A party which supported a new deal with Europe would likely benefit at the ballot box by support from both Leavers and Remainers

Richard P Bentall, Paul Willner, and **Todd K. Hartman** present findings from a recent survey measuring Leave and Remain identities, and which also tested for how acceptable participants found specific policies aimed at Britain's future relationship with Europe. They write that the policy of remaining outside the EU but seeking closer alignment with it is not toxic for people who had identified as either Leavers or Remainers.

With little hyperbole, Brexit remains a clear and present danger to the continued survival of the United Kingdom. Ever since the 2016 EU Referendum was first announced, this issue has infused British politics with turbulence, disagreement, and polarisation among political elites and the general public. Yet, there is no sign that the infighting will end any time soon, despite the fact that the UK formally left the European Union in 2020. The present Conservative government has only exacerbated matters by pursuing an 'ultra-hard' Brexit that alienates at least half of the UK population. Continuing along this path undermines the social and political coherence of the country, perhaps even fatally – it is not beyond imagination that Scotland could choose to become independent or that a new political settlement will emerge in Northern Ireland; support for Welsh independence has also increased since the referendum.

Brexit identities

Researchers <u>have demonstrated</u> that people form identities (i.e., how we define ourselves and internalise our group memberships) extraordinarily quickly. During political crises such as the English Civil War in the 17th century, for <u>example</u>, people divided themselves into Monarchists and Parliamentarians, even though there was little ideological difference between them (at least at the outset). Prior to Prohibition in the United States, <u>citizens split into</u> 'drys' and 'wets', and in the Dreyfus Affair in 19th century France, the <u>public fractured into</u> Dreyfusards and anti-Dreyfusards. In each case, the conflict lasted more than a decade, and bad feelings continued for long afterwards.

More recently, British people formed 'leave' and 'remain' identities surrounding the 2016 referendum that have persisted, and in many cases, may even be stronger determinants of political behaviour than traditional party affiliations. Although identities can be associated with self-esteem and resilience to mental ill-health, holding strong group identities can also be a source of conflict. For instance, scholars have recently shown that Brexit identities are associated with out-group derogation – both Leavers and Remainers, for instance, think the other side is less intelligent, and they would be unhappy if their children were to marry someone of the opposing group. Moreover, Brexit identities appear to be associated with high levels of psychological distress. In a recent study, we discovered that people who identify strongly as either Leavers or Remainers score higher on clinical measures of depression and anxiety compared to those without strong Brexit identities.

Finding a way beyond Brexit (identities)

Recent polling reveals a remarkable stability of public opinion on the issue of Brexit, with <u>roughly even splits</u> on either side of the debate. However, <u>a clear majority</u> of the British public report dissatisfaction with the way that the issue is being handled and agree that 'Brexit is going badly'. These results suggest that it may be possible to bridge the divide between Leavers and Remainers by finding a post-Brexit policy that *provokes the least negative reaction amongst the maximum number of people*. Stated differently, sensible politicians could seek policies that are unrelated to entrenched Leave and Remain identities, and thus avoid exacerbating further political conflict.

To explore potential ways forward, we conducted a nationally representative survey among the British public in December 2021 (more details about the C19PRC longitudinal study can be found here). In addition to measuring Leave and Remain identities, our survey included items designed to test different policies aimed at Britain's future relationship with Europe. Rather than asking people whether they supported each policy, we instead asked participants about the *acceptability of specific policies*. The acceptability of three distinct post-Brexit policies are shown in Table 1, which tabulates responses for the whole sample, as well as by whether respondents had voted to leave or remain in the 2016 EU Referendum.

Date originally posted: 2022-03-30

Permalink: https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/politicsandpolicy/brexit-psychology-new-deal-europe/

