



**Avoiding Obstetrical Interventions among Somali Refugee Women in the United States: A Qualitative Study**

Journal:	<i>Ethnicity and Health</i>
Manuscript ID	CETH-2018-0349.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Refugee, Somali women, maternal health, obstetric care, avoidance, Community-Based Participatory Research

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 **TITLE**

2 Avoiding Obstetrical Interventions among U.S. based Somali Migrant Women: A Qualitative Study

4 **RUNNING TITLE**

5 Avoiding Obstetrical Interventions among Somali Migrant Women in the U.S.

6 **WORD COUNT**

7 Manuscript: 6,122 words (excluding references); Abstract: 299 words

8 **NUMBER OF TABLES AND FIGURES**

9 1 table

For Peer Review Only

1  
2  
3 14 **Abstract**  
4

5 15 **Objective:** Somali refugee women are known to have poor health seeking behavior with a higher proportion  
6  
7 16 of adverse pregnancy outcomes compared to U.S. born women. Yet unknown is how they avoid obstetrical  
8  
9 17 interventions. This study sought to identify perceived protective mechanisms used to avoid obstetric  
10  
11 18 interventions as well as the underpinning factors that influence aversion to obstetrical interventions by  
12  
13 19 Somali refugee women.

14  
15  
16 20 **Design:** A descriptive, exploratory qualitative study purposively sampled Somali refugee women recruited  
17  
18 21 via snowball technique in Franklin County, Ohio, United States. Data was collected through audio-  
19  
20 22 recordings of individual interviews and focus groups conducted in English and Somali languages. The  
21  
22 23 collected data were transcribed and analyzed using thematic analyses.

23  
24 24 **Results:** Forty Somali refugee women aged 18 to 42 years were recruited. Participants reported engaging  
25  
26 25 in four perceived protective mechanisms to avoid obstetrical interventions during pregnancy and childbirth:  
27  
28 26 1) intentionally not seeking or misleading prenatal care, 2) changing hospitals and/or providers, 3) delayed  
29  
30 27 hospital arrival during labor, and 4) refusal of care. Underpinning all four avoidance mechanisms were their  
31  
32 28 significant fear of obstetrical interventions, and perceived lack of choice in their care processes as  
33  
34 29 influenced by: cultural and/or religious beliefs, feeling judged or undervalued by service providers, and a  
35  
36 30 lack of privacy provided to them while receiving care.

37  
38  
39 31 **Conclusion:** Like every woman, Somali women also have a right to choose or refuse care. If the intention  
40  
41 32 is to improve access to and experiences with care for this population, building trust, addressing their fears  
42  
43 33 and concerns, and respecting their culture is a critical first step. This should be well established prior to the  
44  
45 34 need for critical decisions surrounding pregnancy and childbirth wherein Somali women may feel  
46  
47 35 compelled to refuse necessary obstetrical care. Bridging gaps between Somali women and their providers  
48  
49 36 is key to advancing health equity for this vulnerable population.  
50

51 37

52 38

53 39

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

40 **KEY WORDS**

41 Immigrant; migrant; refugee; Somali women; maternal health; obstetric care; avoidance; Community-  
42 Based Participatory Research

43

44

45

For Peer Review Only

## 47 **Introduction**

48  
49 Ongoing forced displacement from decades of war and conflict has resulted in Somalis being among the  
50 largest African refugee populations to have been resettled in the United States (U.S.). Significant disparities  
51 in health services utilization has been observed and persist among Somali populations (Morrison *et al.*  
52 2012). This occurs against a backdrop of limited English proficiency, low health literacy, distrust of  
53 providers, refusal of care, fear of pain and anxiety, stigmatization towards the traditional cultural practice  
54 of Female Genital Cutting (FGC) and concerns around patient-provider gender concordance (Essen *et al.*  
55 2000, Carroll *et al.* 2007, Morris *et al.* 2009, Morrison *et al.* 2012, Aubrey *et al.* 2017). In addition, Somali  
56 women raise concerns around understanding the value and purpose of Westernized prenatal care and feeling  
57 a lack of control and unfamiliarity with labor and delivery care in the U.S., which they often believe God  
58 is in control of anyway. These factors contribute to their lack of engagement in recommended health  
59 practices (Hill *et al.* 2012).

60  
61 As a consequence of this limited utilization of health services, adverse obstetrical outcomes have been  
62 extensively documented in the literature among Somali women in the context of migration along with robust  
63 qualitative evidence of their profound fear of obstetrical interventions and negative birth experiences  
64 (Brown *et al.* 2010, Hamid *et al.* 2018). Western medical providers with exposure to FGC-affected women  
65 sometimes report being suspicious of them and indicate that they frequently receive requests from these  
66 women for intrapartum re-infibulation (re-approximation of the original vulvar scar) (Tamaddon *et al.*  
67 2006). However, regardless of FGC status, migrant women of African descent have been shown to  
68 experience increased maternal and neonatal morbidity which has been attributed to suboptimal care  
69 including inadequate prenatal care and delay and/or refusal of obstetric care (Gould *et al.* 2003, Cacciani *et*  
70 *al.* 2011, Merry *et al.* 2013, Bakken *et al.* 2015).

71

1  
2  
3 72 In an exploration of perspectives on cesarean birth among Somali women and their obstetric providers, they  
4  
5 73 held discordant views as Somali women expressed fear and anxiety regarding surgical interventions they  
6  
7 74 deemed unwarranted, and took measures upon themselves to avoid cesarean delivery; while their providers  
8  
9 75 voiced frustration and stress regarding their patients' cesarean avoidance and refusal of care (Essén *et al.*  
10  
11 76 2011). Further exploration of the mechanisms by which Somali women are driven to avoid obstetrical  
12  
13 77 interventions is warranted. The objective of this paper is to report on findings from a qualitative study of  
14  
15 78 Somali refugee women in the U.S. exploring mechanisms they took to avoid obstetric interventions.  
16  
17  
18  
19 79  
20

## 21 80 **Materials and methods**

### 22 81 *Study design*

23  
24  
25 82 This was the qualitative arm of a mixed methods study that employed a community-based participatory  
26  
27 83 research (CBPR) approach in its design and implementation. CBPR is a bidirectional experience that  
28  
29 84 requires that the researcher and community work as a team to identify study processes through which data  
30  
31 85 will be collected, analyzed and disseminated. CBPR emphasizes equitable and collaborative community  
32  
33 86 involvement throughout all stages of the research and ensures community empowerment (Johnson, Ali, and  
34  
35 87 Shipp 2009). In this study, community dialogue was first established through the Midwest Network on  
36  
37 88 Female Genital Cutting (MWNFGC), which comprised health professionals, representatives from refugee  
38  
39 89 resettlement agencies, community-based organizations, and immigration law experts from across the  
40  
41 90 Midwest (Minneapolis, MN, Columbus, OH, and Chicago, IL); who shared common goals of working  
42  
43 91 together to foster greater support services as well as culturally competent care for women and girls affected  
44  
45 92 by or at risk for FGC. Through the MWNFGC, local dialogue began with The Columbus Immigration  
46  
47 93 Resource Center (CIRC), a local Somali community organization based in Franklin County, OH that seeks  
48  
49 94 to promote health and well-being for immigrants and refugees. Through CIRC, the Refugee and Immigrant  
50  
51 95 Women's Health Initiative (RIWHI) was formed with its own Community Advisory Board (CAB), which  
52  
53 96 mobilized the local Somali community along with key community stakeholders representing the state public  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 97 health department, local academic institutions, and healthcare providers, which coalesced around a shared  
4  
5 98 goal of addressing the health care needs of Somali refugee and immigrant women. The detailed CBPR  
6  
7 99 process of: collaborative partnership development, nurturing and sustaining trust, community mobilization  
8  
9 100 and implementation, dissemination, sustainability, and community empowerment were instrumental  
10  
11 101 throughout the research process and are further delineated at length by Johnson, Ali and Shipp, 2009.  
12  
13

