SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTS

Appendix 1: Markov model: data sources and key assumptions (the model is fully described in Piñol, 2016)

	Delayed treatment (Delayed treatment = no disease-modifying treatment before developing clinically definite multiple sclerosis)	Early treatment	
Likelihood of transition to EDSS health state	o the next disability level every 6 mo	onths (Piñol, 2016) according	
0	0.026	0.018	
1-1.5	0.026	0.018	
2-2.5	0.026	0.018	
3-5.5	0.054	0.037	
6-7.5	0.021	0.015	
8-10	0.006	0.004	
Likelihood of relapse at	6 months (Piñol, 2016; Prosser LA	, et al. 2004)	
•	0.453	0.282	
Proportion still in CIS gr	oup (Prosser LA, et al. 2004)		
Year 1	0.72	0.86	
Year 2	0.58	0.77	
Year 3	0.50	0.72	
Year 4	0.42	0.68	
Year 5	0.38	0.61	
Year 6	0.32	0.56	
Year 7	0.28	0.50	
Year 8	0.25	0.48	
Year 9	0.22	0.42	
Year 10	0.20	0.40	
Year >10	We did not extrapolate data beyond frame. For cycles in the model after used the probability of conversion for year cycle.	that time frame, we	
O, et al. 2016;	According to EDSS health state S Spain and Sweden data)		
Prosser LA, et al. 2004)	Average early healthcare provid (euros, 2020 value)	• •	
	<u>Italy (</u> Lazzaro C, et al. 2009) <u>:</u> CIS = 8,252.57	= 8,288.68 ; CDMS =	
	<u>Spain (</u> Kobelt G, et al. 2006):CIS = 7,726.20 ; CDMS = 7,092.63 <u>Sweden N/A</u>		
	Average early societal costs per Italy (Lazzaro C,et al. 2009): CIS = 11,021.47		

	<u>Spain (</u> Kobelt G, et al. 2006 <u>):</u> CIS = 13,619.28 ; CDMS = 13,828.08
	<u>Sweden (Berg J, et al. 2006)</u> : CIS = 21,346.35; CDMS = 22,092.64
QALY	According to EDSS health state See table 1 (Italy, Spain and Sweden data) Average early QALY
	<u>Italy (Lazzaro C, et al. 2009): CIS = 0.314; CDMS = 0.300 Spain (Kobelt G, et al. 2006): CIS = 0.308; CDMS = 0.294 Sweden (Berg J, et al. 2006): CIS = 0.345; CDMS = 0.332</u>

Note: The model included 2 types of relapses: conversion from CIS to CDMS and relapses after diagnosis of CDMS. Probability of relapse for CDMS patients in both treatment groups (early and delayed) was obtained from the literature. As with utility values, EDSS specific costs were assumed applicable to both CIS and MS patients. Patients could discontinue treatment at any time during the time horizon to reflect the real-world situation. Additionally, we assumed that IFN-1b treatment was suspended when a patient scored 7 on the EDSS, based on clinical expert opinion. In every cycle, patients who remained alive accrued 6 months of life which were later adjusted by the utility corresponding to their health state and occurrence of a relapse.

Appendix 2: sensitivity analyses

Healthcare provider and societal costs (euros) per person		QALYs per person				
Sensitivit y 1 (cost)	Sensitivit y 2 (cost)	Sensitivit y 3 (cost)	Time horizon (years)	Sensitivit y 1 (QALY)	Sensitivity 2 (QALY)	Sensitivi ty 3 (QALY)
relative increase in costs 10%	relative increase 20%	relative increase 30%	25-30	relative increase 10%	relative increase 15%	relative increase 20%
			31-40	relative increase 0%	relative increase 0%	relative increase 0%
			41-50	relative increase 15%	relative increase 20%	relative increase 25%