Time to invest in prevention and better care of behaviours and psychological symptoms associated with dementia

1,77034 words, 575 references, 2 tables

Dr Claire V Burley¹ Prof Gill Livingston² Prof Martin RJ Knapp³ Prof Anders Wimo⁴ A/Prof Richard Norman⁵ *Prof Henry Brodaty¹

¹Dementia Centre for Research Collaboration, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
²Department of Old Age Psychiatry, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, United Kingdom
³Personal Social Services Research Unit, London School of Economics, London, United Kingdom
⁴Division of Neurogeriatrics, Department for Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institute, Solna, Sweden
⁵School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, Australia
*Corresponding author: Professor Henry Brodaty, Dementia Centre for Research Collaboration, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

h.brodaty@unsw.edu.au +61 2 9385 2585 (Executive Assistant: Ms Jude Allan)

Keywords

Behaviours and psychological symptoms associated with dementia (BPSD), care, cost-effectiveness, cognitive therapy, dementia, psychosocial, physical activity, nonpharmacological, therapeutic activities

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

While dementia is defined as cognitive decline leading to functional impairment, 1 behaviours and psychological symptoms (BPSD; also referred to as 'neuropsychiatric 2 symptoms', 'changed behaviours', 'behavioural and psychological symptoms of 3 4 dementia', 'responsive behaviours'; see Cunningham and colleagues)¹ which become almost universal as dementia becomes more severe, often cause more distress to 5 6 people with dementia and their families and account for much of the cost (see Lancet 7 commission).² Symptoms comprise aggression, agitation, anxiety, apathy, 8 depression, disinhibited behaviours, nocturnal disruption, psychotic symptoms, vocally 9 disruptive behaviours, and wandering.

11 These behaviours and symptoms impose a large financial burden, as they cause 12 family and carer partner distress, which also predicts early care home admission,^{3,4} 13 higher use of emergency department⁵ and other health facilities;⁶ as well as requiring 14 direct care^{7,8} in care facilities and the community.⁹

Behaviours and psychological symptoms are a key driver of the rapidly escalating social and economic costs of dementia globally. This paper poses the question: Do the economic benefits of non-pharmacological approaches in preventing and managing BPSD outweigh the costs?

20

10

15

21 The rising prevalence of dementia (currently 50 million people worldwide, estimated 22 to reach 82 million by 2030 and 152 million by 2050; www.alz.co.uk/research/statistics) leads to rapidly increasing costs (currently over US \$1 trillion, estimated to reach \$2 23 trillion by 2030,³ to which BPSD have been shown to contribute over 25% of total 24 25 indirect and 35% of total direct annual costs (i.e., \$2,665 and \$1,450 respectively in an individual patient) of care in an Israeli community setting.⁴ This may not be 26 surprising as BPSD are ubiquitous, affecting <u>←up to 90%</u> of people during the course 27 28 of dementia and strongly correlate with functional and cognitive impairment.^{5,6} They 29 also cause family and carer partner distress, which predicts loss of independence,⁷ early care home admission,^{8,9,10} higher use of emergency department¹¹ and other 30 health facilities;12 as well as requiring direct care4,13 in care facilities and the 31 community.14 32

33 loss of independence,¹³ and care home admission.^{4,14}

34

(Formatted: No underline, Not Highlight

1 The contribution of agitation to dementia costs has been reported to increase informal 2 care costs in a homecare setting¹⁵ by 17% and increase overall costs^{16,17} by 22%. In 3 care homes agitation accounts for 44% of excess costs on top of the costs of the home 4 itself;^{18–20} indicating that calculated costs depend on the setting and increase in a 5 dose–dependent manner with symptom severity (i.e., higher scores on the 6 neuropsychiatric inventory (NPI); see also Herrmann²¹ and Gustavsson¹⁴ and 7 colleagues).

8

9 Evidence is accumulating that nonpharmacological (also known as psychosocial) 10 interventions and person-centred care can reduce agitation and other behaviours.^{2,22} Yet there are difficulties in sustaining implementation and change in practice beyond 11 the period of the intervention.¹⁸ This is perhaps because implementing change takes 12 13 practice and time, practice to bed in and additional support as these approaches are 14 not built into the care environment. There may also be concerns about cost and staff 15 time, driven by insufficient awareness of studies that have focused specifically on cost 16 analysis ofing BPSD and demonstrated the potential savings that can be made by 17 investing in treatments that are symptom targeted and individualised (i.e., person-18 centred). Without a strong case for intervention- and cost-effectiveness, resistance 19 to implementing change remains high, from managers and care workers at the local 20 level, to policy makers, political leaders and societies at the macro-level.