14 102

### 17 103 ***Setting and sample***

19  
20 104 Eligible participants for this study were women over 18 years of age, who were refugees from Somalia,  
21  
22 105 residing in Franklin County, Ohio, a Midwestern state in the U.S. Somalis are the largest group of African-  
23  
24 106 born refugees in this County. Participants were recruited by trained community mobilizers primarily via  
25  
26 107 word-of-mouth communication, although follow-up telephone contact was incorporated during snowball  
27  
28 108 sampling and also once initial contact had already been made. Participants were identified and recruited  
29  
30 109 from within several Somali communities within the County through face-to-face and word-of-mouth  
31  
32 110 contact in neighborhoods, mosques, community centers, and malls; as well as by referrals from individuals,  
33  
34 111 local community organizations, and community centers.  
35  
36

37 112

### 40 113 ***Data collection***

42 114 Data was collected through individual interviews and focus groups with recruited eligible participants who  
43  
44 115 consented to partaking in the study, depending on the participant's preference. Given the strong oral  
45  
46 116 tradition of communication in Somali culture, as well as the anticipated high rate of illiteracy among Somali  
47  
48 117 refugees, qualitative interviews were a culturally appropriate way of gathering information (Agbemenu *et*  
49  
50 118 *al.* 2018). Focus groups were conducted with younger Somali women (18-25 years) in English; while  
51  
52 119 sessions with older women (above 25 years) were conducted in Somali with the aid of an interpreter.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 120 Individual interviews, conducted in either English or Somali (with an interpreter), were used for women  
4  
5 121 who preferred to have their privacy to participate in the study.  
6  
7

8 122  
9  
10  
11 123 Both individual interviews and focus groups were conducted in the homes of Somali women, as preferred  
12  
13 124 by the women themselves. The principal investigator of the study, CJA, conducted all individual interviews  
14  
15 125 and focus groups between January and April 2008. Each focus group session lasted approximately 90  
16  
17 126 minutes, while individual interviews lasted between 60 and 90 minutes. As an Obstetrician and  
18  
19 127 Gynecologist, who has significant experience providing obstetric care to the target population, she was  
20  
21 128 adept in how to relate to this population. Prior to beginning this study, CJA spent over a year and a half  
22  
23 129 working to establish community partnerships, as well as building trust, networks, and relationships with the  
24  
25 130 second largest Somali refugee community in the U.S. All individual interviews and focus groups were  
26  
27 131 audio-recorded and subsequently transcribed. Field notes collected as part of this study captured rich  
28  
29 132 contextual information from the sessions. CJA, interpreters and transcriptionists all received training to  
30  
31 133 ensure competency in qualitative interviewing and moderating techniques as well as insurance of strict  
32  
33 134 participant confidentiality (Johnson, Ali, and Shipp 2009).  
34  
35  
36  
37 135

### 38 39 136 *Data analysis*

40  
41 137 To analyze the data, we followed Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step approach: becoming familiar with the  
42  
43 138 data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and  
44  
45 139 producing the report (Braun and Clarke 2006). Debriefing sessions took place immediately after each  
46  
47 140 individual interview and focus group during which salient themes were discussed. The English audio  
48  
49 141 recordings of the interviews were then transcribed by a transcriptionist and reconciled with field notes,  
50  
51 142 while members of the team of Somali interpreters transcribed and translated the Somali audio recordings to  
52  
53 143 English before reconciling them with the field notes.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 144  
4  
5  
6 145 Transcripts were then systematically reviewed by a seven-member research team, comprised of the research  
7  
8 146 team and two Somali community members. The two Somali women were integral members of this team as  
9  
10 147 they provided crucial cultural context/relevance to the interpretation of the transcripts consistent with  
11  
12 148 principles of CBPR. Serial group meetings were held to identify overarching themes and emergent codes  
13  
14 149 in an iterative fashion, incorporating consensus-building to resolve any discrepancies and contradictions.  
15  
16 150 NVivo qualitative data analysis software version 10 (QSR International Inc., Burlington, MA, USA), was  
17  
18 151 used to facilitate coding, organization of themes and linkages.  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 153 The transcripts were reviewed by at least two other members and examined to assign codes and find themes  
25  
26 154 relevant to an aversion to obstetrical interventions, and actions taken to avoid such interventions. A  
27  
28 155 multidisciplinary team used thematic analysis to identify key emerging themes regarding the reasons for  
29  
30 156 the aversion to aforementioned obstetrical interventions, and the mechanisms used to avoid these  
31  
32 157 interventions. We reported on our study following the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research  
33  
34 158 (COREQ) checklist (Tong *et al.* 2007).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

#### 40 ***Ethical statement***

41  
42  
43 161 Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the ethics committee of the University of Michigan  
44  
45 162 Institutional Review Board (IRB) (HUM00009502). Participants' written and verbal (for those participants  
46  
47 163 who could not read or write) informed consent was obtained using an informed consent form, which had  
48  
49 164 been reviewed and approved by the IRB. All participation was voluntary, and participants were allowed to  
50  
51 165 exit the interview/focus group if they desired.  
52  
53  
54  
55

56  
57  
58  
59  
60 166

1  
2  
3 167 **Results**

4  
5 168 *Distribution of participants*

6  
7 169 A total of 40 Somali refugee women were recruited for the interviews, 15 participants in the individual  
8  
9 170 interviews, and 25 participants in the focus groups. Focus groups among the younger women were  
10  
11 171 conducted in English and comprised two groups of five women each. For the older women, two focus  
12  
13 172 groups, comprising six and nine women respectively, were conducted in Somali through an interpreter.  
14  
15

16 173  
17  
18  
19 174 The age of the participants ranged from 18 to 42 years, with a mean age of 33 years. Most of participants  
20  
21 175 (38 of 40) were married and had primary and secondary education as their highest attained education. All  
22  
23 176 participants were Muslim [Table 1]. Women had spent between one and 23 years, with an average of eight  
24  
25 177 years, in the U.S.  
26  
27