Table 1: Acceptability (%) of three	potential post-Brexit poli	cies to Leav	ers, Remai	ners, and
all voters (December 2021)				
		Leave	Remain	
		Voters	Voters	Total
Policy	Responses	(<i>n</i> = 549)	(<i>n</i> = 641)	(N = 1,408)
1. An independent, sovereign United	Completely unacceptable	8.2	44.1	25.8
Kingdom. The UK should cut off all	Fairly unacceptable	16.2	20.1	17.9
ties with the European Union, even if	Neither accept nor unaccept	34.4	23.9	32.1
this means trading is disrupted,	Fairly acceptable	27.5	10.6	17.6
economic growth is reduced and	Completely acceptable	13.7	1.2	6.5
there is a hard border in Ireland.				
Rationale: Sovereignty is more	Unacceptable total	24.4	64.2	43.7
important than anything else,	•	24.4 41.2	11.8	43.7 24.1
including the economy or the fate of	Acceptable total	41.2	11.8	24.1
Northern Ireland.				
2. A new deal with Europe. The UK	Completely unacceptable	6.0	5.3	5.7
should remain independent of the	Fairly unacceptable	8.2	10.9	9.3
European Union but cooperate with it	Neither accept nor unaccept	28.8	27.9	31.3
wherever possible, aligning our	Fairly acceptable	34.8	35.6	19.9
regulations to maximize trade and	Completely acceptable	22.2	20.3	33.7
reduce tensions in Northern Ireland.				
Rationale: It is important for the UK				
to be an independent nation but the	Unacceptable total	14.2	16.2	15.0
country will be stronger and more	Acceptable total	57.0	55.8	53.6
prosperous if we cooperate with				
nearby friendly nations.				
3. Rethink Brexit. If Brexit proves to	Completely unacceptable	37.0	4.8	17.9
be economically and socially	Fairly unacceptable	18.8	6.6	12.0
damaging, once we know this is the	Neither accept nor unaccept	25.9	23.1	27.9
case we should have a referendum on	Fairly acceptable	10.9	22.5	17.3
rejoining the EU as a full member.	Completely acceptable	7.5	43.1	25.0
Rationale: If Brexit is a failure and				
badly harms the UK economy, the	Unacceptable total	55.7	11.4	29.8
people of the UK have a right to	Acceptable total	18.4	65.6	42.3
decide whether to reverse it.	Acceptable total	10.4	00.0	12.0

The policy of 'an independent, sovereign UK' calling for a hard Brexit is highly unacceptable to 64% of Remain voters but also to 24% of Leave voters. Similarly, the policy named 'rethink Brexit' which advocates for a new referendum to re-join the EU shows the reverse pattern: it is unacceptable to 56% of Leave voters and even 11% of Remain voters. However, the policy of 'a new deal with Europe', which encourages cooperation and closer ties with Europe, is acceptable to both Leave and Remain voters, and it is only unacceptable to a small minority of voters of both types. This pattern can be seen more easily in Figure 1 (those who did or could not vote are excluded). Indeed, a better deal for Europe appears to be more acceptable to leave voters than a sovereign independent United Kingdom.

Figure 1: % of Leave and Remain voters who find the three post-Brexit policies acceptable (green), unacceptable (red) or neither (grey).

Permalink: https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/politicsandpolicy/brexit-psychology-new-deal-europe/

Date originally posted: 2022-03-30





Can these findings be explained in terms of the way that the policies relate to people's identities? Support for 'an independent sovereign Britain' is strongly correlated with Leave identities (r = .56, p < .001), and negatively with Remain (r - .38, p < .001); the combination of both types of identity in a regression analysis accounted for 34% of the variation in support for this policy. Similarly, support for 'rethinking Brexit' correlated highly with Remain identities (r = .57, p < .001) and negatively with Leave (r = -.47, p < .001); combining both in a regression model accounted for 39% of support for the policy.

However, the findings for 'a new deal with Europe' were strikingly different. Support for this policy was entirely uncorrelated with either Remain (r = -.03, p = .22) or Leave identities (r = -.02, p = .34), and combining both variables in a regression model failed to explain any of the variation in support for the policy. For readers who are uncomfortable with statistics, these findings can be simply restated as follows: the policy of remaining outside the EU but seeking closer alignment with it is not toxic for people who had identified as either Leavers or Remainers.

Final thoughts

Leave and remain identities are a crucial key to understanding the present mass psychology of Brexit and the continuing division and conflict over Britain's future relationship with Europe. A political party which explicitly and vocally supported a new deal with Europe would likely benefit at the ballot box by support from both Leavers and Remainers. The only impediment to this policy at the moment would seem to be the unwillingness of politicians in either major party to advocate it, either because they have tied their fortunes to the 'ultra-hard' Brexit currently being pursued by the Conservative Party, or because they are too timid to make the case for a closer relationship with the EU without re-joining it. Perhaps a final conclusion is that Britain could be better served by its politicians if they just listened more carefully.

About the Authors

Richard P Bentall is **Professor of Clinical Psychologyin the** Clinical Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield.

Paul Willner is Professor Emeritus in Swansea University's School of Psychology.

Todd K Hartman is Professor of Quantitative Social Science in the Department of Social Statistics at the University of Manchester.

Permalink: https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/politicsandpolicy/brexit-psychology-new-deal-europe/

Note: The first and second authors are members of the European Movement and have taken part in pro-EU campaigns. Hopefully, this does not undermine our analysis of the data, which we have tried to carry out in an impartial way. The dataset will shortly be available on the <u>C19PRC Open Science Framework website</u>.

Date originally posted: 2022-03-30

British Politics and Policy at LSE: A party which supported a new deal with Europe would likely benefit at the ballot box by support from both Leavers and Page 4 of 4 Remainers

Permalink: https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/politicsandpolicy/brexit-psychology-new-deal-europe/

Date originally posted: 2022-03-30