21

We reasoned that demonstration of cost–effectiveness could further incentivise governments, funders and service providers to invest in practice change and the implementation of effective person–centred approaches. We <u>scoped–reviewed</u> the literature to calculate monetary costs of individual BPSD and their management, in order to determine whether there was evidence of financial benefits to convince policy makers and service providers to change practices to reduce BPSD.

28

29 Nonpharmacological interventions for BPSD

30

31 Nonpharmacological interventions, including well powered randomised controlled

32 trials (RCTs), shown to be effective in reducing BPSD include: person-centred

33 care,^{23–26} reminiscence–based approaches,^{27,28} aerobic and resistance exercise,²⁹

34 music,^{30,31} use of a robotic or soft seal,^{32,33} humour therapy,^{34,35} and educational

- 1 training.^{36,37} Specifically, person-centred care led to improvements in agitation
- 2 revealed with the Cohen–Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI) or Neuropsychiatric
- 3 Inventory (NPI), reminiscence therapy improved apathy and depression measured
- 4 using the Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES) and the Cornell Scale for Depression in
- 5 Dementia (CSDD), and physical activity improved depression (determined with
- 6 CSDD) and other BPSD (see Livingston and colleagues²).
- 7

8 Barriers to adoption of these practices include the heterogeneity of interventions, the 9 lack of rigour in their evaluation and concerns surrounding cost, resources and staff 10 time. Cost-effectiveness analyses can illustrate how an outcome may (or may not) be desirable, despite what may otherwise be perceived as involving high costs. 11 Simplistically this involves identifying the associated benefits of the intervention as well 12 13 as the associated costs and subtracting the costs from the benefits. This approach is 14 crucial (rather than focusing only on cost savings) given that to care effectively for people living with dementia and BPSD, competent and confident trained healthcare 15 16 workers and adequate staff numbers are essential.

18 Costing BPSD

19

17

20 Cross-sectional, prospective and longitudinal studies have investigated costs of 21 BPSD (usually agitation) and have used either group comparison approaches (i.e. 22 based on dementia severity) or linear regression approaches to determine costs per unit increase on an individual symptom measure (see Table 1 for summary). Caution 23 24 should be taken when interpreting findings from cross-sectional studies due to unclear 25 causal mechanisms. We have focused primarily on prospective and longitudinal studies. Costs of BPSD differ between community, clinic, hospital and residential 26 settings in line with differences in dependency levels and costs of care.³⁸ Costs are 27 generally calculated using used a general linear mixed model including relevant 28 29 covariates to estimate main predictors of costs.

30

In a 1-year prospective study of resource utilisation, a 1-point increase in agitation determined by the NPI resulted in an increase in costs of US\$30 per month,²¹ where total cost of care was calculated to be US \$1,298 per month. Other studies have reported between 1.6 - 17% increase in costs per 1-point increase on the total NPI in

4

a community setting.^{15,39–41} Some studies have considered variability and used standard deviations to compute costs where an increase of one standard deviation in NPI severity translated into a 6% and 8.8% increase in costs.^{14,38} While studies tend to focus on agitation, one study found apathy and hallucinations were the biggest contributors and significantly increased costs (*p*=0.0016 and *p*<0.0001 respectively).³⁸

7 Several intervention studies have calculated cost-effectiveness analyses in this area. 8 In these, they calculated an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER). The ICER is 9 calculated as the difference in total cost between two intervention groups, divided by the difference in outcome measures (e.g., agitation measured using CMAI or NPI) 10 between the two intervention groups (see Table 2 for summary).^{25,32,42,43} 'Willingness 11 to pay' for additional units of outcome has also been included in calculations to plot 12 cost-effectiveness acceptability curves (CEACs)⁴⁴ and determine if, from a societal 13 perspective, an intervention is effective by leading to a clinically meaningful 14 improvement in BPSD. For example, D'Amico and colleagues' study calculated a 15 clinically meaningful reduction in NPI (i.e., three points) to cost £1,263 and calculated 16 a willingness to pay £500 per increment improvement (i.e., per 1-point decrease in 17 18 NPI score) would mean the probability of exercise being cost-effective would be higher than 80 percent.45,46 19

