment
2479 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; in press.

2480 275. World Health Organization. COP26 special report on climate change and health: the2481 health argument for climate action. Geneva, 2021.

2482 276. United Nations Environment Programme. UNEP Copenhagen Climate Centre (UNEP-CCC)2483 2020 Emissions Gap Report, 2020.

2484 277. Birkmann J, Liwenga E, Pandey R, et al. Chapter 8: Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable
2485 Development. In: Oki T, Rivera-Ferre MG, Zatari T, eds. Contribution of Working Group II to the
2486 Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC WGII Sixth
2487 Assessment Report; in press.

2488 278. Chanel L. Climate change & the global inequality of carbon emissions, 1990-2020, UNDP,2489 2021.

2490 279. Trisos CH, Adelekan IO, Totin E, et al. Chapter 9: Africa. In: Howden SM, Scholes RJ, Yanda
2491 P, eds. Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability Contribution of Working
2492 Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; in
2493 press.

2494 280. Committee on Climate Change. The Sixth Carbon Budget: The UK's path to Net Zero., 2495 2020.

2496 281. Carvalho A, Van Wessel M, Maeseele P. Communication practices and political
2497 engagement with climate change: A research agenda. *Environmental Communication* 2017;
2498 **11**(1): 122-35.

2499 282. World Health Organization. Sixty-first World Health Assembly.
 2500 <u>https://www.who.int/mediacentre/events/2008/wha61/en/</u> (accessed March-21.

2501 283. Newman N, Fletcher R, Schulz A, Andı S, Nielsen RK. Digital News Report 2020.
 2502 <u>https://www.digitalnewsreport.org/</u> (accessed March-21).

2503 284. Reuters Institute. Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2021 10th edition, 2021.

2504 285. Carvalho A. Media (ted) discourses and climate change: a focus on political subjectivity 2505 and (dis) engagement. Wiley Online Library; 2010. p. 172-9.

2506 286. Rogstad I. Is Twitter just rehashing? Intermedia agenda setting between Twitter and 2507 mainstream media. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics* 2016; **13**(2): 142-58.

2508 287. Wikimedia. Wikimedia statistics. 2022. <u>https://stats.wikimedia.org/#/en.wikipedia.org</u>.

2509 288. Smith DA. Situating Wikipedia as a health information resource in various contexts: A 2510 scoping review. *PloS one* 2020; **15**(2): e0228786.

2511 289. Qaiser F. Like Zika, The Public Is Heading To Wikipedia During The COVID-19 Coronavirus2512 Pandemic. Forbes 2020.

2513 290. Amazon Alexa. The top 500 sites on the Web. 2020. <u>https://www.alexa.com/topsites</u>.
2514 (accessed March-21.

2515 291. Schroeder R, Taylor L. Big data and Wikipedia research: social science knowledge across 2516 disciplinary divides. *Information, Communication & Society* 2015; **18**(9): 1039-56.

118

2517 292. Mesgari M, Okoli C, Mehdi M, Nielsen FÅ, Lanamäki A. "The sum of all human knowledge":
2518 A systematic review of scholarly research on the content of Wikipedia. *Journal of the Association*2519 *for Information Science and Technology* 2015; **66**(2): 219-45.

2520 293. Teplitskiy M, Lu G, Duede E. Amplifying the impact of open access: Wikipedia and the
2521 diffusion of science. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 2017;
2522 68(9): 2116-27.

2523 294. Okoli C, Mehdi M, Mesgari M, Nielsen FÅ, Lanamäki A. Wikipedia in the eyes of its 2524 beholders: A systematic review of scholarly research on Wikipedia readers and readership. 2525 Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology 2014; **65**(12): 2381-403.

2526 295. Wikimedia Commons. Most popular edition of Wikipedia by country. 2022.
 2527 <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=99613651</u>.

2528 296. Wikimedia Commons. Wikipedia page views by language over time. 2022.
 2529 <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=99654507</u>.

2530 297. Bornmann L. Scientific peer review. *Annual review of information science and technology*2531 2011; 45(1): 197-245.

2532 298. Berrang-Ford L, Sietsma AJ, Callaghan M, et al. Systematic mapping of global research on 2533 climate and health: a machine learning review. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2021; **5**(8): e514-e25.

2534 299. Callaghan MW, Minx JC, Forster PM. A topography of climate change research. *Nature* 2535 *Climate Change* 2020; **10**(2): 118-23.

2536 300. Bulkeley H, Newell P. Governing climate change: Routledge; 2015.

2537 301. Peterson M. The UN General Assembly: Routledge; 2018.

2538 302. United Nations. Paris Agreement. New York: United Nations, 2015.

2539 303. United Nations. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).2540 New York: United Nations, 1992.

304. Baturo A, Dasandi N, Mikhaylov SJ. Understanding state preferences with text as data:
Introducing the UN General Debate corpus. *Research & Politics* 2017; 4(2): 2053168017712821.

2543 305. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. The Paris Agreement.
 2544 <u>https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement</u> (accessed
 2545 March-21.

- 2546 306. Dasandi N, Graham H, Lampard P, Jankin Mikhaylov S. Engagement with health in national 2547 climate change commitments under the Paris Agreement: a global mixed-methods analysis of the 2548 nationally determined contributions. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2021; **5**(2): e93-e101.
- 2549 307. Dasandi N, Graham H, Lampard P, Jankin Mikhaylov S. Intergovernmental engagement on 2550 health impacts of climate change. *Bull World Health Organ* 2021; **99**(2): 102-11B.
- 308. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. NDC Registry (interim).
 <u>https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/NDCStaging/Pages/All.aspx</u> (accessed February 20, 2022.
- 2553 309. General Assembly of the UN. Seventy-sixth session. Agenda Item 8. General debate. 2554 September 25.: UN General Assembly; 2021.
- 2555310. TheRepublicofAlbania.AlbaniaRevisedNDC2556<a href="https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/ndcstaging/PublishedDocuments/Albania%20First/Albania%20Fi
- 2558 311. United Nations. The United Nations Global Compact. <u>https://www.unglobalcompact.org/</u>
 2559 (accessed March 20, 2022.
- 2560 312. Podrecca M, Sartor M, Nassimbeni G. United Nations Global Compact: where are we 2561 going? *Social Responsibility Journal* 2021.
- 313. Rasche A, Gwozdz W, Lund Larsen M, Moon J. Which firms leave multi-stakeholder
 initiatives? An analysis of delistings from the United Nations Global Compact. *Regulation & Governance* 2022; **16**(1): 309-26.
- 2565 314. McIver L, Kim R, Woodward A, et al. Health impacts of climate change in Pacific Island 2566 countries: a regional assessment of vulnerabilities and adaptation priorities. *Environmental* 2567 *health perspectives* 2016; **124**(11): 1707-14.
- 2568 315. Tukuitonga C, Vivili P. Climate effects on health in Small Islands Developing States. *The* 2569 *Lancet Planetary Health* 2021; **5**(2): e69-e70.
- 2570 316. IEA. World Energy Outlook 2021. Paris, 2021.
- 2571