28 178  
29  
30  
31 179 *Key emerging themes*

32  
33 180 There were four key themes that emerged from our discussions, with each theme detailing a perceived  
34  
35 181 protective obstetrical avoidance mechanism: 1) intentionally not seeking or misleading prenatal care, 2)  
36  
37 182 changing hospitals and/or providers when care has commenced, 3) delayed hospital arrival during labor,  
38  
39 183 and 4) outright refusal of care.  
40  
41

42 184  
43  
44  
45 185 *Theme 1: Intentionally not seeking or misleading prenatal care*

46  
47 186 Women described intentionally not seeking prenatal care as a means of avoiding any obstetrical  
48  
49 187 interventions. Respondents said that they purposely avoided prenatal care because of the sense of  
50  
51 188 helplessness they experienced as a result of violations of their right to privacy. They described privacy  
52  
53 189 being breached by healthcare providers (HCPs) who photographed them and brought in students and  
54  
55 190 colleagues against the women's will or without their knowledge. The women spoke of feeling disrespected,  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 191 viewed with curiosity and being put on display. While a few women spoke of the trust and positive  
4  
5 192 experiences they have had with HCPs, many reflected upon experiences of feeling as if they were treated  
6  
7 193 differently than other patients due to their race/ethnicity, practice of Islam and status as refugees.  
8  
9

10 194

11  
12  
13 195 *“I didn’t go to the doctor... really, why should I go? For what? Because I am scared of*  
14  
15 196 *what they can do to me. I will get there, and the doctor will bring some students into the*  
16  
17 197 *room without even asking me. I have heard from my friends and sisters that this is what*  
18  
19 198 *they do”*. Mother 1, Focus Group 4 (M1F4)  
20

21  
22 199

23  
24  
25 200 Concerning experiences of discrimination, an English-speaking participant, mother of five, three of whom  
26  
27 201 were born in the U.S. stated:  
28

29  
30 202

31  
32 203 *“Before the country was ok, but after 9/11, the situation changed. Women are treated badly.*  
33  
34 204 *Sometimes I fear going to the doctor because I fear they will do something to me”*. Individual  
35  
36 205 *Interviewee 12 (II 12)*  
37  
38

39  
40 206

41  
42 207 A 26-year-old English-speaking participant, mother of three, all born in the U.S. (M5F4), said that:  
43  
44

45 208

46  
47 209 *“Going for prenatal care, we are afraid, we just feel mistreated and disrespected by the*  
48  
49 210 *exposure because we have seen it before, we know it [other people will be in the consulting*  
50  
51 211 *room] will happen again”*. (M5F4)  
52  
53

54  
55 212

1  
2  
3 213 For some of those who attended prenatal care, providing misleading details of their last menstrual period  
4  
5 214 (LMP), while and not trusting the ‘technology’ of ultrasounds, was a way to avoid obstetrical intervention.  
6  
7 215 There was a general opinion that if the health providers did not have the accurate LMP dates, they would  
8  
9 216 not be able to estimate when the women needed to present in the hospital to prepare for cesarean delivery.  
10  
11 217 An English-speaking 22-year-old Somali women mother of five children, three of whom were born in the  
12  
13 218 U.S stated:

14  
15  
16 219  
17  
18  
19 220 *“Some of my friends have advised me to tell them a different date so they would not be able*  
20  
21 221 *to calculate when I am due.... The machine [Ultrasound] cannot tell them [the doctors]*  
22  
23 222 *what only I can know”. (II 5)*  
24  
25

26 223  
27  
28  
29 224 *Theme 2: Changing hospitals and/or providers*  
30  
31 225 Some women reported changing providers and/or hospitals because they were particularly fearful of  
32  
33 226 cesarean section. As such they went to providers such as midwives who were not licensed to conduct  
34  
35 227 cesarean sections and believed the birth process and outcomes would be different with midwives. A 31-  
36  
37 228 year old Somali mother of four who was pregnant with her fourth child, stated:

38  
39  
40 229  
41  
42  
43 230 *“I went to one hospital and they said there was something wrong with my baby and I had*  
44  
45 231 *to have a C-section, and I decided to leave that hospital and go to a different hospital, and*  
46  
47 232 *the other hospital told me the baby is fine, then I just had the baby vaginal”. (M4F3)*  
48  
49

50 233  
51  
52  
53 234 In this respondent’s case, her first two deliveries were by cesarean section and in the third pregnancy she  
54  
55 235 decided to turn to a different provider to avoid getting another surgery. She stated:

1  
2  
3 236  
4  
5  
6 237  
7  
8 238  
9  
10  
11 239  
12  
13 240  
14  
15 241  
16  
17 242  
18  
19 243  
20  
21 244  
22  
23 245  
24  
25 246  
26  
27 247  
28  
29  
30  
31 248  
32  
33  
34 249  
35  
36 250  
37  
38 251  
39  
40  
41 252  
42  
43  
44 253  
45  
46 254  
47  
48 255  
49  
50 256  
51  
52 257  
53  
54  
55 258  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*“I went to midwives because they cannot perform C-sections... I went to them because I was running away from C-section”. (M4F3)*

Some Somali refugee women shared that they sometimes changed hospitals as frequently as required until they can find a provider that did not propose or raise potential for a cesarean section or any other obstetrical intervention. There was also a sense of helplessness in the decision to have a cesarean section or not, as some of the respondents reported that from both their experiences and those shared by others, they for the most part did not understand why their babies had to be delivered via cesarean section. A 26-year-old English speaking Somali woman who has lived in the U.S. for 16 years described what one of her friends, who she had accompanied to her prenatal visits to act as an interpreter, did to avoid cesarean section. She stated that,

*“Like my friend, a week ago, they told her she will have a C-section, without even asking her if she wanted it... She thought it will be better to stay home. She went through three doctors because she was scared of C-section”. (II 10)*

### *Theme 3: Delayed hospital arrival during labor*

Somali refugee women delayed coming to the hospital during labor until the last minute. Many participants stated that the providers are not patient with them and do not like to wait for labor to progress and take its natural course, so they will rather stay home and bear the pain until the baby is almost out. A 32-year-old Somali woman who has given birth to thirteen children stated:

1  
2  
3 259 *“It is part of culture. Our women don’t like C-sections, they stay at home. The Somali women are*  
4  
5 260 *scared to have the procedure. They stay at home longer and go through pain after pain after pain*  
6  
7 261 *until she has no choice but to go to the hospital”.* (M3F3)  
8  
9

10 262  
11  
12  
13 263 Some Somali women appear to still delay arrival at the hospital despite understanding the potential  
14  
15 264 consequences of such action including complications of pregnancy for themselves and their newborns. A  
16  
17 265 middle-aged English-speaking mother of six children, who had lived in the U.S. for nearly 5 years was  
18  
19 266 asked her thoughts on women delaying seeking care. She responded:  
20  
21