20

21 In a systematic review of worldwide studies costing individual BPSD¹⁸ the cost of 30 22 interventions that had a significant impact on agitation was calculated, 11 of which used the CMAI. In total, health and social care costs in people without clinically 23 24 significant symptoms in NPI agitation over three months were calculated to be around 25 £7,000 compared to £15,000 for those with the most severe levels of agitation. The incremental cost per unit reduction in CMAI score following therapeutic activities was 26 reported to be £162 for Montessori-based activities⁴⁷ and £3,480 for a highly 27 structured programme of sensorimotor activities.⁴⁸ The cost per unit were calculated 28 for music therapy⁴⁹ at £4 and sensory interventions using acupressure^{50,47} at £24 and 29 £143 respectively. Training paid caregivers in person-centred care or communication 30 skills^{25,51,52} was costed at £6, £42 and £62 respectively per unit reduction in CMAI. 31

32

The main health outcome measure used by the National Institute for Health and
 Clinical Excellence (NICE) and many other national reimbursement authorities is the

guality-adjusted life-year (QALY). A QALY is a unit that combines both guantity 1 (length) of life and health-related quality of life into a single measure of health gain 2 (NICE guidelines 2008,53 page 17). Cost-effectiveness is also often calculated 3 4 considering improvements in guality of life. An RCT of an intervention to consider and address needs of residents with agitation and improve communication did not improve 5 6 agitation but was cost-effective in improving quality of life.19 Livingston and 7 colleagues¹⁸ measured cost-effectiveness as the mean QALYs gained per patient 8 accrued to the intervention multiplied by the decision-makers' maximum willingness 9 to pay for a QALY, minus the mean incremental cost per patient for the intervention (termed net monetary benefits (NMBs)). This model converts the gain or loss in 10 outcomes associated with the intervention into monetary units and subtracts the 11 associated cost of the intervention to determine cost-effectiveness (NMB>0 12 represents good value for money). A willingness to pay £20,000 for a QALY (see UK 13 NICE guidelines,⁵³ page 18) equated to an 82 percent probability of being cost-14 effective.¹⁸ QALYs are frequently used to access health outcomes and are used in 15 calculating ICER, though have several limitations in the field of dementia research and 16 the clinical relevance of quality of life measures (i.e., QALYs) has been questioned. 17

19 Methodological inconsistencies and the techniques used to value informal care³⁹ 20 make it difficult to compare findings across studies. Despite the variability in 21 calculations and reporting approaches of symptom costs, all studies demonstrate 22 that BPSD contribute significantly to the overall costs of dementia care. There is a general focus on agitation; costing of other symptoms is lacking apart from one study 23 on apathy²¹ even though other symptoms such as apathy, anxiety and depression 24 can cause significant distress,¹⁰ which would likely impact on costs. Several Other 25 cost-effectiveness-studies_using person-centred and staff training approaches;23,26, 26 ⁵⁵ have reported -cost-effectiveness though have not costed symptoms 27 separately.^{p6,54,56},alsoA UK study- found significant improvements in guality of life 28 29 and BPSD in response topeople living with dementia following the intervention. person-centred approaches^{236,54} However, improvements in BPSD were not 30 observed in people with young-onset dementia in a Dutch study; possibly due to 31 32 overlap between the intervention and specialised methods of care already in use for 33 treatment as usual.⁵⁵ though these have not costed symptoms separately. 34

34 35

18

--- (Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Superscript

1					
(Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial				
(Formatted: Left				
$\left(\right)$	Commented [HB1]: Claire, my reading of the Dutch paper is that they just report costs. I read it quickly but did not see any results for QOL or BPSD				
	Commented [CB2R1]: I've added the paper that reports BPSD outcomes though unfortunately there were no significant improvements (reference 55) Sorry, I think this was incorrect before. Hopefully it's clear now? I've tried not to end on a negative note.				
(Formatted: Superscript				
Ì	Formatted: Superscript				

--- (Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

6

Time for action

Barriers to achieving better value for money in dementia care include reluctance to implement evidence, poor coordination of health and social care provision and financing.⁵⁶⁵⁷ Evidence is presented of monetary costs of BPSD and of benefits of interventions. The few studies that have built cost–effectiveness analyses into their design indicate the economic feasibility of adopting non–pharmacological approaches such as person–centred care into everyday practice. This will require change in attitudes and care practice.