22 267  
23  
24  
25 268 *“They don’t even go. Their fear is so great their child during labor is almost injured or they even*  
26  
27 269 *give birth in the car. Or even the baby tears the woman to anus because they delay going to doctor.*  
28  
29 270 *Some of them put themselves and the baby in danger to die, while they delay their time to go to the*  
30  
31 271 *doctor”.* (II 14)  
32  
33

34 272  
35  
36  
37 273 There was also reported action from family members who counseled expectant mothers not to present  
38  
39 274 themselves early to hospitals. An older Somali woman who has had twelve children, all prior to emigrating  
40  
41 275 to the U.S. and all by natural birth, had advised her daughter to delay going to the hospital while in labor.  
42  
43 276 When she was asked why she did this, she replied saying that:  
44  
45

46 277  
47  
48  
49 278 *“Yes, I give her advice. But she is used to American culture. In my culture we wait two days in*  
50  
51 279 *labor. But she says C-section is easy. I told her to stay, stay, wait for God’s will. She says no, she*  
52  
53 280 *goes with the doctor. I don’t take her to the hospital now until she is well in labor. She had four*  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 281 *children normally other than twins and last child. Now in labor I tell her to hold on until child*  
4  
5 282 *literally comes out and then she goes to the hospital to give a natural birth”. (II 13)*  
6  
7

8 283  
9  
10 284 There was a general perception that if one waited long enough at home, delivery by natural birth will happen  
11  
12 285 and the women put themselves at risk of a cesarean delivery if they presented to the hospital “too early” in  
13  
14 286 labor. A 29-year-old Somali woman who had lived in the U.S. since 2000 and is a mother of two children  
15  
16  
17 287 both by natural vaginal delivery said:  
18  
19

20 288  
21  
22 289 *“I have only had normal births. No problem! I did not ever go to the doctor too early. I*  
23  
24 290 *waited until I was very close to giving birth; until I have been in labor for a while, because*  
25  
26 291 *I feared getting a C-section”. (M4F1)*  
27  
28

29  
30 292  
31  
32 293 *Theme 4: Refusal of care*  
33  
34 294 Somali women reported completely refusing care even when told it might be detrimental to the baby. Some  
35  
36 295 participants spoke generally about surgery and other obstetric procedures which they considered invasive.  
37  
38 296 Regardless of the outcome, many women restated their trust in God as having predetermined the course of  
39  
40 297 the birth. Furthermore, many women expressed their mistrust of providers and technology ‘proving’ the  
41  
42 298 need for obstetrical interventions, declaring that ultimately it was God, and not providers who determines  
43  
44 299 the course of the birth, regardless of the outcome. An English-speaking Somali woman was asked what  
45  
46 300 providers can do to improve Somali women’s experiences with care. She answered:  
47  
48  
49

50 301  
51  
52 302 *“What can they do? They are tools in the hands of God. Only God knows, the*  
53  
54 303 *predestination. Sometimes, they [health workers] do their own will...I cannot trust such*  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 304 *people [health workers]. When one doctor told me she will cut me, I refused the care. Even*  
4  
5 305 *if I did not, my husband would have. We will trust in God, even if the baby dies in my*  
6  
7 306 *womb”. (MIF2)*  
8  
9

10 307  
11  
12  
13 308 Some Somali women reported that they refused induction of labor when it was offered to them while in the  
14  
15 309 hospital. “*Labor should start when God says*” was a statement made by many of the women in the study.  
16  
17

18 310  
19  
20 311 *“...if a woman has a long labor, it’s God’s will. But in America, they [Doctors} are always*  
21  
22 312 *in a rush. They have to slow down, two, three, five, ten hours labor. Wait for them in labor.*  
23  
24 313 *They try to rush me [induce me], I said ‘No!’”.* (II 8)  
25  
26

27 314  
28  
29

## 30 315 **Discussion**

### 31 316 ***Main findings***

32  
33  
34  
35 317 Our study identified the perceived protective mechanisms used by Somali refugee women to avoid obstetric  
36  
37 318 interventions such as induction of labor, and cesarean sections. We found four main mechanisms that  
38  
39 319 Somali women used to avoid obstetrical interventions: 1) intentionally not seeking or misleading prenatal  
40  
41 320 care, 2) changing hospitals and/or providers when care has commenced elsewhere, 3) delaying arrival to  
42  
43 321 hospital while in labor, and 4) outright refusal of care. Factors that led to these actions included: cultural  
44  
45 322 and/or religious attitudes and beliefs, prior health context in non-Western countries, fear of cesarean section,  
46  
47 323 advice from other women in the community on avoidance behaviors, and experiences with a Western-style  
48  
49 324 healthcare system.  
50  
51

52 325  
53  
54

### 55 326 ***Interpretation***

56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 327 The first mechanism we reported on was intentionally not seeking prenatal care. Evidence in the literature  
4  
5 328 demonstrates that Somali migrant women have lower prenatal care use compared to native born women  
6  
7 329 (Råssjö *et al.* 2013). Factors associated with this including untoward experiences with healthcare, prior  
8  
9 330 health care context, distrust, and barriers to care have been previously established in the literature (Essén *et*  
10  
11 331 *al.* 2000, 2011, Hill *et al.* 2012, Farage *et al.* 2015, Abdulcadir *et al.* 2016, Banke-Thomas *et al.* 2018). Our  
12  
13 332 study suggests that there may be a mix of Somali women – those who simply do not want to go to medical  
14  
15 333 facilities because of their beliefs, and those who are not willing to go for prenatal services in order to avoid  
16  
17 334 obstetric interventions such as induction of labor and cesarean sections. Following the U.S. terrorist attacks  
18  
19 335 of September 11, 2001, Muslim Americans have faced higher rates of prejudice, discrimination, disrespect  
20  
21 336 and violence which have resulted in greater psychological distress and risk for adverse health outcomes  
22  
23 337 (Padela and Heisler 2010). In our study, these experiences have also been shared by the Somali community  
24  
25 338 who expressed that they intentionally do not seek prenatal care because of fear of being treated with  
26  
27 339 disrespect, feeling unwanted and that actions might be taken against them. In fact, cultural challenges,  
28  
29 340 acculturative stress, ethical dilemmas and perceived societal discrimination influence health inequities  
30  
31 341 among Muslim Americans and have been shown to impact delayed health-seeking behavior and resultant  
32  
33 342 adverse health exposures (Vu *et al.* 2016, Padela and Zaidi 2018). In our study, when they sought prenatal  
34  
35 343 care, some withheld information, such as the date of their last menstrual period. Their lack of belief in the  
36  
37 344 health system may underpin why they believe that ultrasonography is not as robust to accurately predicting  
38  
39 345 their expected delivery. Clearly, the normal patient-doctor relationship that is typically founded on trust  
40  
41 346 and mutual respect has given way to fear and distrust (Hernandez 2007).  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 347