10

1

2

- (Formatted: Superscript

References

- 1. Cunningham C, Macfarlane S, Brodaty H. Language paradigms when behaviour changes with dementia:# BanBPSD. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2019; **34**(8):1109–1113.
- Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V, Costafreda SG, Huntley J, Ames D, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *The Lancet Commissions* 2017;**390**(10113):2673–734.
- 3. Wimo A, Guerchet M, Ali G–C, Wu Y–T, Prina AM, Winblad B, et al. The worldwide costs of dementia 2015 and comparisons with 2010. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 2017;**13**(1):1–7.
- Beeri MS, Werner P, Davidson M, Noy S. The cost of behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) in community dwelling Alzheimer's disease patients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2002;**17**(5):403–8.
- Brodaty H, Draper B, Saab D, Low LF, Richards V, Paton H, et al. Psychosis, depression and behavioural disturbances in Sydney nursing home residents: prevalence and predictors. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2001;**16**(5):504–12.
- 6. Cerejeira J, Lagarto L, Mukaetova–Ladinska E. Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia. *Frontiers in Neurology* 2012;**3**:73.
- Åkerborg Ö, Lang A, Wimo A, Sköldunger A, Fratiglioni L, Gaudig M, et al. Cost of dementia and its correlation with dependence. *Journal of Aging and Health* 2016;28(8):1448–64.
- Maust DT, Kales HC, McCammon RJ, Blow FC, Leggett A, Langa KM. Distress associated with dementia–related psychosis and agitation in relation to healthcare utilization and costs. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2017;25(10):1074–82.
- Belger M, Haro JM, Reed C, Happich M, Argimon JM, Bruno G, et al. Determinants of time to institutionalisation and related healthcare and societal costs in a community-based cohort of patients with Alzheimer's disease dementia. *The European Journal of Health Economics* 2018;20(3):343–355.
- Feast A, Moniz–Cook E, Stoner C, Charlesworth G, Orrell MJIp. A systematic review of the relationship between behavioral and psychological symptoms (BPSD) and caregiver well–being. *International Psychogeriatrics* 2016;**28**(11):1761–74.
- Guterman ÉL, Allen IE, Josephson SA, Merrilees JJ, Dulaney S, Chiong W, et al. Association Between Caregiver Depression and Emergency Department Use Among Patients With Dementia. *JAMA Neurology* 2019; doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1820.
- Aigbogun MS, Stellhorn R, Hartry A, Baker RA, Fillit H. Treatment patterns and burden of behavioral disturbances in patients with dementia in the United States: a claims database analysis. *BMC Neurology* 2019;**19**(1):33.
- 13. Brown L, Hansnata E, La HA. *Economic Cost of Dementia in Australia*. Alzheimer's Australia, Canberra. 2017.
- 14. Gustavsson A, Brinck P, Bergvall N, Kolasa K, Wimo A, Winblad B, et al. Predictors of costs of care in Alzheimer's disease: a multinational sample of 1222 patients. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 2011;**7**(3):318–27.
- Costa N, Wübker A, De Mauléon A, Zwakhalen SM, Challis D, Leino–Kilpi H, et al. Costs of care of agitation associated with dementia in 8 European countries: results from the RightTimePlaceCare study. *JAMDA* 2018;**19**(1):95.e1–e10.