47  
48  
49 348 Changing providers mid-care was another mechanism used to avoid obstetrical interventions. In trying to  
50  
51 349 understand this mechanism, it is clear that these are aversions to care when faced with a situation deemed  
52  
53 350 undesirable. Somali women appear to use this mechanism when they feel like they do not have a choice in  
54  
55 351 the care process. Reasons given for using these avoidance mechanisms ranged from the desire to deliver  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 352 naturally, fear of a surgical incision, previous negative outcomes to the mother or child after clinical  
4  
5 353 intervention, or lack of trust of healthcare providers motives. These factors have similarly been reported in  
6  
7 354 previous studies (Brown *et al.* 2010, Essén *et al.* 2011). The literature is replete with evidence of Somali  
8  
9 355 women's desires to avoid obstetrical intervention, and as in our study, evidence indicates a preference for  
10  
11 356 female midwives over other birth attendants (Essén *et al.* 2011, Moxey and Jones 2016). However, in our  
12  
13 357 study, women were able to specifically highlight that their preference for midwives was also a strategy to  
14  
15 358 avoid cesarean birth and other obstetrical interventions. Though other studies have highlighted that this  
16  
17 359 avoidance of obstetric interventions may be a result of a lack of knowledge of healthcare processes in the  
18  
19 360 Western medical system (Pavlish *et al.* 2010, Lazar *et al.* 2013). The argument has always been that Somali  
20  
21 361 women living in the U.S. are navigating a healthcare system that is vastly different from other healthcare  
22  
23 362 systems they may have had previous experience with, which is an important factor that inhibits trust (Hill  
24  
25 363 *et al.* 2012).

26  
27  
28  
29 364  
30  
31  
32 365 Delaying hospital arrival was another mechanism used by Somali women to avoid obstetrical interventions.  
33  
34 366 A previous U.S. study conducted amongst a large cohort of Somali migrants in Washington State found  
35  
36 367 that they are more likely to undergo cesarean section, often associated with fetal distress (Johnson *et al.*  
37  
38 368 2005). While several obstetric complications may lead to fetal distress, many instances are preventable.  
39  
40 369 However, delay in receiving interventional obstetric care increases the risk for fetal distress. As with  
41  
42 370 intentionally not seeking prenatal care, while this has not been reported in the literature previously, the  
43  
44 371 delay in arrival at the hospital which itself may be due to structural barriers that increase risk for fetal  
45  
46 372 distress, may also be an intentional action of Somali women to avoid any obstetric intervention and let  
47  
48 373 "God's will be done", even at the expense of untoward pregnancy outcomes. The fatalistic attitude alluded  
49  
50 374 to in the preceding quote, seemed to be quite pervasive in our study, and emerges when women feel  
51  
52 375 otherwise powerless to control circumstances seemingly outside of their control, hence chose not to act in  
53  
54 376 the face of impending negative health consequences (Vu *et al.* 2016). It probably explains why Somali

1  
2  
3 377 women are more often delivered by emergency cesarean section compared with native-born women (Råssjö  
4  
5 378 *et al.* 2013).

6  
7  
8 379  
9  
10 380 If and when women arrived at points of care, they also avoided obstetrical intervention by outright refusal  
11  
12 381 of the care that they were offered. This behavior may be predicated on their previous experience of  
13  
14 382 pregnancy and childbirth in the U.S. and on practices that are fear-inducing for them (Upvall *et al.* 2009,  
15  
16 383 Brown *et al.* 2010). Much of this fear can be traced to the incredible importance placed upon women's  
17  
18 384 fertility in Somali culture and the concern that cesarean sections place a limit on the number of children a  
19  
20 385 woman can safely bear (Carroll *et al.* 2007, Brown *et al.* 2010). However, from our study, it was not clear  
21  
22 386 if their refusal of care was an independent decision, as in some cases, the husband or matriarchal forces  
23  
24 387 who are also in the room and hold sway over the disempowered laboring mother's decision-making were  
25  
26 388 the first to refuse intervention. In other instances, the converse was true as well, wherein the women are  
27  
28 389 empowered to make their decision themselves. It is important to highlight the notion of western autonomy  
29  
30 390 and self-determination, as not being normative in the cultural context of the population studies wherein the  
31  
32 391 husband and/or matriarchal family members influence the decisions women make about their care and  
33  
34 392 relevant obstetrical interventions. Underpinning this mechanism of action is the genuine conviction that  
35  
36 393 nothing can go wrong with their pregnancy and if something does, it is God's will. These fatalistic attitudes  
37  
38 394 may impact women's decision-making and outcomes when they otherwise feel powerless in circumstances  
39  
40 395 outside of their control. In prior research, participants believed that cesarean sections were performed as an  
41  
42 396 easy, quick way for providers to avoid dealing with defibulation (procedure through which an infibulation  
43  
44 397 is opened) (Brown *et al.* 2010). However, Somali women's fear of over medicalization of pregnancy and  
45  
46 398 birthing may not be unfounded. It is noteworthy that the rates of cesarean section in the general U.S.  
47  
48 399 population continue to increase, far exceeding the recommended rates (Betrán *et al.* 2016). As of 2016, the  
49  
50 400 U.S. cesarean section rate was 32% (CDC, 2018); and in a recent study, the authors ascertained that FGC  
51  
52 401 was associated with cesarean delivery in women with unclear medical conditions (Rodriguez *et al.* 2017).

1  
2  
3 402 Somalia has among the highest FGC prevalence rates globally, with the most extreme form, infibulation  
4  
5 403 (which refers to surgical removal of the external genitalia, with suturing of the vulva together, to leave a  
6  
7 404 small opening for urination and menstruation) (WHO 2018), estimated to be present in 98% of Somali  
8  
9 405 women (Abdulcadir *et al.* 2011). As such it may be difficult to distinguish whether the high cesarean section  
10  
11 406 rate is due to FGC or to the high rate of cesarean delivery in general. A crucial consideration moving  
12  
13 407 forward has to be the need for care providers to critically examine their usage of the medical model of  
14  
15 408 providing care to Somali pregnant women while respecting their choices and agency (Happel-Parkins and  
16  
17 409 Azim 2016).

18  
19  
20  
21 410  
22  
23 411 In addition to the cultural differences between providers and women, many of the women in our study  
24  
25 412 indicated that they felt they would be forced to have an obstetric intervention even if they did not consent  
26  
27 413 to it. This perceived lack of choice appears to underpin why they feel they need to avoid obstetrical  
28  
29 414 interventions by all means. The current study findings highlight the need for health providers to understand  
30  
31 415 that Somali refugees may not have the same expectations from doctors as the general population in the U.S.  
32  
33 416 For example, a study of U.S. nationals indicates that patients relegate all responsibilities to the doctor and  
34  
35 417 do not wish to engage in shared decision-making (Joseph-Williams *et al.* 2014). Studies such as these  
36  
37 418 cannot be generalized to all groups, particularly refugee women who do not have the same level of trust in  
38  
39 419 the health care system. In our study, women experienced an ‘othering’ of their bodies, whereby they felt  
40  
41 420 they were placed on display and were helpless to combat what they perceived as a violation of their right  
42  
43 421 to privacy. The presence of FGC set Somali women apart, creating an embodiment of the ‘Hottentot Venus’,  
44  
45 422 which denotes the negative representation of African women’s bodies which were placed on display and  
46  
47 423 objectified during the colonial period of early nineteenth century Europe (Magubane 2001). In our study,  
48  
49 424 women expressed angst with the fact that photographing their genitals and bringing in students and  
50  
51 425 colleagues against their will and without their knowledge contributed to their delay in seeking needed health  
52  
53 426 care. Further research is needed to examine the potential impact of avoidance behaviors on maternal and  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 427 child health, or whether women who have undergone FGC are more likely to display avoidance behaviors.  
4  
5 428 While this current study provides an examination of the rationale for the use of avoidance behaviors among  
6  
7 429 women who have undergone FGC, it remains unclear to what extent their experience of FGC is a risk factor  
8  
9 430 for avoidance behaviors.  
10