- Morris S, Patel N, Baio G, Kelly L, Lewis–Holmes E, Omar RZ, et al. Monetary costs of agitation in older adults with Alzheimer's disease in the UK: prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(3):e007382.
- Sköldunger A, Wimo A, Sjögren K, Björk S, Backman A, Sandman PO, et al. Resource use and its association to cognitive impairment, ADL functions, and behavior in residents of Swedish nursing homes: Results from the U-Age program (SWENIS study). *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2019;**34**:130–6.
- Livingston G, Kelly L, Lewis–Holmes E, Baio G, Morris S, Patel N, et al. A systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost–effectiveness of sensory, psychological and behavioural interventions for managing agitation in older adults with dementia. *Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)* 2014;18(39):1–226, v–vi.
- Livingston G, Barber J, Marston L, Stringer A, Panca M, Hunter R, et al. Clinical and cost–effectiveness of the managing agitation and raising quality of life (MARQUE) intervention for agitation in people with dementia in care homes: a single–blind, cluster–randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2019;6(4):293–304.
- Panca M, Livingston G, Barber J, Cooper C, La Frenais F, Marston L, et al. Healthcare resource utilisation and costs of agitation in people with dementia living in care homes in England–The Managing Agitation and Raising QUality of LifE in Dementia (MARQUE) study. *Plos One* 2019;**14**(2):e0211953.
- 21. Herrmann N, Lanctôt KL, Sambrook R, Lesnikova N, Hébert R, McCracken P, et al. The contribution of neuropsychiatric symptoms to the cost of dementia care. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2006;**21**(10):972–6.
- Burns K, Jayasinha R, Tsang R, Brodaty H. Behaviour Management: A Guide to Good Practice, Managing Behavioural and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia 2012. Dementia Centre for Research Collaboration, University of New South Wales, Sydney.
- Fossey J, Ballard C, Juszczak E, James I, Alder N, Jacoby R, et al. Effect of enhanced psychosocial care on antipsychotic use in nursing home residents with severe dementia: cluster randomised trial. *BMJ* 2006;**332**(7544):756–61.
- Chenoweth L, Forbes I, Fleming R, King MT, Stein–Parbury J, Luscombe G, et al. PerCEN: a cluster randomized controlled trial of person–centered residential care and environment for people with dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics* 2014;26(7):1147–60.
- 25. Chenoweth L, King MT, Jeon Y–H, Brodaty H, Stein–Parbury J, Norman R, et al. Caring for Aged Dementia Care Resident Study (CADRES) of person–centred care, dementia–care mapping, and usual care in dementia: a cluster–randomised trial. *The Lancet Neurology* 2009;**8**(4):317–25.
- Tay FHE, Thompson CL, Nieh CM, Nieh CC, Koh HM, Tan JJC, et al. Person– centered care for older people with dementia in the acute hospital. *Alzheimer's* & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions 2018;4:19–27.
- Duru Aşiret G, Kapucu SJJogp, neurology. The effect of reminiscence therapy on cognition, depression, and activities of daily living for patients with Alzheimer disease. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology* 2016;**29**(1):31–7.
- Davison TE, Nayer K, Coxon S, de Bono A, Eppingstall B, Jeon Y–H, et al. A personalized multimedia device to treat agitated behavior and improve mood in people with dementia: a pilot study. *Geriatric Nursing* 2016;**37**(1):25–9.

- 29. Cancela JM, Ayán C, Varela S, Seijo MJJos, sport mi. Effects of a long-term aerobic exercise intervention on institutionalized patients with dementia. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 2016;**19**(4):293–8.
- 30. Clément S, Tonini A, Khatir F, Schiaratura L, Samson S. Short and longer term effects of musical intervention in severe Alzheimer's disease. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal* 2012;**29**(5):533–41.
- Raglio A, Bellandi D, Baiardi P, Gianotti M, Ubezio MC, Zanacchi E, et al. Effect of active music therapy and individualized listening to music on dementia: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2015;63(8):1534–9.
- Mervin MC, Moyle W, Jones C, Murfield J, Draper B, Beattie E, et al. The Cost-Effectiveness of Using PARO, a Therapeutic Robotic Seal, to Reduce Agitation and Medication Use in Dementia: Findings from a Cluster–Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMDA* 2018;**19**(7):619–22. e1.
- Jøranson N, Pedersen I, Rokstad AMM, Ihlebaek C. Effects on symptoms of agitation and depression in persons with dementia participating in robot– assisted activity: a cluster–randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* 2015;**16**(10):867–73.
- Low L–F, Brodaty H, Goodenough B, Spitzer P, Bell J–P, Fleming R, et al. The Sydney Multisite Intervention of LaughterBosses and ElderClowns (SMILE) study: cluster randomised trial of humour therapy in nursing homes. *BMJ Open* 2013;**3**(1):e002072.
- Brodaty H, Low L–F, Liu Z, Fletcher J, Roast J, Goodenough B, et al. Successful ingredients in the SMILE study: resident, staff, and management factors influence the effects of humor therapy in residential aged care. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2014;**22**(12):1427–37.
- Ballard C, Orrell M, Sun Y, Moniz-Cook E, Stafford J, Whitaker R, et al. Impact of antipsychotic review and non-pharmacological intervention on health-related quality of life in people with dementia living in care homes: WHELD—a factorial cluster randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2017;**32**(10):1094–103.
- Lichtwarck B, Selbaek G, Kirkevold Ø, Rokstad AMM, Benth JŠ, Lindstrøm JC, et al. Targeted interdisciplinary model for evaluation and treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2018;26(1):25–38.
- Wübker A, Zwakhalen SM, Challis D, Suhonen R, Karlsson S, Zabalegui A, et al. Costs of care for people with dementia just before and after nursing home placement: primary data from eight European countries. *The European Journal* of *Health Economics* 2015;**16**(7):689–707.
- Jönsson L, Jönhagen ME, Kilander L, Soininen H, Hallikainen M, Waldemar G, et al. Determinants of costs of care for patients with Alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2006;**21**(5):449–59.
- Lacey LA, Niecko T, Leibman C, Liu E, Grundman MJTjon, health, aging. Association between illness progression measures and total cost in Alzheimer's disease. *The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging* 2013;**17**(9):745–50.
- 41. Rattinger GB, Schwartz S, Mullins CD, Corcoran C, Zuckerman IH, Sanders C, et al. Dementia severity and the longitudinal costs of informal care in the Cache County population. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 2015;**11**(8):946–54.
- 42. Mintzer JE, Colenda C, Waid LR, Lewis L, Meeks A, Stuckey M, et al. Effectiveness of a continuum of care using brief and partial hospitalization for agitated dementia patients. *Psychiatric Services* 1997;48(11):1435–9.

- 43. Norman R, Haas M, Chenoweth L, Jeon Y–H, King M, Brodaty H, et al. Dementia Care Mapping and Patient–Centred Care in Australian residential homes: An economic evaluation of the CARE Study, CHERE Working Paper 2008/4. 2008.
- Fenwick E, O'Brien BJ, Briggs A. Cost-effectiveness acceptability curves–facts, fallacies and frequently asked questions. *Health Economics* 2004;**13**(5):405–15.
- 45. D'Amico F, Rehill A, Knapp M, Lowery D, Cerga-Pashoja A, Griffin M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of exercise as a therapy for behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia within the EVIDEM-E randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2016;**31**(6):656–65.
- 46. Vroomen JM, Bosmans JE, Eekhout I, Joling KJ, van Mierlo LD, Meiland FJ, et al. The cost–effectiveness of two forms of case management compared to a control group for persons with dementia and their informal caregivers from a societal perspective. *Plos One* 2016;**11**(9):e0160908.
- Lin LC, Yang MH, Kao CC, Wu SC, Tang SH, Lin JG. Using acupressure and Montessori-based activities to decrease agitation for residents with dementia: a cross-over trial. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2009;**57**(6):1022–9.
- 48. Buettner L, Ferrario J. Therapeutic recreation–nursing team: A therapeutic intervention for nursing home residents with dementia. *Annual in Therapeutic Recreation* 1998;**7**:21–8.
- Lin Y, Chu H, Yang CY, Chen CH, Chen SG, Chang HJ, et al. Effectiveness of group music intervention against agitated behavior in elderly persons with dementia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2011;26(7):670–8.
- Yang MH, Wu SC, Lin JG, Lin LC. The efficacy of acupressure for decreasing agitated behaviour in dementia: a pilot study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2007;**16**(2):308–15.
- McCallion P, Toseland RW, Freeman K. An evaluation of a family visit education program. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1999;47(2):203– 14.
- Deudon A, Maubourguet N, Gervais X, Leone E, Brocker P, Carcaillon L, et al. Non-pharmacological management of behavioural symptoms in nursing homes. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2009;**24**(12):1386–95.
- 53. Principles for the development of NICE guidance: Social Value Judgements. 2008; *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*, London.
- 54. Romeo R, Zala D, Knapp M, Orrell M, Fossey J, Ballard CJA, et al. Improving the quality of life of care home residents with dementia: Cost–effectiveness of an optimized intervention for residents with clinically significant agitation in dementia. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 2018;**15**:282–91.
- 55. Appelhof B, Bakker C, de Vugt ME, Zwijsen SA, Smalbrugge M, Teerenstra S, et al. Effects of a multidisciplinary intervention on the presence of neuropsychiatric symptoms and psychotropic drug use in nursing home residents withyoung-onset dementia: Behavior and Evolution of Young-Onset Dementia Part 2 (BEYOND-II) Study. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2019;27(6):581-9.
- 56. van Duinen-van den IJssel JCL, Bakker C, Smalbrugge M, Zwijsen SA, Adang E, Appelhof B, et al. Cost-consequence analysis of an intervention for the management of neuropsychiatric symptoms in young-onset dementia: Results from the BEYOND-II study. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2020;35(1):131-137.