11  
12 431  
13  
14  
15 432 While our study examined and provided the context in which Somali women use avoidance behaviors in  
16  
17 433 terms of health, little is known about whether such tactics leading to delayed obstetrical intervention have  
18  
19 434 negative ramifications on maternal and child health. Women in the study alluded to preferring care of  
20  
21 435 midwives. In terms of care delivery, it is critical that women are informed of the option to have a certified  
22  
23 436 nurse midwife as the provider of choice, as soon as they establish prenatal care. Evidence from a 2016  
24  
25 437 systematic review showed that globally women who received midwife-led care had fewer episiotomies or  
26  
27 438 instrumental births and increased chances of a spontaneous vaginal birth without any difference in care  
28  
29 439 outcomes compared to other models of care (Sandall et al. 2016). Specifically, in the U.S., a national vital  
30  
31 440 statistics report which reviewed births from 1970 to 2009, showed that births led by certified nurse-  
32  
33 441 midwives had lower average primary cesarean rate for compared to the national rate (9.9% compared to  
34  
35 442 32%) (Martin et al. 2011), These findings show that midwives can be a good-fit for care delivery for Somali  
36  
37 443 women, as they are not just desired by the women but they are also effective in care delivery. While  
38  
39 444 midwives may offer an approach that appeals to women in our population, the choice to follow midwife-  
40  
41 445 led care needs to be balanced with the specific needs of the woman, especially as avoidance behaviors such  
42  
43 446 as those we identified amongst women in our study can predispose them to a high-risk delivery.  
44  
45  
46

47 447  
48  
49  
50 448 Going forward, possible interventions include a community-based program aimed at optimizing culturally  
51  
52 449 competent care and education of health care professionals to the needs of the Somali community. Such  
53  
54 450 programs could be led by Cultural Health Navigators (CHNs), otherwise known as Community Health  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 451 Workers (CHWs), who are lay-practitioners, yet certified medical interpreters and cultural brokers drawn  
4  
5 452 from their own communities of origin (Banke-Thomas *et al.* 2017). These patient navigators provide  
6  
7 453 information to patients in their native language in a culturally-informed and relatable way to increase  
8  
9 454 comfort and understanding in relation to medical care, and they have been demonstrated to be effective to  
10  
11 455 reducing barriers to accessing health care in other at-risk populations (Feltner *et al.* 2012). The use of trusted  
12  
13 456 CHNs who are drawn from the community can potentially address concerns about judgment and fear that  
14  
15 457 lead these women to avoid obstetric care. An additional benefit to specified intervention approaches would  
16  
17 458 be higher rates of informed participation by the Somali community in their health care and such programs  
18  
19 459 could serve as models for national programs that could have far-reaching public health and policy  
20  
21 460 implications.  
22  
23  
24  
25 461

26  
27  
28 462 Thereafter, quality improvement interventions should be designed to improve institutional processes of  
29  
30 463 care, HCP knowledge of FGC and cultural competency, and incorporate community dialogue of ongoing  
31  
32 464 health service needs and persistent barriers to care. Better infusion of existing tools and better dissemination  
33  
34 465 of information to HCP about FGC and cultural preferences of Somali women could increase cultural  
35  
36 466 competence, and therefore build trust and increase patient confidence in provider recommendations and  
37  
38 467 intervention approaches. Since tools and protocols have already been developed, interventions need to be  
39  
40 468 developed to bridge the gap between existing tools/knowledge and the HCPs. The use of CHNs to deliver  
41  
42 469 information to providers could enhance provider knowledge and cultural competence, which may reduce  
43  
44 470 barriers for fearful patients, and improve patient satisfaction and health care utilization. Such measures  
45  
46 471 could have far-reaching implications within the broader context of improving Somali refugee women's  
47  
48 472 health (Feltner *et al.* 2012, Banke-Thomas *et al.* 2017).  
49  
50

51 473  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 474 ***Strengths and limitations***  
4

5 475 This study had several strengths and some limitations. A major strength was the ability to gather  
6  
7 476 information, in their own words, from a marginalized community of women whose voice is not often heard  
8  
9 477 in research. Hearing directly from the community allows us to identify problems and provide culturally  
10  
11 478 congruent interventions. Somali interpretation was used to ensure the study was inclusive and sought  
12  
13 479 different points of view. Use of individual interviews and focus groups was an appropriate technique to  
14  
15 480 collect information from low literacy participants. In addition, focus group settings allow for development  
16  
17 481 of camaraderie, access to more information, and the opportunity to seek clarifications.  
18  
19  
20  
21 482

22  
23 483 CBPR processes remained rooted as foundational elements undergirding all aspects of our team's  
24  
25 484 engagement with the Somali community from the initial design, mobilization and implementation efforts,  
26  
27 485 to the interpretation and dissemination of the data whereby the preliminary findings and key points of  
28  
29 486 discussion were co-produced in conjunction with the community's input and shared with the Somali  
30  
31 487 community. Consequently, clear community empowerment was achieved as RIWHI through CIRC,  
32  
33 488 continued to remain active facilitating referrals to health services for women and girls, advocating for  
34  
35 489 improved access to care, distributing health educational information, and enhancing closer partnerships  
36  
37 490 with local health care providers. Moreover, RIWHI organized statewide efforts to engage the broader ethnic  
38  
39 491 community organizations as well as state and local health agencies through health fairs. These efforts  
40  
41 492 empowered the Somali community to support sustained advocacy for their ongoing health care needs  
42  
43 493 (Johnson, Ali, Shipp, 2009).  
44  
45  
46

47 494  
48  
49  
50 495 Limitations of the study include potential bias in reporting given that the women needed to detail obstetrical  
51  
52 496 avoidance to an obstetrician. The implementation of CBPR would have helped reduce the potential impact  
53  
54 497 of such bias. Participants were recruited via a snowball sampling technique, which means, some participants  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 498 probably know each other and potentially share similar opinions on avoiding obstetrical care. While we  
4  
5 499 have tried to recruit as many women as possible into our study, our findings may not generalize to other  
6  
7 500 groups of resettled Somali women.  
8  
9

10 501

11  
12  
13 502 **Conclusion**

14  
15 503 Every woman, including all Somali women have a right to choose or refuse care. They have a right to make  
16  
17 504 an informed choice regarding their care. If we are to provide the best possible care to Somali women who  
18  
19 505 have resettled in the U.S., we must first understand their experiences accessing care, so we can design  
20  
21 506 interventions to address them. This study increases our understanding of the actions that Somali may  
22  
23 507 women take in avoiding obstetrical interventions. Clearly there are underlying perceptions and beliefs that  
24  
25 508 influence these avoidance mechanisms. These can be addressed by building trust of Somali women in the  
26  
27 509 health system, addressing their fears and concerns, and respecting their culture. In addition, the avoidance  
28  
29 510 mechanisms can be addressed by having trusted human bridges that can support Somali women through  
30  
31 511 their decision making proposes in pregnancy and labor and translating the concerns of the women to  
32  
33 512 providers. Such two-pronged approach that tackles the root and nurtures the relationship is critical to  
34  
35 513 increasing access to health and advancing health equity for this vulnerable population.  
36  
37  
38

39 514

40  
41  
42 515 **Declaration of interest statement**

43  
44 516 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.  
45  
46

47 517

48  
49  
50 518



## References

- Abdulcadir, J., Dugerdil, A., Yaron, M., Irion, O., and Boulvain, M., 2016. "Obstetric care of women with female genital mutilation attending a specialized clinic in a tertiary center." *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 132 (2), 174–178.
- Abdulcadir, J., Margairaz, C., Boulvain, M., and Irion, O., 2011. "Care of women with female genital mutilation/cutting." *Swiss medical weekly*, 140, w13137.
- Agbemenu, K., Volpe, E.M., and Dyer, E., 2018. "Reproductive health decision-making among US-dwelling Somali Bantu refugee women: A qualitative study." *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 27 (17-18), 3355–3362.
- Aubrey, C., Chari, R., Mitchell, B.F. (Peter), and Mumtaz, Z., 2017. "Gender of Provider—Barrier to Immigrant Women's Obstetrical Care: A Narrative Review." *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, 39 (7), 567–577.
- Bakken, K.S., Skjeldal, O.H., and Stray-Pedersen, B., 2015. "Higher Risk for Adverse Obstetric Outcomes Among Immigrants of African and Asian Descent: A Comparison Study at a Low-Risk Maternity Hospital in Norway." *Birth*, 42 (2), 132–140.
- Banke-Thomas, A., Aghemenu, K., and Johnson-Agbakwu, C., 2018. "Factors Associated with Access to Maternal and Reproductive Health Care among Somali Refugee Women Resettled in Ohio, United States: A Cross-Sectional Survey." *Journal of immigrant and minority health*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-018-0824-4>.
- Banke-Thomas, A., Gieszl, S., Nizigiyimana, J., and Johnson-Agbakwu, C., 2017. "Experiences of Refugee Women in Accessing and Utilizing a Refugee-Focused Prenatal Clinic in the United States: A Mixed Methods Study." *Global Women's Health*, 1 (1), 14–20.
- Betrán, A.P., Ye, J., Moller, A.-B., Zhang, J., Gülmezoglu, A.M., and Torloni, M.R., 2016. "The

- 1  
2  
3 Increasing Trend in Caesarean Section Rates: Global, Regional and National Estimates: 1990-2014."  
4  
5 *PLoS One*, 11 (2), e0148343.  
6  
7  
8 Braun, V. and Clarke, V., 2006. "Using thematic analysis in psychology." *Qualitative Research in*  
9  
10 *Psychology*, 3 (2), 77–101.  
11  
12  
13 Brown, E., Carroll, J., Fogarty, C., and Holt, C., 2010. "'They Get a C-Section . . . They Gonna Die':"  
14  
15 Somali Women's Fears of Obstetrical Interventions in the United States." *Journal of Transcultural*  
16  
17 *Nursing*, 21 (3), 220–227.  
18  
19  
20 Cacciani, L., Asole, S., Polo, A., Franco, F., Lucchini, R., De Curtis, M., Di Lallo, D., and Guasticchi, G.,  
21  
22 2011. "Perinatal outcomes among immigrant mothers over two periods in a region of central Italy."  
23  
24 *BMC Public Health*, 11 (1), 294.  
25  
26  
27 Carroll, J., Epstein, R., Fiscella, K., Gipson, T., Volpe, E., and Jean-Pierre, P., 2007. "Caring for Somali  
28  
29 women: implications for clinician-patient communication." *Patient education and counseling*, 66  
30  
31 (3), 337–45.  
32  
33  
34 CDC, 2018. "Cesarean Delivery Rate by State." *National Center for Health Statistics*. Centers for Disease  
35  
36 Control and Prevention. Accessed 11 Apr 2019.  
37  
38 [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/sosmap/cesarean\\_births/cesareans.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/sosmap/cesarean_births/cesareans.htm)  
39  
40  
41 Essén, B., Binder, P., and Johnsdotter, S., 2011. "An anthropological analysis of the perspectives of  
42  
43 Somali women in the West and their obstetric care providers on caesarean birth." *Journal of*  
44  
45 *psychosomatic obstetrics and gynaecology*, 32 (1), 10–8.  
46  
47  
48 Essen, B., Hanson, B.S., Östergren, P.-O., Lindquist, P.G., and Gudmundsson, S., 2000. "Increased  
49  
50 perinatal mortality among sub-Saharan immigrants in a city-population in Sweden." *Acta*  
51  
52 *Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 79 (9), 737–743.  
53  
54  
55 Essén, B., Hanson, B.S., Östergren, P.-O., Lindquist, P.G., and Gudmundsson, S., 2000. "Increased  
56  
57  
58  
59

- 1  
2  
3 perinatal mortality among sub-Saharan immigrants in a city-population in Sweden." *Acta*  
4  
5 *Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 79 (9), 737–743.  
6  
7
- 8 Farage, M.A., Miller, K.W., Tzeghai, G.E., Azuka, C.E., Sobel, J.D., and Ledger, & W.J., 2015. "Female  
9  
10 Genital Cutting: Confronting Cultural Challenges and Health Complications Across the Lifespan."  
11  
12 *Women's Health*, 11 (1), 79–94.  
13  
14
- 15 Feltner, F.J., Ely, G.E., Whitler, E.T., Gross, D., and Dignan, M., 2012. "Effectiveness of Community  
16  
17 Health Workers in Providing Outreach and Education for Colorectal Cancer Screening in  
18  
19 Appalachian Kentucky." *Social Work in Health Care*, 51 (5), 430–440.  
20  
21
- 22 Gould, J.B., Madan, A., Qin, C., and Chavez, G., 2003. "Perinatal outcomes in two dissimilar immigrant  
23  
24 populations in the United States: a dual epidemiologic paradox." *Pediatrics*, 111 (6 Pt 1), e676-82.  
25  
26
- 27 Hamid, A., Grace, K.T., and Warren, N., 2018. "A Meta-Synthesis of the Birth Experiences of African  
28  
29 Immigrant Women Affected by Female Genital Cutting." *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*,  
30  
31 63 (2), 185–195.  
32  
33
- 34 Happel-Parkins, Alison, and Katharina A. Azim. 2016. "At Pains to Consent: A Narrative Inquiry into  
35  
36 Women's Attempts of Natural Childbirth." *Women and Birth* 29 (4): 310–20.  
37  
38
- 39 Hernandez, P., 2007. "Sensing vulnerability, seeking strength: Somali women and their experiences  
40  
41 during pregnancy and birth in Melbourne." In: P. Liamputtong, ed. *Reproduction, Childbearing and*  
42  
43 *Motherhood: A Cross-Cultural Perspective*. New York: Nova Science Publishers, 195–208.  
44  
45
- 46 Hill, N., Hunt, E., and Hyrkäs, K., 2012. "Somali Immigrant Women's Health Care Experiences and  
47  
48 Beliefs Regarding Pregnancy and Birth in the United States." *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 23  
49  
50 (1), 72–81.  
51  
52
- 53 Johnson, E.B., Reed, S.D., Hitti, J., and Batra, M., 2005. "Increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcome  
54  
55 among Somali immigrants in Washington state." *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*,  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 193 (2), 475–482.  
4  
5