Formatted: Plain Text, Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm, Suppress line numbers

575. Knapp M, Iemmi V, Romeo R. Dementia care costs and outcomes: a systematic review. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2013;**28**(6):551–61.

Authors, year, country	Setting, study type, number of participants (N)	BPSD, measure	BPSD cost (\$ per unit or predictor %)
Herrmann et al., 2006, USA ²¹	Community setting.1– year prospective study, N=500	Agitation, NPI	1-point change associated with 2·3% increase in total costs. (1-point increase = \$30 per month (95% CI: \$19-\$41)
Jönsson et al., 2006, Sweden, Finland and Denmark ³⁹	Community setting. N=272 (Costs analysis, N= 208)	Agitation, NPI	1–point change associated with 8% increase in total costs
Gustavsson et al., 2011, Sweden ¹⁴	Community and residential setting. N=1,222	Agitation, NPI	1–SD increase translated to 8% increase in costs (community setting)
Lacey et al., 2013, ADNI study, Ireland & USA ⁴⁰	Community setting. Longitudinal observation study, N=138	Agitation, NPI	1–point change associated with 1·62% increase in total costs
Rattinger et al., 2015, USA ⁴¹	Community setting. Longitudinal prospective study, N=287	Agitation, NPI	1–point change associated with 2% increase in informal costs
Wübker et al., 2015, Spain, Germany & France ³⁸	Community and residential setting (community group 'at risk'). Prospective cohort study, N=2,014 (Community, N=1,048)	Agitation, apathy & hallucinations, NPI	1–SD increase translated to 8.8% increase in costs (community setting)
Costa et al., 2018, 8 European countries ¹⁵	Community (homecare) and residential care (institutional long–term care) setting. Cross– sectional study, N=1,997 (Community, N=1,217)	Agitation, NPI	17% increase in informal care costs (community setting)

Abbreviations: NPI, neuropsychiatric inventory; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2: Intervention	studies that	t have costed	individual E	SPSD.

Authors, year, country	Setting, study type, number of participants (N)	BPSD, measure	BPSD cost analysis
Mintzer et al., 1997, USA ⁴²	Residential setting, 2 conditions: 21–day Inpatient Programme (IP) & Continuum of Care (CC) (21– vs. 7–days hospitalisation). N=178 (N=68 & 110 respectively)	Agitation, CMAI	Change in CMAI score per US \$1,000: CC: 0.89, IP: 0.27 (CC was more than three times more cost–effective)
Chenoweth et al., 2009, Australia ²⁵	Residential setting, 3 conditions: Person–Centred Care (PCC), Dementia Care Mapping (DCM) and usual care. Cluster RCT, N=289 (N=95, 77 and 64 respectively)	Agitation, CMAI	Incremental cost per 1– point decrease on CMAI scale. PCC: AU \$8 AU, \$6 at follow–up. DCC: AU \$49, AU\$ 47 at follow–up
D'Amico et al., 2016, United Kingdom ⁴⁵	Community setting, 2 conditions: exercise and treatment as usual. RCT, N=52 (N=30 and 22 respectively)	Agitation, NPI	Intervention cost: £284 (range: £190–£320). CEAC: willingness to pay £500 per increment improvement, cost effective with a probability greater than 80%
Mervin et al., 2018, Australia ³²	Residential setting, 3 conditions: Therapeutic robotic seal (PARO), soft seal, usual care. Cluster RCT, N=415 (N=138, 140 and 137 respectively)	Agitation, CMAI	AU \$13 incremental cost per 1–point decrease on CMAI scale

Abbreviations: CEAC, cost–effectiveness acceptability curve; CMAI, Cohen Mansfield agitation inventory; NPI, neuropsychiatric inventory.