6 Joseph-Williams, N., Elwyn, G., and Edwards, A., 2014. "Knowledge is not power for patients: A  
7 systematic review and thematic synthesis of patient-reported barriers and facilitators to shared  
8 decision making." *Patient Education and Counseling*, 94 (3), 291–309.  
9  
10

11  
12  
13 Kitzinger, J., 1995. "Qualitative Research: Introducing focus groups." *BMJ*, 311 (7000), 299–302.  
14

15  
16 Krueger, R.A. and Casey, M.A., 2009. "*Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*." 4th ed.  
17  
18 Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications Inc.  
19

20  
21 Lazar, J.N., Johnson-Agbakwu, C.E., Davis, O.I., and Shipp, M.P.-L., 2013. "Providers' perceptions of  
22 challenges in obstetrical care for somali women." *Obstetrics and gynecology international*, 2013,  
23  
24 149640.  
25  
26

27  
28 Magubane, Z., 2001. "Which Bodies Matter? Feminism, Poststructuralism, Race, and the Curious  
29 Theoretical Odyssey of the "Hottentot Venus"" *Gender and Society*, 15 (6), 816–834.  
30  
31

32  
33 Martin, Joyce A, Brady E Hamilton, Stephanie J Ventura, Michelle J K Osterman, Sharon Kirmeyer, T J  
34 Mathews, and Elizabeth C Wilson. 2011. "Births: Final Data for 2009." National Vital Statistics  
35 Reports 60 (1): 1–70.  
36  
37

38  
39 Merry, L., Small, R., Blondel, B., and Gagnon, A.J., 2013. "International migration and caesarean birth: a  
40 systematic review and meta-analysis." *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 13 (1), 27.  
41  
42

43  
44 Morris, M.D., Popper, S.T., Rodwell, T.C., Brodine, S.K., and Brouwer, K.C., 2009. "Healthcare barriers  
45 of refugees post-resettlement." *Journal of community health*, 34 (6), 529–38.  
46  
47

48  
49 Morrison, T. Ben, Wieland, M.L., Cha, S.S., Rahman, A.S., and Chaudhry, R., 2012. "Disparities in  
50 Preventive Health Services Among Somali Immigrants and Refugees." *Journal of Immigrant and  
51 Minority Health*, 14 (6), 968–974.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Moxey, J.M. and Jones, L.L., 2016. "A qualitative study exploring how Somali women exposed to female  
4 genital mutilation experience and perceive antenatal and intrapartum care in England." *BMJ open*, 6  
5 (1), e009846.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Padela, A.I. and Heisler, M., 2010. "The association of perceived abuse and discrimination after  
11 September 11, 2001, with psychological distress, level of happiness, and health status among Arab  
12 Americans." *American journal of public health*, 100 (2), 284–91.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Padela, A.I. and Zaidi, D., 2018. "The Islamic tradition and health inequities: A preliminary conceptual  
18 model based on a systematic literature review of Muslim health-care disparities." *Avicenna journal  
19 of medicine*, 8 (1), 1–13.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Pavlish, C.L., Noor, S., and Brandt, J., 2010. "Somali immigrant women and the American health care  
25 system: discordant beliefs, divergent expectations, and silent worries." *Social science & medicine*,  
26 71 (2), 353–361.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31 Råssjö, E.B., Byrskog, U., Samir, R., and Klingberg-Allvin, M., 2013. "Somali women's use of maternity  
32 health services and the outcome of their pregnancies: A descriptive study comparing Somali  
33 immigrants with native-born Swedish women." *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare*, 4 (3), 99–106.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 Rodriguez, M.I., Say, L., Abdulcadir, J., and Hindin, M.J., 2017. "Clinical indications for cesarean  
39 delivery among women living with female genital mutilation." *International Journal of Gynecology  
40 & Obstetrics*, 139 (1), 21–27.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 Sandall, Jane, Hora Soltani, Simon Gates, Andrew Shennan, and Declan Devane. 2016. "Midwife-Led  
46 Continuity Models versus Other Models of Care for Childbearing Women." *Cochrane Database of  
47 Systematic Reviews*, 4, CD004667.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 Tamaddon, L., Johnsdotter, S., Liljestrand, J., and Essén, B., 2006. "Swedish Health Care Providers'  
53 Experience and Knowledge of Female Genital Cutting." *Health Care for Women International*, 27  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (8), 709–722.  
4  
5

6 Tong, A., Sainsbury, P., and Craig, J., 2007. "Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research  
7 (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups." *International Journal for Quality in*  
8 *Health Care*, 19 (6), 349–357.  
9  
10

11  
12  
13 Upvall, M.J., Mohammed, K., and Dodge, P.D., 2009. "Perspectives of Somali Bantu refugee women  
14 living with circumcision in the United States: A focus group approach." *International Journal of*  
15 *Nursing Studies*, 46 (3), 360–368.  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 Vu, M., Azmat, A., Radejko, T., and Padela, A.I., 2016. "Predictors of Delayed Healthcare Seeking  
21 Among American Muslim Women." *Journal of women's health*, 25 (6), 586–93.  
22  
23

24  
25 WHO, 2018. "Classification of female genital mutilation." *World Health Organization*. Accessed 11 Apr  
26 2019. <http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/fgm/overview/en/>.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table**

Table 1: Summary demographics of Somali women recruited for study

<b>Characteristic</b>	<b>Number (n=40)</b>
<b>Age category</b>	
18-25 years	13
>25 years	27
<b>Marital status</b>	
Married	38
Single	2
<b>Education level</b>	
Primary	30
Secondary	8
Tertiary	2
<b>Employment status</b>	
Unemployed	24
Self-employed	11
Employed	5
<b>Religion</b>	
Muslim	40
<b>Parity</b>	
Primiparous (1)	11
Multiparous (1 – 4)	26
Grand multiparous ( $\geq 5$ )	3